Riverbank Landing Public Meeting

Hearing on Tuesday, October 6, 2020

A VERITEXT COMPANY

10080 Jasper Avenue, Suite 709 Edmonton, Alberta T5J 1V9

acereporting.ca | 780.497.4223

-

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	RIVERBANK LANDIN	G PUBLIC MEETING
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	Appearances:	
14	Dave Haut	Presenter, Boudreau
15		Developments
16	Robert Brosseau	Moderator, Boudreau
17		Developments
18	Stephen Boyd	Arc Studio
19	Lenore Mitchell	City of St. Albert
20	Suzanne Bennett	City of St. Albert
21	Kristina Peter	City of St. Albert
22	Amanda Forestier, CSR(A)	Court Reporter
23		
24	St. Albert	, Alberta
25	October	6, 2020

10/	0/2020		2 (2 - 5)
1	(Proceedings commenced at 6:35 p.m.) Page 2	1	Page 4 person, the virtual meeting, there's going to be a
	MR. HAUT: Well, if it's okay with everyone, it's five	2	question and answer right after the presentation.
3	minutes after, and it's probably time to get	3	You can also click on our website, which is
4	started on this. More people can join as we move	4	riverbanklanding.com, and there is a button there
5	along. Rob, did you want to do introductions or	5	that allows you to send an email directly to us.
6	would you like me to do that?	6	You can do it by mail at Boudreau Communities at
	MR. BROSSEAU: Go ahead, Dave.	7	230 Bellerose Drive, St. Albert. You can email
	MR. HAUT: All right. Well, welcome, everyone. Tonight	8	directly the applicant, Boudreau Communities, at
9	we have a panel with us. So I'm Dave Haut. I'm	9	daveceo@bo-co.ca.
10	the CEO of Boudreau Developments. Joining us in a	10	So, again, the applicant presenter tonight
11	little bit more a moderator role is Rob Brosseau,	11	is Dave Haut. I'm CEO. I'm here to answer your
12	VP of projects for Boudreau Developments. We also	12	questions. The questions that you ask tonight,
13	have Stephen Boyd, principal architect for the	13	there is a court reporter that is transcribing this
14	project. And from the City to speak to any process	14	conversation and presentation, and the questions
15	related questions only, we have Lenore, Suzanne,	15	will be submitted along with the answers in our
16	and Kristina. And I think we've got everyone on	16	application when we do make it to the City. The
17	the panel there. Did I miss anyone? It doesn't	17	chat function for this webinar format is turned
18	look like it.	18	off. And the feedback for the presentation will be
19	All right. Well, then I am just going to	19	-
20		20	accepted until October 13.
	get started with the presentation here. Well,		So where are we in the rezoning process? So
21	thank you for joining us for the reapplication, the	21	since June 22nd, we've been back to the drawing
22	public information meeting for Boudreau Communities	22	boards, having a look at our plans, revising, doing
23	and Riverbank Landing.	23	a number of public meetings, a bunch of methods to
24	All right. So why you're here. So plan	24	get feedback back, and we are now in October. And
25	amendment and redistricting reapplication will be	25	this is the pre-application public meeting. From
1	Page 3 submitted by Boudreau Communities likely this fall.	1	Page 5 this meeting, we'll gather the feedback that we
2	So today we're here to get your feedback on the	2	receive at this meeting, and we will then move
3	proposal as we have outlined it so far. It's	3	forward, if we have a good idea of community
4	important to note that this event will be recorded,	4	feedback, to go ahead and make a formal application
5	and it's subject to Freedom of Information and	5	to the City of St. Albert. We would like to make
6	Protection of Privacy requests.	6	that application in two or three weeks time. And
7	This application includes an amendment to	7	at that time, then the City begins their formal
8	the Oakmont area structure plan, the ASP from	8	review process and technical reviews, make sure
9	commercial and residential uses to a mixed-use	9	that our application is compliant and meets all the
10	district. The redistricting properties are 230,	10	standards.
11	250 Bellerose Drive and 300 Orchard Court.	11	At that point then, it goes to City Council
12	The proposed DCMU, which is direct control	12	for first reading, and if City Council chooses to
13	mixed-use district, would allow for the development	13	advance first reading, it then goes to further
14	of a comprehensive plan mixed-use community,	14	council meetings for second and third. The times
15	including mid- to high-rise density residential,	15	on that is really dependent on the Council's
16	commercial, retail, offices uses, and a village	16	schedule. Probably early next year, but that is
17	square. So two amendments to the DCMU are required	17	sort of a best guess from me.
18	tonight.	18	Your feedback that you provide tonight and
19	So what can you expect tonight? So you can	19	in other ways is used to help inform conversations
20	expect details on the development proposal, so our	20	between us, the applicant, and the City about the
21	development concept, the area structure plan	21	proposal, and it also helps inform Council in the
22	amendment, the rezoning from direct control to DCMU	22	nature of the feedback received so they better
23	and Land Use Bylaw text amendments.	23	understand the options and opinions of the nearby
24	- There's a number of opportunities for you to	24	residents.
24		1 1	
24	provide feedback on what we present tonight. So in	25	Our consulting team, we have some of the

3 (6 - 9)

		<u> </u>	
1	Page 6 Edmonton area's best experts. We have Arc Studios	1	Page 8 so that we get a good idea of the differences, what
2	and Stephen Boyd, who is one of the panelists	2	people like and don't like about these options.
3	tonight as a principal architect, and a number of	3	Some key findings on what we found in the various
4	other engineering consultants.	4	ways that we've reached out is the general public
5	So the site location. Riverbank Landing is	5	was significantly more likely to prefer Option A.
6	a 10-acre site situated between the Shops of	6	The adjacent neighbourhoods, which I believe is
7	Boudreau and the Oakmont community to the south of	7	Oakmont and Erin Ridge and Woodlands, when
8	Bellerose Drive and to the north of the Sturgeon	8	presented with the two options, they preferred 'B'
9	River. Since June 22nd, we've reached out in a	9	over 'A'. The general public was significantly
10	number of ways. We wanted to know what the City	10	more interested in seeing services like casual
11	liked about our previous proposal, what they didn't	11	dining and patio service and restaurants and
12	like, what we needed to change. So we've done a	12	bistros versus the adjacent neighbourhood.
13	bunch of avenues. One is an open invitation to	13	Those living in the adjacent neighbourhoods
14	- this email. This email address is in lots of	14	that preferred Option B when asked why they prefer
15	places, daveceo@bo-co.ca.	15	it, they liked the overall layout and thought it
16	We also have FaceBook posts, the ability to	16	was architecturally appealing. And the adjacent
17	do private messages. Our website as I mentioned	17	neighbourhoods were significantly more likely to
18	earlier, our website has a ton of information. I	18	accept no changes to the current vehicle access
19	really encourage you to visit that. And also if	19	versus the general public which really liked the
20	you do visit it, check out the blog section. We	20	idea of three vehicle accesses. So this was
21	have really a whole history there of things that	21	successful in gathering some information in helping
22	we've gone through. So on our website, we also	22	to distill.
23	have the button that you can email me directly.	23	What we've done is we've identified the key
24	We've had several small group meetings. We've done	24	areas of concern or the common concerns that we've
25	a survey that was opened for a little better than	25	come across. The traffic height, protection of the
23	Page 7		Page 9
1	two weeks for St. Albert residents. We put a site	1	river valley, shadows on neighbouring properties,
2	sign on the site with the email address again. The	2	green space, noise, density, and affordability are
3	Gazette has done several articles on us with,	3	a bunch of common concerns that continue to crop
4	again, ways to contact us. And we've also done an	4	up.
5	online advertising campaign.	5	The common requests and things people would
6	So those of you that have been following	6	like to see in this site is the trail system, lots
7	this development are likely familiar with the two	7	of green space, the patio areas. Pet friendly
8	options that we've presented on our website,	8	areas was one that was very common. People also
9	FaceBook, and the survey. And we just labelled	9	seemed to embrace the mix of commercial and
10	them Option A and B. And there's a couple	10	residential. And another common theme was a need
11	strategic differences between these two options and	11	to get more quality and diverse housing in
12	some reasons that we put these forward.	12	St. Albert. We've had requests for larger housing
13	Option A is more of a vertical mixed-use	13	units, quality retail professional space, and
14	community. It has some smaller towers in it,	14	outdoor activity areas.
15	although more towers. It has a little bit higher	15	So what we've done is we've done a quick
16	setback to the Oakmont community. It has the use	16	review on sort of the current site condition. And
17	of the Orchard Court Road for access to some of the	17	on this one, it's the area structure plan is
18	site.	18	low-density residential for 300 Orchard Court, and
19	And if we go to Option B, Option B is what	19	for 230 and 250 Bellerose it's commercial. But the
20	we would call a more traditional horizontal	20	zoning is DC, so there's no site-specific zoning
21	mixed-use community. The transition to the Oakmont	21	for this and no parameters inside the DC zoning
22	area is smaller. It's at 11 metres, and it's	22	itself. So we compared it to R2, which is
23	townhomes and some small amenity buildings. The	23	low-density residential, and C2, which is general
24	site has two points of access.	24	commercial and the zoning that's at the shops.
25	And we wanted to present these two options	25	So R2 zoning allows for 84 duplex units or

4 (10 - 13)

1	Page 10 single-family units. It has a maximum height of 11) 1	Page 12 site design, through innovative architecture. We
2	metres or two to three floors. C2 or general	2	want all our designs to just include nature and
3	commercial allows general retail, gas bars, general	3	become part of it. And that's just so important.
4	service, health service, convenient stores, and	4	It's going to be a reoccurring theme coming
5	then it has a number of discretionary uses such as	5	up. And it's really important now and post-COVID.
6	hotel, shopping centre, or dwelling units above	6	You've got to be able to get outside. You have to
7	commercial use. Maximum height for C2 is 15 metres	7	have that room to breathe and just relax in nature.
8	or roughly four floors.	8	So what we're after is a highly walkable and
9	So we've had just a quick massing drawing	9	vibrant mixed-use community. We want to enhance
10	drafted up to see what the current condition is.	10	the community services and the access to nature.
11			-
	Now, this drawing is to scale, but I do want to	11	So according to the Realtors Association,
12	emphasize this isn't an improved site plan or	12	now cities are developing mixed-use environments.
13	anything like that. This is just something that we	13	They're developing neighbourhoods with the hub idea
14	did internally so we can start knowing what we're	14	where you have commercial uses, recreation,
15	dealing with.	15	restaurants, stores, places where people gather as
16	And when we had a look at this, if you look	16	a community at the centre and residences are
17	at 230, 250 Orchard Court, they're the ones	17	included in the mix. That's our vision for
18	combined on the left. We've put in main floor	18	Riverbank Landing. We would like to see a
19	commercial. We made the assumption that dwelling	19	mixed-use community there.
20	units above commercial would be allowed under	20	How have your comments helped shape
21	discretionary use. And we came up with about 230	21	Riverbank Landing? So from our original
22	dwelling units that would fit on that site	22	application, we reduced the height by 50 percent.
23	comfortably above the commercial use.	23	We've reduced the suite count by about 15 to 20
24	If we flip over to the right-hand side of	24	percent. We've reduced the commercial by 20 to 35
25	the page and we go to 300 Orchard Court, we did the	25	percent. So all those reductions will help in
1	Page 11 comparison to the R2 low-density residential. That	1	Page 13 reducing the overall traffic. We've increased the
2	one was perhaps a little bit easier because the	2	setbacks. We've moved the building. The very
3	number of units is spelled out in the zoning, and	3	tallest parts of the building, we moved them away
4	it's 84 residences there.	4	from edges of the site and moved them more into the
5	So we had a look at this site plan, and we	5	middle of the site increasing the transition from
6	thought, okay, what does this do? It doesn't	6	single-family to multifamily.
7	provide the requested amenities that we saw. The	7	When we put forward these two options, we
8	river and trail access that is popular is replaced	8	wanted to see the differences and what people liked
9	by private backyards. The public green space	9	and didn't like, and we continued to listen. And
10	becomes private. The outdoor recreational areas,	10	we continued to hear "too tall", "too dense". So
11	public outdoor spaces, and pet friendly areas are	11	today we're going to announce that we're reducing
12	really missing from this design. It does provide	12	our height further. So what is proposed here,
13	fast construction. It's a very easy buildable	13	we're dropping off some more height, about three
14	plan. It's very low height, so it's reduced	14	floors of height. We are reducing the associated
15	height. And then depending on the results of the	15	number of units with that. So from our original
16	survey, whether the surrounding community or	16	proposal, we're now at about 22 percent less
17	greater St. Albert, it does put the traffic through	17	residential housing units.
18	Oakmont which adds the extra access point to the	18	We're increasing the step-in towards the
19	site.	19	single-family. We've set aside land for future
20	So we applied that and had a look at that	20	traffic improvements. Traffic is obviously a major
21	and ran that against our Riverbank Landing	21	issue throughout all of St. Albert, and we've come
22	principles, and these are things that we just	22	up with a few ideas on how we think we can
23	believe in and the way that we like to develop.	23	alleviate that. A full traffic study will be done
24	And we want to preserve the existing trees as much	24	once we finalize the site plan and be submitted
25	as we can. We want to reinforce privacy through	25	with our application.

5 (14 - 17)

1	Page 1 Our designs, we had a good look at making	4 1	Page 16 This is an aerial 3-D or a yeah, a 3-D
2	sure that the shadow stays as much as possible on	2	model that we've done. The L-shaped building I
3	site complementing the existing neighbourhoods.	3	think is the one that meets all the objectives the
4	We've also decided to respect the request from the	4	best. You will notice where I have the 11 floors
5	majority of Oakmont residents and not drive the 84	5	in red. So this building is massed out at 14
6	dwelling units through there. So overall we've	6	floors there, and we are making a commitment
7	reduced our density again, and we've also increased	7	tonight, because we've listened to feedback, to
8	the riverside amenities.	8	reduce that height to 11 floors. So a new massing
9	So the way to achieve this in a zoning, we	9	drawing will be coming out and renderings, but
10	went back and forth. Lots of different methods.	10	reduce that to 11 floors.
11	Direct control, special district. They all have	11	We have a lot of stepping down to the river.
12	their strengths and weaknesses. We have decided to	12	The built-in planters. We'll do a lot of green
	use the form that we feel is best, which is a		
13	direct control mixed-use with some amendments to	13	space. We use a more traditional buffer approach
14		14	from Oakmont, so we'll have townhomes and a
15	those mixed-use. And we'll get into the amendments	15	two-storey building there. And then more into the
16	in a moment.	16	centre is the commercial and more green space.
17	Our development concept for Riverbank	17	I mentioned a few times, the built-in
18	Landing is a mixed-use area. We designated our	18	planters to give a real green exterior to the
19	design in keeping with quality, architectural	19	building. Innovative design, I can't say enough
20	interest, and the current successful communities at	20	good things about the creative team at Arc. They
21	the Shops at Boudreau and Botanica. We want to	21	are absolutely brilliant at doing designs that are
22	create that live, work, play community that's right	22	esthetically pleasing, practical, cutting edge at
23	at people's doors. And that's why whether you live	23	fitting into a community. They're not the ultra
24	in the surrounding neighbourhoods or you want to	24	modern glass walls.
25	drive and participate, this community will have	25	The building has a lot of stepping. It
1	Page 1 Page 1	5 1	Page 17 allows for those planters. It also provides a lot
2	We want to open up the river valley. Right	2	of architectural interest and provides a lot of
3	now the site basically sits as a private estate.	3	view corridors back to the river and re-integrating
4	We want to invite the public in and add a lot more	4	the trails and nature right into the architecture.
5	amenities. We think that will encourage people to	5	We're planning to bring it right up.
6	use the river valley. Things like a seasonal ice	6	Village square. So happy that this plan is
7	cream shop, a qazebo, lookout areas. Perhaps it's	7	able to retain a village square. So it's an
8	used for wedding photos. The trails are going to	8	outdoor accessible social gathering space. A spot
9	be built to an accessible standard and to the City	9	where people can come and play the quitar, cook
10	standard. Public parking, seating, and viewing	10	hotdogs on fire features, just sit and relax in
	areas all incorporated right into the core nature		nature. Really an inviting active street life.
11 12	of our design.	11	So here is our site plan. And we'll start
	-	13	-
13	Our vision, the live, work, play		at Building 1, which is in the bottom left-hand
14	environment, the buildings have a lot of	14	corner. So Building 1 we envision as a two-floor
15	articulation, a lot of green space. We want to see	15	restaurant. Very similar to the renderings on the
16	built-in planters in the building. We want to see	16	original proposal. We think that there is a lot of
17	a really cutting-edge architectural on it. Areas	17	demand for a lounge with an outdoor patio that
18	that encourage outdoor conversations, the walking	18	faces the river and a restaurant with a patio.
19	paths. The de-stressing in nature, that's just so	19	This isn't going to be a big chain restaurant.
20	important these days. And we want to facilitate	20	This is going to be a feature for our development,
21	outdoor events, parking for food trucks, umbrellas,	21	a real landmark. We're going to run contests with
22	entertainers can come and do an event and there's a	22	local chefs. We're going to find the best one.
23	stage for them to be up on and the food trucks can	23	We're going to help them get set up and have this
24	cater it. Anything that contributes to that	24	restaurant be successful.
25	vibrant street life and use of nature.	25	Then between the restaurant and Building 2,

6 (18 - 21)

1	Page 18 which is the larger building to the right, that's	1	Page 20 And then moving across to Building 5, which
2	where the village square goes, and that's also	2	is the four floors kind of a triangle building.
3	green that feeds into the river. You will see	3	That one is our medical professional building. It
4	coming out of village square, we have a lot of our	4	offers services like doctors, pharmacies. It
5	internal trails, and they wander around. They'll	5	contributes to the live, work, play that we have
6	go to viewing areas, gazebos, platforms. They're	6	envisioned for the site. Now, you will notice up
7	designed in such a way that they can be integrated	7	in the top left-hand corner, our previous versions
8	into the Red Willow Trail system as well as	8	had the density of 360 to 390. With dropping the
9	providing foot access to Orchard Court and to Erin	9	floors, we're going to have a reduced level of
10	Ridge.	10	density. So we're going to be sitting somewhere
11	Then moving across to Building 2, this is a	11	around that 360 units.
12	very stepped, articulated building. It starts at	12	The site coverage. I'm particularly proud
13	15 floors and drops every floor going down as well	13	of this because this site has so much green space.
14	as stepping along the river. And it goes all the	14	Only 22 percent of the site is covered in
15	way down to five floors right in the corner of the	15	buildings. 36 percent of the site is public and
16	'L'. And it just provides it doesn't block the	16	green space. Anyone can come walk around and
17	view. It's a lot of interest. And it allows for	17	access it. We're not asking for any parking
18	all those green patios that we talked about. And	18	reductions in our application. There's extensive
19	then going back up north, it goes up. So it goes	19	parking underground through the entire site. And
20	to six, seven, eight, and then it caps out at 11	20	we're working on an increased perimeter green
21	floors.	21	space. So the buffers up against the Shops of
22	Moving on to Building 3, which is that small	22	Boudreau, we're going to be closer to five metres,
23	building between Building 2 and Orchard Court.	23	but as we get close to the single family, we're
24	That one there is it's an amenity building for	24	going to be looking at a nine-metre setback in
25	the community, for the residents. That's where we	25	green space. When we get closer to the river, that
1	Page 19 envision seeing things like the seasonal ice cream	1	Page 21 varies from 14 metres to 20 metres. So it's well
2	shop, integrating into a gazebo, power, some water	2	back and allows good use of that green space.
3	features there, a way to encourage people to use	3	Having a look at some of the statistics down
4	the river valley but also take a rest, sit down,	4	there. So the landscape coverage at 21.8 percent.
5	have a break.	5	It's 93,000 square feet of landscaping. Public
6	Moving north of Building 3, we go to the 13	6	space and green areas, 156,000 square feet. So
7	townhomes, and these we envision people that really	7	we're almost a quarter million square feet of
8	like the lifestyle of Riverbank Landing and the	8	publicly accessible landscaped space.
9	amenities that it offers, but they want to keep a	9	So in order to make sure that this plan
10	little green space because they really like	10	comes to fruition very close to what we've
11	gardening or have a large pet and they want that	11	envisioned, we have had a lot of discussions with
12	outdoor yard. So we think those are the people	12	City Planning on how can we give some assurance to
13	that will be very interested in purchasing those	13	the community that what we're talking about is
14	townhomes. They also provide the buffer between	14	actually going to be built. And it's all sorts of
15	Erin Ridge, Oakmont, and the condominium building.	15	different ideas, different zoning types that we've
16	Then moving into the centre of the site, we	16	gone back and forth on, and what we feel is the
17	have Building 4. And Building 4 is main floor	17	best approach is an amendment to the mixed-use.
18	commercial, and that one there is going to be very	18	So one of the things that everyone is
19	selective on the tenant. The use there has to be a	19	concerned about is height. So if we overlay a
20	bakery or a coffee shop, somewhere that you can go	20	height schedule on this, then in these areas, those
21	and get your sandwich made, and then go and sit in	21	heights can't exceed what the height schedule says.
22	the park behind it and enjoy your lunch in nature.	22	So if we start at the right-hand portion, which is
23	So we want a use that supports getting outdoors.	23	labelled Number 1 on the map, the height we're
24	Above that we envision some micro loft to add	24	proposing there is 11 metres or two or three
25	housing diversity to the site.	25	floors. As we move into the site more and

1	Page 22 you'll see the area that's highlighted with two	2 1	Page 24 bigger than 14 along the river. They are the
2	we're proposing 40 metres or 11 floors. As it	2	standard five metres between Riverbank Landing and
3	comes closer to the river, we want it to step down,	3	the Shops at Boudreau. So we're not proposing any
4	so we're proposing 33 metres or eight floors. And	4	change for setbacks.
5	then coming back up again towards the shops, we're	5	In the minimum commercial and it's kind
6	proposing 50 metres and 15 floors. Then the	6	of an interesting zoning here in the fact that it's
7	balance of the site, the commercial site, we are	7	minimum commercial. So like our last proposal, we
8	proposing 20 metres or five floors.	8	are proposing a reduction to the minimum
9	The floors are a bit of a rough indication	9	commercial. We feel that the six percent is a
10	because some things factor into it. Like, is it a	10	better blend for the residential and what the
11	commercial space? How high are the ceiling heights	11	commercial needs. But it is a minimum. So we'll
12	in the commercial space? How high are the ceiling	12	let the market determine.
13	heights in the residential area? The metres are	13	So the Oakmont Area Structure Plan
14	obviously an exact measurement. The floors give	14	Amendment. So you can see the three parcels here.
15	you an approximation of the size. So they're for	15	300 Orchard Court is in orange yellow. I don't
16	comparative purposes.	16	know. Call it yellow. And we're proposing the
17	So our DCMU or direct control mixed-use	17	residential area structure plan to be changed to
18	district amendments that we're proposing. So it	18	mixed-use. And then the orange, 230, 250 Orchard
19	starts with floor-area ratio. So the existing DCMU	19	Court, commercial, and we're proposing that to
20	regulation has a floor-area ratio of 3.21. So a	20	change to mixed-use.
21	floor-area ratio is really what determines how big	21	Now, I do want to point out one thing here
22	a building you can put. There are setbacks that	22	while we're on this slide because I know that
23	determine how close to the edge of the property you	23	protection of the river is very important to
24	can build. There are height schedules that say how	24	well, to St. Albertans, and it's important to us as
24	high you can build it. But the floor-area ratio is	24	well. So you will see here, this is the
25		+ +	Page 25
1	how big can it actually be. Page 23	1	environmental reserve along the river, so that's an
2	And simply stated, if you have a lot that's	2	area that nobody is allowed to build. There is
3	10,000 square feet and you have a floor-area ratio	3	another parcel that we do not own that is here that
4	that's 3.21, you can build a building that's 3.21	4	further sets us back from the environmental
5	times 10,000 square feet or 321,000 square feet.	5	reserve. And all the distances and setbacks that
6	So that's the standard that's allowed in the DCMU.	6	I'm talking about are from our property edge, not
7	For Riverbank, we're proposing a cutback from that.	7	this additional parcel. So another fact to that is
8	And we will go of a 1.9 floor-area ratio, reducing	8	we are back further from the environmental reserve
9	the size of the allowable building by about 40	9	than our setbacks indicate.
10	percent.	10	Land use district changes. So right now
11	The maximum height, standard maximum heights	11	both parcels are zoned direct control. The direct
12	in the DCMU are 25 metres. There is an 80-metre	12	control zoning is a very short one-page document
13	schedule approved for Grandin Park Village. What	13	that basically says it's up to City Council for
14	we are proposing for Riverbank Landing and this	14	zoning. We want to change it from a direct control
15	goes back to the previous slide is staggered and	15	to a direct control mixed-use and with all the
16	stepped heights. So Building 2 would be in the 50	16	amendments that we mentioned previously.
17	metre and 40 metre and 33 metre on the current	17	Sun shadow study. So current conditions.
18	slide or the last slide we had. Building 3, the	18	This was at September 21st at three p.m. Now, this
19	overlay is 11 metres. The townhomes would be 11	19	sun shadow study that we have here is all still on
20	metres. The balance of site at 20 metres. So this	20	the larger massed out building. It has the full 14
21	would be a site-specific amendment that we would	21	floors on the north wing, not the 11 floors that we
22	make.	22	committed to reducing the height to. So the
23	Minimum setbacks. Standard DCMU, the	23	shadows will be better than what you see here, but
24	minimum setbacks are five metres. Our proposal for	24	this is the model that we have at the moment.
25	Riverbank Landing is seven to 14. They go a little	25	The idea for this presentation is to gather
<u> </u>			

24

25

all of St. Albert.

If you go to the Shops of Boudreau on a

your feedback, make any further changes, then we sunny Saturday afternoon, there is just lots of 1 1 2 will get all the studies done, and we will do a 2 people out enjoying the shops, enjoying the patios. complete application to the City. They're having a good time. We would like to build 3 3 So standard times for shadows are spring and on what has started there in the Shops of Boudreau. 4 4 So that ends the formal part of our autumn equinox at nine, noon, and three p.m. In 5 5 6 order to make the shadow study a little easier to 6 presentation. The whole session is being recorded, understand, we've done a couple things here. We've and we will have a link to the entire session on 7 7 outlined the boundary of our property in blue. The our website if you want to review it further. And 8 8 shadow on our site appears in gray and any shadow 9 now we will go to the guestion and answer portion. 9 10 that we cast, any new shadow that is cast off site, 10 MR. BROSSEAU: Excellent. Thanks, Dave. So I'm Rob is in yellow. So you can really see the impact of Brosseau. I'm going to act as the moderator to 11 11 12 the shadows on this development -- or of this 12 work through the open-floor forum. So we're development. working our best to run this as close to the 13 13 in-person meeting but doing it virtually. So if 14 And here is our shadow spring and autumn 14 15 equinox at 12 p.m. The shadow study is available 15 you want to ask a question, click the "raise your on our website if you want to study it further on hand" on the bottom of your screen, and it will put 16 16 17 your own. And three p.m. shadows at spring and 17 your name up into a queue on my screen here. 18 autumn equinox. 18 When it's your time to talk, I'll say your 19 Summer solstice, nine a.m. shadows. Again, 19 first name, and then you will also get a 20 they're in yellow, and you can see they just kind 20 rectangular box on your screen, and a message will 21 of reach just barely across the road. 12 p.m. 21 come up that says the host would like you to unmute your microphone. If you click on the blue "unmute 22 shadows, and they just hardly come off the 22 23 property. Three p.m. shadows, and on this one, we now" button, you should be able to speak at that 23 24 had an additional request by a community member to time. 2.4 25 do a further one. So on this one, we did a six 25 If for some reason we get a bit of a delay Page 27 Page 29 in your response, we'll move to the next person, 1 p.m. as well. 1 If you want to see how the shadows travel on but we'll track your name and try to come back to 2 2 the site, we do have the full video on our website 3 3 you as guickly as we can. And obviously in order from the first proposal, and once the design is to allow as many people to speak, we'll be 4 4 refined, we will do further studies. So the two 5 maximizing each person's time to two minutes. 5 bits of information are available there. But if 6 the two-minute mark, your mic will be muted, and 6 7 you go to our website and you look at that video, 7 we'll do our best to address your comments and it gives you a very good idea of how the shadows questions. So I do see a couple hands up here. So 8 8 9 track across. And nine a.m. shadows on winter 9 let's get started. solstice. 12 p.m. shadow in winter again. And our And we are going to go to Ken. Ken, go 10 11 three p.m. shadow on winter solstice. 11 ahead and push your blue button. 12 So what you see is a result of sort of our 12 KEN CRUTCHFIELD: Okay. Can you hear me? 13 commitment to the site, what we believe honours the 13 MR. HAUT: Yes. 14 KEN CRUTCHFIELD: What is the anticipated build-out date legacy, and what society is moving towards as well 14 as a compromise on all the feedback that we've for this development? If it's accepted as 15 15 16 received to date. The heights have been reduced 16 proposed, what do you anticipate given current 17 several times. The mass has been changed. The 17 market conditions for the eventual build-out? green space has increased. The nature has evolved 18 MR. HAUT: Good question. We would like to start in the 18 to pet friendly areas as well. We believe that a 19 19 spring. We do have a waiting list of people that 20 mixed-use community is the very best possible use 20 want the river-view units. Traditionally we're absorbing about 75 to 80 units a year. So if we go 21 for this land benefitting not only the immediate 21 22 neighbours and the people that live there, but the 22 75 into -- I'll go 80 units into 360. You'd think new town square will act as a gathering point for I would have this answer for you, Ken. My 23 23

24

25

apologies.

KEN CRUTCHFIELD: Four to five years?

Page 26

Page 28

10	0/2020		3 (30 - 33)
1	Page 30 MR. HAUT: Yeah. Yeah, four to five years. Five years	1	Page 32 of have this nice walkway all along the lake, and
2	probably. Four years, it's going to be a little	2	then it's fenced off for the private enjoyment of
3	quick for that.	3	those residences. So I quess that's what I'm
	KEN CRUTCHFIELD: Would I be able to ask another	4	thinking. Long term, what guarantees access to the
5	question or no?	5	City through that site?
	MR. HAUT: Sure.		MR. HAUT: Yeah, that's a good question. We haven't had
	KEN CRUTCHFIELD: Okay. Real quick. In the last	7	the conversation with the City. I can say as a
8	development, you had a projected tax base of about	8	developer typically those agreements are handled
			through mutual access agreements which caveat the
9	2.6 million. They figured about 1.1 million would	9	
10	be used to provide services to the development	10	property, and the City and the condo are part of
11	leaving a net to the City of about 1.4 million. Do	11	it, and they can't be changed without 100 percent
12	you have any figures like that for this	12	consent. So I would explore some method like that.
13	development?	13	But, again, I'm speaking just as a developer, not
14	MR. HAUT: We don't yet. We really want to get a good	14	on behalf of the City.
15	idea on what the development is, and then we will	15	I'm not sure if there's anyone in the City
16	do all the reports. So those will be coming in	16	that can answer that question for you, Neil. I'll
17	with our submission to the City. And as we get	17	throw it over to them and see if there is.
18	those, we'll put them up on our website too unless	18	MS. MITCHELL: Lenore Mitchell. Dave, you're right. We
19	there's a reason that they don't want them	19	would look at caveat. And at this point, we really
20	released.	20	haven't had that discussion, so I think it's a good
21	KEN CRUTCHFIELD: Okay. Thank you.	21	point Neil has made and something we can further
22	MR. HAUT: Yeah.	22	explore.
23	MR. BROSSEAU: We're moving on to Neil. Go ahead, Neil.	23	MR. HAUT: Okay. Thank you, Lenore.
24	NEIL KOROTASH: All right. Thanks. Yeah. I guess	24	NEIL KOROTASH: Thanks very much. What's the procedure
25	maybe just my first question. I missed the first	25	here. I've got a couple more questions. Do I go
1	Page 31 couple of minutes and then I caught the rest. So	1	Page 33 back in the queue or do I keep going?
2	am I to gather that you've chosen Option B? That's		MR. HAUT: Let's flip it over to Rob. Rob, what do you
3	the one that you're proceeding with I'm assuming	3	think?
4	because that's what the rest of the presentation		MR. BROSSEAU: I only have a few hands up, so based on
5	was about?	5	that, why don't you do one more, Neil, and then we
6	MR. HAUT: Yeah, that's correct. I mean, we've reduced	6	can transition to the next person on the list.
7			NEIL KOROTASH: Okay. Sure. The next question I have,
	the heights, tweaked it somewhat. But in		
8	substance, yes.	8	I know you spoke about the one building there with
	NEIL KOROTASH: Okay. Thanks. So I guess a couple	9	the restaurant and the patios facing the one
10		10	patio, the one restaurant facing the river. But if
11	looks fantastic. I love the connectivity there.	11	I look at and I don't have the plans right in
12	But I guess I don't know if this is a question	12	front of me, but the large building, the one that's
13		13	stepped back, the main building there, if you will.
14	appropriate for this forum here, but long term,	14	The first floor of that or the river-facing side of
		14 15	The first floor of that or the river-facing side of that on the first floor, is that all intended to be
14	appropriate for this forum here, but long term,		
14 15	appropriate for this forum here, but long term, that connectivity that you're showing for Red Willow Trail system, that would be owned by the	15	that on the first floor, is that all intended to be
14 15 16	appropriate for this forum here, but long term, that connectivity that you're showing for Red Willow Trail system, that would be owned by the development, would it not? That's not City	15 16	that on the first floor, is that all intended to be residential?
14 15 16 17	appropriate for this forum here, but long term, that connectivity that you're showing for Red Willow Trail system, that would be owned by the development, would it not? That's not City property? And so long term, what guarantees the	15 16 17	that on the first floor, is that all intended to be residential? And I guess where I'm going with that is,
14 15 16 17 18	appropriate for this forum here, but long term, that connectivity that you're showing for Red Willow Trail system, that would be owned by the development, would it not? That's not City property? And so long term, what guarantees the City access to that if it does become incorporated	15 16 17 18	that on the first floor, is that all intended to be residential? And I guess where I'm going with that is, you know, we don't have anywhere in the city right
14 15 16 17 18 19	appropriate for this forum here, but long term, that connectivity that you're showing for Red Willow Trail system, that would be owned by the development, would it not? That's not City property? And so long term, what guarantees the City access to that if it does become incorporated	15 16 17 18 19	that on the first floor, is that all intended to be residential? And I guess where I'm going with that is, you know, we don't have anywhere in the city right now where we have any kind of river-facing patio,
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	appropriate for this forum here, but long term, that connectivity that you're showing for Red Willow Trail system, that would be owned by the development, would it not? That's not City property? And so long term, what guarantees the City access to that if it does become incorporated into the Red Willow Park Trail system?	15 16 17 18 19 20	that on the first floor, is that all intended to be residential? And I guess where I'm going with that is, you know, we don't have anywhere in the city right now where we have any kind of river-facing patio, any kind of river-facing commercial. We don't have
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	appropriate for this forum here, but long term, that connectivity that you're showing for Red Willow Trail system, that would be owned by the development, would it not? That's not City property? And so long term, what guarantees the City access to that if it does become incorporated into the Red Willow Park Trail system? And I'm just thinking. I know we were in	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that on the first floor, is that all intended to be residential? And I guess where I'm going with that is, you know, we don't have anywhere in the city right now where we have any kind of river-facing patio, any kind of river-facing commercial. We don't have many opportunities left to do that. And so I guess
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	appropriate for this forum here, but long term, that connectivity that you're showing for Red Willow Trail system, that would be owned by the development, would it not? That's not City property? And so long term, what guarantees the City access to that if it does become incorporated into the Red Willow Park Trail system? And I'm just thinking. I know we were in Toronto this summer, and there was lakefront	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that on the first floor, is that all intended to be residential? And I guess where I'm going with that is, you know, we don't have anywhere in the city right now where we have any kind of river-facing patio, any kind of river-facing commercial. We don't have many opportunities left to do that. And so I guess my concern or feedback would be with the one

10 (34 - 37)

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	Page 34 commercial, that river-facing commercial along the		Page 36 MR. HAUT: Okay. Thanks for the question, Robyn. We
2	first floor of that main building as well. But am	2	will certainly do that, and, again, I sound like a
3	I to understand that what you're proposing is all	3	broken record. Once we get the we know exactly
4	residential there?	4	what it's going to do, we will spend the money and
5	MR. HAUT: No. The corner of it has commercial as well.	5	get those renderings and include Botanica in there.
6	At this stage, the commercial so we have the	6	But I can address your hill comment. And
7	restaurant and then it flows across restaurant,	7	you're right, there's a significant grade to that
8	flows across the village square, goes into the	8	site. But when we say 11 floors and when the City
9	residential building, but the main floor of that	9	measures a building, they find the absolute lowest
10	residential building on that end cap is commercial.	10	point which would be on the river downside of the
11	So it's not the entire length, but it is a good	11	hill, and that's the point they start from and go
12	chunk.	12	up 11 from there. So as the hill goes up, we don't
13	NEIL KOROTASH: Just the end cap? Nothing on the	13	get 11 floors at the top of the hill. Let's assume
14	river-facing side or on the trail-facing side? So	14	the hill is five floors big. Then at the top of
15	nothing along like, where it says yeah, I see	15	the hill, we're only allowed six. So the City
16	the blue on the map there now. So that's the only	16	measures from the very lowest point to the very
17	commercial in that building, right?	17	highest point, and you can't exceed it regardless
18	MR. HAUT: Yeah, that's right.	18	of ground elevation.
19	NEIL KOROTASH: Okay. I've got a couple more, but I can	19	ROBYN: Okay. Thanks.
20	go back in the queue there if you want.	20	MR. BROSSEAU: All right. We're moving on to Ruby.
21	MR. BROSSEAU: Yeah. Just put your I'll just disable	21	RUBY: Hi. Can you hear me?
22	your talking and just put your hand back up and	22	MR. HAUT: Yes, you bet, Ruby.
23	we'll put you back in the queue.	23	RUBY: First, thank you for doing this presentation and
24	NEIL KOROTASH: Sure, yeah.	24	thank you for listening to the citizens. I think
25	MR. BROSSEAU: Thank you. All right. Now we're moving	25	this is a huge step forward. I have two questions.
	Page 35	5	Page 37
1	on to Robyn.	1	First around this property is and the red barn and
	ROBYN: Hi, there. Can you hear me? MR. HAUT: Yes.	2	the legacy of the Hole family. I have haven't seen
		3	anything in this presentation that mentions them or
	ROBYN: I was wondering if because this is a a	4	any incorporation of the historic value of the red
5	hill essentially. It goes down low from Botanica	5	barn in this property.
6	all the way up the Oakmont hill. If we can get a	6	And my second question is at one time there
7	rendering with that being used. Because clearly	7	was some talk of seniors housing, and does that
8	you're not going to make a big wall and level it	8	form any part of this?
9	flat like Botanica. Because as of right now, you		MR. HAUT: So a couple good questions there, Ruby. The
10	know, 11 floors doesn't sound so crazy or 15 floors	10	Hole's legacy is something that we do want to
11	doesn't sound so crazy high when you're comparing	11	honour. Like, most people in St. Albert, I've had
12	it to Botanica, but with it being on a hill, it's qoing to look way taller than what it what	12	interactions with Lois myself, and she really was a
13	you're proposing. And so I'm wondering, is there	13	special person. So we will put aside an area. I haven't done anything on the plans yet, but as they
14	any drawings or anything allowing for us to see how	14	come forward and get more evolved, you will see
16		16	that.
	high those buildings really are going to be	1-0	
	high those buildings really are going to be	17	And the seniors component shealutely That
17	compared to Botanica? I guess that's the only	17	And the seniors component, absolutely. That
17 18	compared to Botanica? I guess that's the only thing we can compare it to now because that's the	18	was that was one of the things that came out
17 18 19	compared to Botanica? I guess that's the only thing we can compare it to now because that's the tallest building on the site.	18 19	was that was one of the things that came out really strong as needed is not assisted living but
17 18 19 20	compared to Botanica? I guess that's the only thing we can compare it to now because that's the tallest building on the site. And if you could also in those renderings	18 19 20	was that was one of the things that came out really strong as needed is not assisted living but really independent seniors. If they choose to have
17 18 19 20 21	compared to Botanica? I guess that's the only thing we can compare it to now because that's the tallest building on the site. And if you could also in those renderings you've made have Botanica standing tall? Because	18 19 20 21	was that was one of the things that came out really strong as needed is not assisted living but really independent seniors. If they choose to have a meal, they can. If they don't, they don't want
17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>compared to Botanica? I guess that's the only thing we can compare it to now because that's the tallest building on the site. And if you could also in those renderings you've made have Botanica standing tall? Because right now it just looks like a flat parking lot.</pre>	18 19 20 21 22	was that was one of the things that came out really strong as needed is not assisted living but really independent seniors. If they choose to have a meal, they can. If they don't, they don't want to. They can get housekeeping if they want or not.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>compared to Botanica? I guess that's the only thing we can compare it to now because that's the tallest building on the site. And if you could also in those renderings you've made have Botanica standing tall? Because right now it just looks like a flat parking lot. So if you could, you know, somehow incorporate that</pre>	18 19 20 21 22 23	was that was one of the things that came out really strong as needed is not assisted living but really independent seniors. If they choose to have a meal, they can. If they don't, they don't want to. They can get housekeeping if they want or not. We see that as being part of the vision here. Now,
17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>compared to Botanica? I guess that's the only thing we can compare it to now because that's the tallest building on the site. And if you could also in those renderings you've made have Botanica standing tall? Because right now it just looks like a flat parking lot.</pre>	18 19 20 21 22	was that was one of the things that came out really strong as needed is not assisted living but really independent seniors. If they choose to have a meal, they can. If they don't, they don't want to. They can get housekeeping if they want or not.

Page 38 Page 40 vet, but there is absolutely a need for seniors. were directly from the summary that Y Station gave 1 1 2 RUBY: Perfect. Thank you. 2 us, and we didn't edit them at all. MR. BROSSEAU: All right. We are going to Jerry. JERRY HUSAR: Okay. My first two minutes aren't up. I 3 3 ahead, Jerry. want to make another comment. You had mentioned 4 4 5 MR. HAUT: Sorry, Jerry. You're muted there. I can't that you will be carrying out a traffic impact 5 6 hear you. 6 analysis in the coming weeks or so. Traffic JERRY HUSAR: Okay. Sorry. obviously is a huge issue. It always has been for 7 7 MR. HAUT: How many times have we had this conversation? 8 this area. We understand that the traffic at the 8 JERRY HUSAR: Hi, Dave, Rob. Yes, like Neil before, I 9 intersection has already reached capacity. That's 9 10 have a few questions. I won't take up more than my 10 before the introduction of any development on two minutes, and I'll go back in the queue. First Riverbank Landing. We also are aware that the City 11 11 12 a comment. All of the renderings that were part of 12 did a request for proposal for improvements to the this presentation showing the walkable, livable intersection, the Boudreau/Bellerose intersection, 13 13 area, I notice all were in the summertime in the but those are focussed on safety and not any future 14 14 15 one or two nicest days of the year. There was no 15 development including that which may be north of 16 mention or renderings that showed what it would be the city since the annexation. 16 17 like in wintertime, which is at least half the 17 MR. HAUT: Okay. All right, Jerry. I think you were year. asking basically how traffic is going to be 18 18 19 The question that I have for you is that you 19 handled, and it's -- once we know what the 20 mentioned the survey. And will the public be 20 development is, we will do the traffic study. As 21 seeing the results of the survey per each question 21 far as the City's stance on what the proposal is 22 and will those results, if they are provided to the 22 and what they did, I'm really not qualified to 23 public, show the answers from the neighbouring 23 speak on City decisions. But what I can tell you communities versus the city at large? is last time we did this, there was a number of 24 2.4 25 MR. HAUT: Okay. A couple of questions there, Jerry. 25 improvements that were recommended in that study. Page 39 Page 41 Those are improvements, if they need to be done to 1 I'll deal with the winter first. Yeah. You know, 1 obviously there is half a year. We want to this development, then we will go ahead and either 2 2 3 maximize the summer. There's going to be some 3 pay for it and (poor connection) depending on the winter features. Like, the covered gazebo will rating of the intersection at the time. 4 4 help. We also will have a fire feature that people 5 So once we have the development and we know 5 6 can gather around. I don't know if it's going to 6 exactly what we're going to do here, then the 7 be like what the shops have right now or not, but 7 traffic study will be done, and it will have the something like that. So it will encourage use in recommendations and impact that. Rob? 8 8 9 winter. But, of course, winter is cold, and it's 9 MR. BROSSEAU: All right. We are heading to Hugh. going to be used less. MR. HAUT: Just before we do that, Rob, I'm getting an 10 internet unstable. Am I coming across okay? 11 The survey, again, good questions. The 11 12 survey wasn't the only tool that we used to assess 12 MR. BROSSEAU: You were choppy a little bit there, but 13 the information. We gathered it from everything 13 it seems like it's clear again now. 14 MR. HAUT: Okay. All right. That's good. Just let me 14 from surveys, small groups, emails we've received, and we've distilled it from there. Now, part of know if it happens again, please. 15 15 16 the survey was market information, what exact types 16 MR. BROSSEAU: Okay. Thank you. of uses would we like to see here, what's the 17 17 Go ahead, Hugh. 18 demographics of people we're going after. So 18 HUGH: Yeah. Mentioning about the trail that may come 19 there's a lot of proprietary business information 19 down along the properties on the north side of the 20 in that survey that we spent thousands and 20 river right from your area to the foot bridge on 21 thousands of dollars to get. So we're not really 21 the trails. Now, when the original owners on these 22 prepared to release the whole survey because, you 22 properties built, the City promised that there know, we paid for that intelligence. That's ours. would be no walkway access through the properties 23 23 24 What I can tell you is the comments that we 24 of Orchard Court to the river valley. Now that was 25 do use from time to time, like in that one page, 25 not followed. There is a paved walkway between two

11 (38 - 41)

12 (42 - 45)

1	Page 42 yards where people do come down through that. Now,	1	Page 44 MS. BENNETT: Sorry. I'm just trying to make sure that
2	that area, the in the area is a huge waterfowl	2	I can be heard. For those of you that know me
3	nesting area. There are various types of wildlife	3	already, I'm Suzanne. I'm one of the planners in
4	that live there. And the place where a trail would	4	our planning department. And, Bill, you wanted to
5	have to go is going to greatly impact the privacy	5	know the timelines of when this would, sorry, get
6	and security of the properties along the river.	6	to Council or
7	And I'd kind of like to know what your	7	BILL SOKOLIK: Yes, and the various steps in the
8	feeling is on that. The wildlife part of it is	8	process.
9	important, but the privacy and security and safety	9	MS. BENNETT: It would mostly be the same as before
10	of the people that live along that area is	10	where we would accept the application. We do
11	important. And could you please comment?	11	circulations both internally and externally to
12	MR. HAUT: Sure. All right. Thanks. Good question,	12	residents like yourself. We've got the full
13	Hugh. I mean, obviously that's City land. So we	13	mailing list from the last public hearings, and
14	can't guarantee that they will connect the trail	14	we've got a lot of people on that mailing list that
15	there. Our perspective is that right now there's	15	would get notified that an application has come in.
16	this gap between our property and then the homes at	16	We will have all of the application documents on
17	Oakmont and I see, you know, refuge and all sorts	17	our website again. So making them very easy for
18	of stuff in there right now that's left as sort of	18	any members of the public to take a look at.
19	a wild area, but it's being used by who knows what,	19	Timeline-wise, I think it's usually about
20	kids or transients. I have no idea. But I've seen	20	three months before it gets to first reading. I
21	a lot of garbage. I've seen a lot of just not	21	might have to look at Lenore again for that for the
22	proper care. I believe that if we beautify the	22	timeline for circulation. And similar to the last
23	whole area, it's a benefit to everyone.	23	time this came in, we've got this kind of buffer
24	Now, the trail system, we'll just build it	24	around Christmas if this is coming in within the
25	in such a way that it can be connected. I'm not	25	next month or two like Rob has mentioned. Because
	Page 43		Page 45
1	aware of any promises that the City made or wildlife studies that may impact, nor has the City	1	as we've had the issue before where the holidays qot in the middle of the circulation period. So we
3		3	always try to work around that as well. But I
4	made any promises to me saying they will do it. That's their decision. We would like to see a	4	think we would be probably looking at around three
			* * •
5	trail connected on the north side, but that doesn't	5	months before we got a report ready and circulated. BILL SOKOLIK: Could maybe one of the other staff be
7	mean that it's going to happen or that we can do it or quarantee it. We just believe that quality	7	4
	• • •		more precise because my recollection of that is
8	development brings up the entire neighbourhood,	8	once you receive the application, it has to be
9	both safety, esthetics, and security.	9	deemed complete. Once it's deemed complete, then
	MR. BROSSEAU: Excellent. All right. We're moving on	10	there's the statutory circulations which go to other agencies like natural gas and the school
11	to Donna. BILL SOKOLIK: Hi, can you hear me?	11 12	boards and health unit and all that sort of stuff.
	MR. HAUT: Yes, Donna, you bet.	13	But then they have, if I remember correctly, about
	BILL SOKOLIK: It's Bill, Donna's husband. So I've	14	a 30-day turn around. During that I would assume
14	logged in under her computer. So my first quick	14	you'd send it out to the public as well. Then you
16	question well, first comment will be in order to	16	guys need to analyze the input that you get. Say
	address Neil's question about the trail system,	17	another month there. Then a Council meeting for
18	what you could do is you could dedicate it as	18	first reading, and then after that, to public
19	municipal reserve, and then it would be in the	19	hearing. So maybe somebody has a you know
20	City's hands. You wouldn't have to worry about it		MS. BENNETT: When you said "Council", I thought you
21	being bantered around between legal agreements.	21	meant first reading.
22	But my question is for staff. And could		BILL SOKOLIK: Yeah. Well, let's say Dave gets it to
	they outline for those listening the process and	23	you November 1st. What timing
	the timing that we would apticipate from today	24	MG BENNETT, Wall way protect much listed all the
24	the timing that we would anticipate from today forward? And I do have another question when	24 25	MS. BENNETT: Well, you pretty much listed all the timelines. It's for LUB it's 20 days for

13 (46 - 49)

10,	0/2020		
1	Page 46 completion. For ASP circulations, it's a 20-day	1	Page 48 such early drawings to get it so we're not outside
2	circulation. But like I mentioned and like we did	2	the building height schedule. So the idea there
3	last year, we extended that because of just where	3	was we'll just mandate some steps, but they're
4	the dates fell. We would have that option.	4	going to be a little bit more general in nature.
5	So we'd be looking at the 21 days plus	5	And then we'll go forward with the vision that we
6	mailing of course. It would be 7 days for mailing.	6	have.
7	So we add that one on there. So that's about a	7	And I understand that that might give you a
8	month circulation. And for review, it's really	8	little bit of unease. I'm not sure of another way
9	going to depend at this point how different it is	9	to do it. And one thing that I guess would maybe
10	from the first application because a lot of this we	10	help appease your unease is if you go back to the
11	have seen before.	11	early days of Botanica and have a look at our very
	BILL SOKOLIK: Okay. Thanks. That's close, but I'll	12	first water colourings and then have a look at what
13	leave it there.	13	we built. And those were pre-zoning. We built
	MR. BROSSEAU: Bill, I think	14	pretty much what we said we're going to.
	MS. MITCHELL: I'll just interject. You know, until we	15	So I can see if there's a better way to give
16	get an application, Bill, we can't give 100 percent	16	more assurance and still give us the ability to
17	because we have to look at it first to deem it	17	build off of such preliminary drawings. Because
18	complete, right. And then it is the 21 days. And	18	the problem is that you go off these concepts and
19	sometimes, depending, we might need more	19	you get zoning, and then the drawings advance, and
20	information. So, you know, we can't give 100	20	then you get your development permit. So it's a
20	percent until we get going in the process.	20	I don't know. It's a bit of a strange process.
	BILL SOKOLIK: Yeah, yeah. Fair enough. No, that's	21	But I'll have a look, see if we can refine it a
23	good. Thank you, Lenore.	23	little bit better.
	MR. BROSSEAU: Thanks, Bill. If you could if you	24	
25	don't mind, we're going to just move on to the	25	these heights that you're indicating, you've
23	201 C MILLA, WO 10 90119 CC 9220 WOLC CL CO CLC Page 47	-	Page 49
1	next. If you put your hand back up, we'll try to	1	indicated that there is underground parking. So
2	get back to you. Thank you so much.	2	where you're at 11 floors or 15 floors, does that
3	BILL SOKOLIK: Okay.	3	incorporate the underground parking or is that in
4	MR. BROSSEAU: All right. And we are going to Sandy.	4	addition to?
5	Sandy, go ahead.	5	MR. HAUT: It includes and correct me. I'm not sure
6	SANDY CLARK: Hi, Dave, Rob.	6	if there's anyone from administration that can
7	MR. HAUT: Hi, Sandy.	7	answer this question better than me or, Rob, you
8	SANDY CLARK: You've mentioned that you're going to do	8	might know. My understanding is the minute the
9	another traffic study. I would ask that that	9	foundation comes above the ground, at the lowest
10	traffic study incorporate both pre- and post-COVID	10	possible point, it's measured from there to the
11	traffic numbers because who knows where we're going	11	highest possible point. So if all the parking is
12	to be in a few months, whether we'll be locked down	12	underground and doesn't poke above, it doesn't
13	again.	13	include it. But if the parking poked above the
14	And, secondly, your building height schedule	14	ground, then it would include it.
15	in the I'm going to make reference to your	15	SANDY CLARK: So if you have one floor of parking that
16	larger building as the V-shaped building. In the	16	poked above the ground, then you would have ten
17	bottom of the 'V' you've indicated on your	17	floors of residential and one floor incorporated in
18	conceptual plan that it's going to be five floors,	18	your 11 floors?
19	but on your building height schedule, you've	19	MR. HAUT: Correct.
20	indicated it's going to be eight. So can you speak	20	SANDY CLARK: Okay. And my last comment/question, I
21	to the discrepancy there?	21	appreciate the fact that you've reduced that one
22	MR. HAUT: Yeah. Yeah. Absolutely I can, Sandy. We	22	corner to 11 floors, and it looks like it's going
23	need to be able to put a schedule in place that we	23	to be about three sections of 11 floors and then
24	can stick with a vision and actually build. And I	24	step down. What is the transition between that and

Page 50 Page 52 is the Botanica building on that -- I quess, the doesn't seem to me that that's going to be very 1 1 appealing for people to buy a townhome that's 2 southeast corner of that Botanica II? 2 shaded by an 11-floor building. MR. HAUT: That's ten floors. 3 3 MR. HAUT: Yeah. Another good comment. The transition NEIL KOROTASH: So given that that's ten floors, 15 4 4 is really landscaping and then the townhomes. floors -- I mean, I appreciate the staggering, the 5 5 6 They're back quite a ways from the building, and 6 green roofs. That all looks fantastic, and it will the shade we feel moves fast enough that there will certainly help. But I'm still concerned about just 7 7 be people that don't view that as a detriment. 8 that length of that building and the massing up 8 against the river and what it looks like from the But, yeah, the transition is landscaping. There's 9 9 10 no other building in between there. 10 riverside, from the park, from the Red Willow Trail BROSSEAU: Excellent. Thanks, Sandy. We're going system. And so maybe when it goes to Council, 11 MR. 11 12 back to Ken. Go ahead, Ken. 12 maybe when it's presented, if there's an KEN CRUTCHFIELD: Okay. I appreciate this -- I would opportunity to have a view -- I know you've got a 13 13 14 just echo, like others have, that it's good to have 14 concept drawing on the website there, but something 15 this type of a briefing. I just want to clarify 15 that -- what is the building going to look like this issue about the relationship on the control of from the trail, from that south side of the 16 16 17 building height. Dave, I believe you mentioned 17 building or even from the river, and how high that that where the building first comes out of the 18 18 building reaches up. 19 ground, it's from that point to the top of the 19 So I guess -- I don't know. That's my 20 building that -- or the top of the building -- when 20 concern is just the massiveness, the literal 21 you say 11 storeys, we're talking about the lowest 21 massiveness, of that building up against the river. 22 point and 11 storeys above it, correct? 22 So anything you can do to break that up and try and MR. HAUT: That's right. No matter where that 11 23 reduce sort of the starkness of the impact like 23 Botanica II has on the river valley would be storeys is. So from the very lowest to the very 24 2.4 25 highest. Not including things like a mechanical 25 preferential in my opinion. Page 51 Page 53 1 penthouse, but real floors, yes. 1 MR. HAUT: Yeah. No, and I appreciate that comment, KEN CRUTCHFIELD: Oh, so a mechanical -- like, on the Neil. I've looked at Botanica II and thought the 2 2 3 top of that second face of Botanica? Those top 3 same thing myself. So this building, we certainly towers, they weren't included in part of the will provide you with a very detailed -- when it 4 4 building height? 5 goes to City Council or even with our application a 5 MR. HAUT: No. Mechanical stuff isn't included in 6 very detailed rendering of what it's going to look 6 7 building height. So, I mean, if it's a substantial 7 like. structure, it would be. But as a rule, things like 8 This building has a couple unique features 8 9 chillers or just a little penthouse to house a 9 to help break that mass up, and one of them is that boiler are not included in building height, but it's -- well, three of them I guess. So it steps 10 they're just -- they're very, very small. down along the river. It also steps out towards 11 11 12 KEN CRUTCHFIELD: Okay. Thank you. 12 the river, so it's not a straight wall. And then 13 MR. BROSSEAU: All right. And we're going on to Neil. 13 what Arc and Stephen have done is such a fantastic 14 job is it also steps down from the building itself. NEIL KOROTASH: Thanks very much. I guess probably more 14 of a comment and maybe a request than a question So the parking lot side is actually a little higher 15 15 16 here. Just some feedback. I'd intended -- logged 16 then the subsequent face below. So on the river, you have stepping in one, two, three directions, 17 in tonight intending to support Proposal A just 17 and those are all rimmed with planters. It will be because I liked the building massing better up 18 18 against the river. And I'm a little bit concerned 19 19 a good-looking building. 20 with Proposal B just with the size of the building 20 But I do hear your concern to reduce the 21 fronting the river. You know, I really like the 21 mass, and it's something that we've heard before, 22 Botanica development from the parking lot side. 22 so we'll have a good look at it and see if there's But having spent a lot of time on the river this anything else we can do as well. 23 23 24 NEIL KOROTASH: Thank you. 24 summer, it's quite startling from the river how 25 massive the Botanica building is. How many floors 25 MR. BROSSEAU: All right. And we are going on to Andy.

14 (50 - 53)

15 (54 - 57)

1	Go ahead, Andy.	4 1	Page 56 what my expectation would be. So your proposal
2	MR. HAUT: Sorry, Andy. I think you're on mute.	2	looks like it might be a little bit higher, and it
3	ANDY: I got it there. Okay. Thank you. I'm	3	takes away quite a bit from that type of trail
4	interested in just a little more info on the	4	development. That's all the questions I have.
5	traffic study that Jerry spoke about. That is, is	5	MR. HAUT: Yeah. Okay. And, I mean, you bring up a
6	there a projection of timeframe? Like, a ten-year	6	good comment, Andy, and it's one of the things that
7	and a 20-year projection in that study?	7	we always wrestle with. So there is a portion
8	MR. HAUT: Yeah. So we haven't done a traffic study for	8	certainly that's higher, and there's a big portion
9	this one, but on our old proposal it went out, I	9	that's lower. And we're trying to reduce the mass
10	believe, 30 years and encompasses all the ancillary	10	of the building. So if we drop the whole thing to
11	areas and all the forecasted growth.	11	ten storeys or so, we could likely have the same
12	Rob, is it 20 or 30 years? Do you know off	12	density. But it wouldn't be as interesting. So
13	the top of your head?	13	we're wading those two things. So I appreciate
14	MR. BROSSEAU: I think it was 30, and then they do a	14	your comments like that. It will help us determine
15	multiplier of a certain percentage every year over	15	what's the best course to go forward.
16	that year of forecasted growth coming down the	16	MR. BROSSEAU: Excellent. We're moving on to Ruby.
17	roads that connect to it.	17	RUBY: Hi. I just with regard to the traffic, the
18	ANDY: Okay. Good. Also being a very outdoorsy person,	18	City is currently in the process of having a
19	I walk the trail on the north side of the river, so	19	consultant review and make recommendations on a
20	I'm very interested in the trail development that	20	huge traffic study that's already been done. So
21	you've proposed, and in addition, I feel that the	21	the current recommendations that will be going
22	it should be coordination with the City. Mayor	22	forward, in my understanding, to City Council soon
23	Heron explained that she knew felt that the	23	is that all residential area speeds will be reduced
24	citizens were all interested to have that trail	24	to 40, arterial roads, for the most part, will
25	developed. I feel that they should be tied	25	increase to 60.
1	Page 5: together and not proceed with one without having	5 1	Page 57 And so that arterial increase in speed goes
2	put together a full plan so that you don't, years	2	from Evergreen up the hill past Oakmont and Erin
3	later, take a look, oh, we've got this darn	3	Ridge. The road narrows to one lane each way in
4	bottleneck we haven't thought about. We should	4	that area. Some changes have been made and are
5	have did that a lot earlier. I feel the City	5	being made along the roadway to create trail
6	should be involved in planning that out right now	6	crossways crosswalks, formal crosswalks, instead
7	along with your development plan.	7	of just walking through the ditch from Erin Ridge
	MR. HAUT: I'm meeting with the mayor tomorrow, and I	8	to Oakmont by Eldorado Drive. That's already
9	will pass that along. I know she's passionate	9	complete. They do need to put in the push-button
10	about the trail. She hasn't made any commitments	10	flashing signals.
11	or anything like that. But I know that it's near	11	But with regard to this development and the
12	and dear to her heart. And it just makes sense.	12	increase in traffic, is there a plan or is the
13	If we can plan it, why won't we? So we're talking,	13	developer working with the City for the need for
14	anyways, is what I can say right now.	14	basically two turning lanes at Boudreau and
	ANDY: Okay. Good. And, lastly, the heights that are	15	Bellerose from Bellerose onto Boudreau south and
16	proposed in your scheduled development, I was	16	two lanes to go straight through? Because at the
17	looking at those schedules, and I slip on the name	17	present time with no development and Botanica II
18	of the person who asked about a rendering of the	18	not fully occupied, many, many times you can't even
19	buildings with the current Botanica height. I	19	get through the light at Evergreen if you wish to
20	think that would be quite important for us to be	20	turn left onto Boudreau. You wait two or three
21	able to visualize that. I currently as I said,	21	lights because the turn the second lane the
22	I currently walk the trail along the river, the	22	two lanes going straight through are backed up with
23	grassy trail behind the foot bridge, Orchard Park	23	people wanting to turn that can't get into the
24	Development, and I think that that the height of	24	turning lane. So that really needs to be addressed
25	the current Botanica, for me, that kind of is the	25	as well as the synchronizing of the traffic lights.
		1	1

16 (58 - 61)

1	Page 58 MR. HAUT: Yes. I can't speak to this proposal because	1	Page 60 City. But we do have the ability to make those
2	we don't have the traffic study done yet. But on	2	improvements. And if the traffic study says you
3	our previous proposal, the double left-turn lane	3	just fit this, we won't do it. We would be silly
4	from Bellerose onto Boudreau I always get those	4	to. People can't get in, we can't sell.
5	two roads mixed up. I think I got it right this	5	NATALIE: Right. Okay. That's helpful. Thank you. I
6	time. That was one of the recommendations. And so	6	think I did have one further follow-up but can't
7	those recommendations will come out. I don't see	7	think of it at the moment. So if it comes to mind,
8	why it wouldn't be a recommendation this time	8	I'll raise my hand again.
9	around. But, again, the study will be done once we	9	MR. HAUT: Thank you.
10	know exactly what we're building.	10	MR. BROSSEAU: Thanks, Natalie. All right. We're going
11	MR. BROSSEAU: All right. We are going to Natalie.	11	to go back to Donna or I think it was Bill actually
12	MR. HAUT: Hi, Natalie. I think you're on mute still.	12	that was talking.
	NATALIE: Sorry. Can you hear me now?	13	BILL SOKOLIK: Hi. It's Bill again. Hi, there. First
	MR. HAUT: We can hear you now.	14	question, the City is undergoing an annexation
	NATALIE: Okay.	15	that's going to occur sometime in the next six
	MR. HAUT: (Inaudible) you're on mute. How did you do	16	months to a year I would say. It looks like
17	that?	17	there's agreement with Sturgeon County. In that
	NATALIE: I have no idea. I think it was maqic.	18	annexation area, there's some area to the east of
	MR. HAUT: Okay.	19	the City that will enter the City from on
	NATALIE: Sorry about that. My question also relates to	20	Bellerose. Do you think you will have to take that
21	the traffic study. I'm just curious if that	21	into consideration with your traffic study?
22	traffic study will be done in advance of your		MR. HAUT: I know that the traffic the last traffic
23	application to the City and if that will form part	23	study did capture traffic coming from Sturgeon
24	of the package that's submitted to them?	24	County. So they it's really, really very
	MR. HAUT: Yes and yes.	25	comprehensive. I'm going to say two things here
2.5	Page 59	2.5	Page 61
1	NATALIE: Okay. Excellent. So just on that note, one	1	that are likely going to get me shot, but I'm going
2	further follow-up question. So if that traffic	2	to say them anyways because I think they're
3	impact assessment shows improvements that are	3	important to remember. One of the comments in the
4	required that are not necessarily viable within the	4	traffic study was that while this development is a
5	road right of way that's there or you can't meet	5	significant development, when you factor in the
6	certain level of service requirements, would	6	overall growth that's forecasted in the whole
7	adjustments then be made to the development to be	7	region, it doesn't really impact it that much.
8	able to meet that or would the development be	8	There's improvements we have to make as a result of
9	restricted until improvements such as that could be	9	this development, but it's not a major contributor
10	made? Just curious about that.	10	to the traffic flow through all of St. Albert.
11	MR. HAUT: Yeah. So a couple things there. So any of	11	The other one is that 127th Street has to
12	the costs related to development specific	12	come online sometime. It just has to. Now, it's
13	improvements are generally borne by the developer.	13	going to be five years or ten years or 15 years. I
14	We're not going to go ahead with this if we can't	14	don't know. But when that comes on, then really
15	get people in and out. It's going to be a massive	15	the traffic issues get resolved. We're starting to
16	fail. It will be like the Cameron developments.	16	see some of the north part of that on Ray Gibbons
17	What was it? South Edmonton Commons before they	17	Drive go in.
18	put that overpass in. Nobody wants to go there.	18	The chunk that's in Sturgeon County is a
19	So it's in our best interests to make sure	19	bottleneck right now. The overpass is all there
20	that the traffic works. So let's wait and see what	20	for the Anthony Henday, so maybe this annexation
21	the traffic study does. And if there's	21	will help speed that up. I'm not sure. But that's
22	improvements that are development specific, we pay	22	the long-term solution.
23	for them. Sometimes if there you know, a little	23	BILL SOKOLIK: Thanks very much. And I agree with you.
24	bit of shared things, it's failing right now, we	24	If 127th Street were to happen, it would make
	I Contraction of the second	25	things a lot easier for this development and others

	Page 62		Page 64
1	in Oakmont.		MR. HAUT: You bet.
2	I'm going to go back to the Y Station	2	LEIGH RITCHIE: Awesome. Okay. So I know there's been
3	survey, and several of us participated in that.	3	a lot of discussion around the height, and I'm not
4	And you're now indicating that the information is	4	going to dwell on it, but I just wanted to echo
5	proprietary, and I don't believe that the prelude	5	some sentiments that were brought up with regards
6	to the survey indicated that we were providing	6	to relating the height in your proposal to the
7	information for marketing purposes or development	7	Botanica. I think it would be very valuable to be
8	purposes but more so for future development. So I	8	able to see in relation how that would actually
9	just want to question again, Dave, on, I guess, the	9	stand and what the visual would look like.
10	optics of you keeping that under wraps and from any	10	I know Robyn was the first one that
11	of us I believe to questions about what's contained	11	mentioned that, and Andy echoed that as well. So
12	there that you don't want to share.	12	I'm just putting my hand up to say that I agree
13	MR. HAUT: Sure. And I got a few comments on that. And	13	with that, and it would be really nice to see that
14	I get where you're coming from. But the whole	14	visual.
15	thing sort of changed halfway through. So	15	MR. HAUT: Yeah.
16	originally we were going to do these design	16	LEIGH RITCHIE: Then the second and my actual question.
17	charrettes, and then we got Y Station involved to	17	And forgive me if this is something that you've
18	be a facilitator, and they said, look, our	18	already discussed in your previous proposal. But I
19	association says you can't do these design	19	know you've mentioned moving from direct control to
20	charrettes right now. We're not going to do it.	20	direct control mixed-use. And my worry is that I
21	You should do a survey.	21	don't understand this stuff, and if we are now
22	So we worked with a focus group, and they	22	agreeing to a rezoning without fully understanding
23	said, okay, fine. Let's do the survey. We	23	what that rezoning means, it worries me that we're
24	presented the survey. And then they said, we don't	24	signing off on something that we don't get.
25	like the survey. We're not talking to you anymore,	25	So my I guess my actual question would
1	Page 63 and we disagree, and they left. Well, now we're in	1	Page 65 be, is this the only way that we can have
2	a scenario where we have to look at the survey and	2	commercial building space in this area developed or
3	say, well, now what do we do? So we decided to use	3	I quess yeah. What does that what does it
4	the survey for at that point it changed. We	4	really mean if we're moving from a direct control
5	decided to use the survey for marketing information	5	to direct control mixed-use?
6	as well as gathering information. And this isn't		MR. HAUT: Okay. I'm going to answer your easy question
7	really development by vogue. We want as many ways	7	first and say we will commit to doing a rendering
8	for people to communicate to us as we can so we can	8	with Botanica in there. We agree with you. We
9	take all these ideas. There's no reason a survey	9	just don't want to spend a whole bunch of money on
10	is more valuable than someone that sends me an	10	something we're not going to do. Once we know what
11	email or people that participate in this meeting.	11	we're doing, we will do that.
12	And so we take all this information that we		
13	gather, we discern it as best we can, and we say,	13	
14	we think this is acceptable. Ultimately it's still	14	take that, or do you want me to have a stab at it?
15	up to City Council.		
	BILL SOKOLIK: Yeah. I would just conclude with the	16	a little complicated especially the naming of these
17	optics of you not sharing it and the fact that I	17	two particular ones. So direct control as a zone,
18	completed a survey that I felt was going to be	18	the way that St. Albert has it is the zone itself
19	public and maybe I didn't fully read the	19	is pretty much a carte blanche where Council can
20	produce leaves with me with a bad taste in my	20	say how development should be built, should be
20	mouth.	20	designed, and should be planned out.
	MR. HAUT: Okay.	21	As it is right now, the zoning on these
	MR. BROSSEAU: All right. We are going to Leigh.		three properties is direct control, which would
	MR. BROSSEAU: All right. We are going to Leigh. LEIGH RITCHIE: Hi, there. It's actually Leigh. Can	23	
		24	to develop under a direct control, the district it
25	you guys hear me?	25	is right now, the applicant would have to go to

1	Page 66 Council basically with a development permit	1	Page 68 away because we didn't believe that the direction
2	application and have all of their architectural	2	of the survey was ultimately going to be fair,
3	building drawings done, know all of their servicing	3	balanced, or unbiased. That's just a comment that
4	plans, and a lot of intricate details, which they	4	I'd like on the record.
5	could do. It would take a lot more of engagement	5	Possibly somebody from the City can answer
6	before they get to that point, but it's an option.	6	this question for me. I'm wondering when a
7	The direct control mixed-use zone does have	7	process when something is being evaluated, the
8	a list of permitted uses which are some places	8	estimated vehicle trips per dwelling unit, can you
9	call them a "by right use" where as long as you	9	tell me what that might be?
10	meet the heights and the setbacks and all of the	10	MS. BENNETT: Sorry, Sandy. I was jotting down some of
11	architectural details, you will get that permit.	11	your comments. Can you repeat the second half of
12	It also includes some discretionary uses, which	12	that question?
13	are, like, the maybes. Maybe you can get it, maybe	13	SANDY CLARK: The estimated vehicle trips per dwelling
14	not depending on if the site can handle it, if	14	unit.
15	there's enough setback, doesn't negatively affect		MS. BENNETT: Actually, I do not know that. And we do
16	adjacent landowners too much, that type of thing.	16	not have Dean Schick with us.
17	There is options where the applicant could		MS. MITCHELL: It depends. So I'm not a traffic
18	chose to maybe split up the site and zone partially	18	engineer. It's Lenore. So, for example, the only
19	commercial, partially residential so that you would	19	number I actually know is single-family residential
20	get something similar to that. The diagram that	20	is about ten to 11 trips per household. And then
21	was in, I think, the earlier part of the	21	for apartments and commercial, they have a lot of
22	presentation where it showed a whole bunch of	22	factors that they put in based on different models.
23	duplexes on one side and a whole bunch of	23	So when the traffic study is done, those numbers
24	commercial on the other where it sort of splits the	24	would be in there to show you what the count is
25	uses a little more. A little more strictly I guess	25	based on the type of use.
-	Page 67	2.5	Page 69
1	I should say.	1	SANDY CLARK: Okay. So basically at this point in time,
2	Whereas the mixed-use site is meant to be	2	if we use the lower of your numbers and expected
3	one full property that is designed to work as a	3	that that might be a little high, so ten vehicle
4	whole. I hope I didn't make that too complicated.	4	trips per dwelling unit per day
5	LEIGH RITCHIE: Okay. No, thank you. I appreciate	5	MS. MITCHELL: But that's on single family. That's
6	that. I just you know, from the standpoint of	6	single family, not building. Single family. So
7	someone who really doesn't know much about this, as	7	that would be a high number.
8	I'm sure it's pretty apparent right now, but I	8	SANDY CLARK: Okay. So if I did, say, eight vehicle
9	just it worries me when we're discussing	9	trips per dwelling unit over the townhomes versus
10	rezoning of a space that's so close to where I live	10	micro versus the other sizes, that's 2,880 vehicle
11	and not fully understanding what we're saying yes	11	trips per day in addition to what is already
12	to and what the potential implications down the	12	congesting Bellerose and Boudreau. I guess I'm
13	road could be.	13	probably expressing concern for a lot of people
14	MS. BENNETT: Yeah. And that's understandable. All of	14	that 360 dwelling units is still too high for that
15	our zones and the entire Land Use Bylaw is	15	particular site given the lack of accessibility and
16	available on the City website to view. So even if	16	the traffic issues that already exist.
17	you want to review that and before you send your	17	MS. MITCHELL: So thank you for that comment. And
18	comments to the applicant. And if you can't find	18	when we'll see what the traffic study shows us.
19	it, just shoot me an email at sbennett@stalbert.ca,	19	Thank you.
20	and I can send you a link.	20	MR. BROSSEAU: Thank you, Sandy. I'm going to Cynthia.
21	LEIGH RITCHIE: Wonderful. Thank you.	21	CYNTHIA WOODMAN: Hi there.
22	MR. BROSSEAU: Excellent. And we are going to Sandy.	22	MR. BROSSEAU: Hi. How's it going?
23	SANDY CLARK: So, Dave, you made a comment about the	23	CYNTHIA WOODMAN: Very well, thank you. And thank you
1	focus group stepping away. And I'd just like to	24	for doing putting on this presentation and
24	Tocus group scepping away. And i a just like to		

Page 70 Page 72 have any questions at this point. I just wanted to how are they going to get back down to St. Albert 1 1 2 echo the concerns of a couple of folks that have 2 MR. HAUT: Okay. already voiced concern about traffic. I'm really ROGER: (Inaudible). 3 3 happy to hear that you say that you wouldn't go MR. HAUT: Yes. So what we're proposing here is to put 4 4 ahead if traffic couldn't be accommodated intelligent lighting on the Evergreen Drive so it 5 5 6 appropriately. I do fear, though, that if this 6 talks to the Boudreau lights. We are proposing to proposal does go ahead and it would force the wind in Evergreen Road, which is the road between 7 7 widening of Bellerose and to 127th Street and cause our property and Shops at Boudreau and feeds into 8 8 a lot of other traffic issues. 9 Botanica. And we're proposing doing a double left 9 10 I also kayak on the river and walk along the 10 on there and then one straight through and one Red Willow path, and I already have a concern about right. So that should allow for enough queueing on 11 11 12 the Botanica II and its visible impact on the 12 the Evergreen Road to get through one set of lights onto Bellerose. Again, this is off of an old skyline and the river and how it affects the 13 13 natural feel of that area. So I just wanted to study. The new one will come out, but that's what 14 14 15 echo. Those are my major concerns is the traffic 15 the recommendations were. impact and how it affects the natural area. Thank ROGER: Okay. Thank you. 16 16 MR. BROSSEAU: All right. We are going to Julie. 17 you. 17 18 MR. HAUT: All right. Thank you, Cynthia. How it 18 Julie, go ahead. 19 affects the natural look or the environment itself 19 JULIE DIKIWICH: Yeah. Hi there. I just wanted to 20 or both? 20 point out that I'm echoing Sandy's concerns about 21 CYNTHIA WOODMAN: Both. 21 the traffic, the future traffic study, because I really think that the numbers right now or what 22 MR. HAUT: Okay. Thank you. 22 MR. BROSSEAU: Okay. 23 could happen with cold and flu season coming up 23 MR. HAUT: We will -- for environment protection, we will drastically affect the amount of traffic on 24 2.4 25 will hire an environmental company. They're a 25 the road Page 71 Page 73 I'm a little bit confused about how having a 1 consulting engineer that will put in 1 recommendations for the course of construction to double left-turn -- not the double left-turn out 2 2 3 make sure that we don't negatively impact the 3 of -- out of Botanica, but the two wetlands, so that will be in place before we go straight-throughs, will that be funneling traffic 4 4 ahead and build. So that helps at least hopefully 5 into the Evergreens? 5 alleviate some concern. But thank you for your 6 MR. HAUT: I'm sorry, Julie. I don't -- the two 6 7 comments. 7 straight-throughs. I'm sorry. The two MR. BROSSEAU: Okay. We're going to Roger. 8 straight-throughs are on Bellerose and Boudreau. 8 9 ROGER: Yes. Hello. Thank you. 9 So they would be two left and two straight-throughs MR. HAUT: Hi, Roger. there. It would only be one straight-through for 10 10 ROGER: Dave, I wonder if you can share a couple of your 11 11 the Evergreen Road. 12 ideas on how the traffic issue may be handled at 12 JULIE DIKIWICH: To go into Evergreen. And then I guess 13 the intersection of Oakmont and Bellerose? 13 I just -- I want it known that I still feel the 360 MR. HAUT: Okay. So going off of the previous 14 14 is too high for that area even with the traffic recommendations, what they recommended was a double intersection improvements that are already coming 15 15 16 left-hand turn lane, an extension of the left 16 without that development there. I think that that's just a ridiculous number. 17 queueing lane that is on Bellerose going onto 17 Boudreau, a widening of the intersection at 18 MR. HAUT: Okay. 18 19 Boudreau and Bellerose, a dedicated right-turn lane 19 MR. BROSSEAU: All right. We are going to Ken. 20 north arrow. So it would be two straight-through, 20 KEN CRUTCHFIELD: Okay. Dave, I appreciate this comes 21 two left, one right and extension of the queueing 21 back to the zoning request, and Suzanne provided I 22 lane is what they recommended for improvements at 22 think a pretty clear explanation of the difference between direct control, direct control mixed-use. that intersection on the old proposal. 23 23 24 ROGER: In the development vehicles have to turn right 24 And what my question is pertaining to here is very 25 to go up to Oakmont Drive. So what -- you know, 25 typically with developers, they take a gamble. You

19 (70 - 73)

20 (74 - 77)

1	Page 7 know, it pays your money; it takes your chances.	4 1	Page 76 just ask this question real quickly. A lot has
2	And the previous owners of these parcels had	2	been said about extending the Red Willow Trail
3	accepted the zoning that was there. You come as	3	along the north side of the river, and there was a
4	the new owner and you've got a new vision, and	4	point made that perhaps through a conversion to a
5	you're putting this proposal before the community	5	municipal reserve or potentially using as a caveat,
6	to go ahead and get some insight before you make a	6	has the City ever entered into an agreement with
7	formal application to Council.	7	the developer for access easements, which are a lot
8	And having gone through all of this, I guess	8	more binding than a caveat?
9	what I'm concerned about is with a direct control	9	MS. BENNETT: Well, access easements are registered on
10	mixed-use and it appears to provide you with the	10	title by a caveat.
11	flexibility in your negotiations with the planners	11	- KEN CRUTCHFIELD: Well, legally there's a distinction
12	and administration subsequent that Council	12	between a caveat and an easement.
13	approving it is the direct control mixed-use		MS. BENNETT: Maybe we're thinking of different types of
14	provides the limits, the upper and lower limits, of	14	caveats.
15	what the development may be. And in that regard,		KEN CRUTCHFIELD: Okay. Well, yeah, I'll hold off on
16	heaven forbid, but should you get the acceptance	16	that technicality. I'll back off. Thank you.
17	and then subsequently get cold feet about following		MR. BROSSEAU: Okay. We are going to Jonathan.
18	through on the development, to what extent would	18	JONATHAN MARTIN: Hello. So my big issue is the overall
19	the subsequent owner of that property be obligated	19	trust in the development, the developer, and as
20	to stick within the concept as you the concept	20	well as it relates to the planning department. So
21	limits that you've got out there?	20	the application would go in, as I understand it.
21	Like, you pointed out that the floor footage	21	That would change the zoning. And then once
23		22	
	going from 3.2 down to 1.9. Would this be		Council votes on changing the zoning, the majority of the decisions rely on the planning department
24 25	something that is hard and fast? And maybe it's a question more for the planners, Lenore and Suzanne,	24 25	within the City. And my big issue is the planning
25			
1	Page 7 to answer than yourself. Thank you.	1	Page 77 department voted in favour of the previous
2	MR. HAUT: Sure, Ken. I can answer it or, Suzanne, if	2	proposal, and that really scares, I would say, all
3	you would like to. Okay. There she is.	3	residents given that Council voted seven to zero
4	MS. BENNETT: I can try. There was a lot in there. Do	4	against that proposal.
5	you want me to start with the easy part or the	5	So the what kind of assurance do we have
6	switch of ownership?	6	that if Council approves some change to direct
7	MR. HAUT: I think his big concern Ken, correct me if	7	control mixed-use, what assurances do the residents
8	${\tt I}{\tt 'm}$ wrong is if this zoning goes through and we	8	have to ensure that the planning department isn't
9	sell the property, is it binding on the person that	9	going to give you a bit of an open letter to just
10	buys it?	10	design and build what you want?
11	KEN CRUTCHFIELD: Correct. Correct.	11	MR. HAUT: Good question, Jonathan. On the first
12	MS. BENNETT: The zoning would be because if any new	12	proposal, they didn't endorse the whole thing. So
13	owners wanted to do something other than what they	13	there was a couple amendments. One is to change it
14	can in the DC, then they again would have to come	14	from DC to DCMU, and the other was the height
15	and try and apply for a rezoning. The way we have	15	schedule that was giving the 100 metres, and
16	it right now, we don't really have a way of walking	16	Planning recommended that they do not give us the
17	in the concept plan. We talked about that with the	17	height schedule. So they basically chopped us back
18	applicant when we were looking at directing permit	18	there, so there was no free slate there. But
19	options to see if that was feasible. But right now	19	really the planning department has to work within
20	we haven't found a great solution for locking that	20	the parameters of the zoning itself. So the uses
21	concept plan in other than the schedule.	21	that are listed in the DCMU, if we agree to things
22	MS. MITCHELL: The schedule. Yeah, the height schedule	22	and Council says, yes, I agree to the amendments,
23	and also he was doing some site-specific	23	I'd like the 1.9 floor-area ratio, the planning
24	notwithstanding clauses.	24	department can't give anything more than that 1.9,
1 1			
25	KEN CRUTCHFIELD: Okay. So in that regard, if I may	25	so they would have to operate within the four

21 (78 - 81)

10/	0/2020		21 (70 - 01)
1	Page 78 squares that Council approved.	1	Page 80 develop that. I just strongly feel that it needs
2	JONATHAN MARTIN: I think the Council also did in their	2	to work with the surrounding community, and I don't
3	in the public meeting did raise the concern that	3	
4	they're just changing the bylaw, but they're	4	that, and we'll take this up in the next phase.
5	actually not you know, in some ways they		MR. BROSSEAU: All right. We are going to Jerry. Go
6	actually liked your design, but there was nothing	6	ahead, Jerry.
7	to bind you to what design build you were going to		JERRY HUSAR: Yes. Thank you, Robert. My questions are
8	do, and it was just, you know, hey, this was our	8	to administration. First, I have three quick
9	design build for Botanica I and II, and we kept to	9	questions. One is an explanation regarding Section
10	that, so, you know, obviously our name is on the	10	1.10 Part 1 of the Land Use Bylaw Subsection 7
11	plate here to move forward with that. So I'm	11	where it talks about an applicant must wait six
12	it's a bit of a "just trust us" sort of thing.	12	months from the time a proposed amendment was
13	And, again, I think that the planning	13	refused by Council.
	department, there's questions regarding the	14	Second, I would like an explanation over in
14		15	
15	relationship between the developers and the		Part 3 of the Land Use Bylaw. The variance section, Section 3.14. It talks about the
16	planning department. I would say that.	16	
	MR. HAUT: Well, I would have liked it if they supported	17	development officer having discretion to relax the
18	our previous proposal. You're frustrated with it,	18	height, setbacks, that type of thing up to 25
19	and so are we. You got to think that there's a way	19	percent, and I wanted to know whether that would
20	that we can bring a proposal forward and say, hey,	20	apply to this development even with a height
21	this is the rendering, this is what we want to do,	21	schedule.
22	this is the way we want to do it. So the easy	22	And, thirdly, I note that there's an in-fill
23	answer to that is direct control because City	23	development guidelines for the City. I realize
24	Council then operates as a as the it replaces	24	they're for established neighbourhoods, but I
25	the planning department. They are the development	25	understand that the City is in the process of
1	Page 79 officer. So great.	1	Page 81 developing or producing an in-fill development plan
2	So we said, let's do direct control. Well,	2	and also a river valley development plan. I'd like
3	the problem is that that expires in a year, and	3	to know the status of those and whether they will
4	this project is more than a year. So we can't	4	be available for public view before the application
5	start a project under that. So then we're left	5	is submitted. Those are my questions.
6	with trying to fit something that is unique inside	6	MS. BENNETT: Sorry. I was muted still. I can start
7	of some standard shells.	7	with 10.1. So Section 10.1 of the bylaw does state
8	I'll be quiet now and let Suzanne speak.	8	that the applicant cannot apply for the same or
9	You've unmuted there I've noticed.	9	similar development within six months of a refusal
10	MS. BENNETT: Yeah. (Inaudible). To get it really	10	from Council. So with the last public hearing of
11	nailed down, such as Edmonton does, they really	11	the Council being June 22nd, that puts this
12	like, for Edmonton, for example, uses a lot of	12	property at December 22nd. We have had discussions
13	direct control extensively through their city, and	13	with the applicant. We will need, within part of
14	every bit of the development is controlled by	14	the application, a justification of how the new
15	Council through those direct control permits.	15	application, when it comes to us, is different. It
16	For a zoning such as this, as I mentioned	16	should be considered by administration and by
17	earlier, the mixed-use district has a list of	17	Council on how it is different specifically by
18	permitted uses, discretionary uses. Large	18	addressing concerns that were brought up by the
19	developments like this have to go through an urban	19	public.
20	design review. There are a lot of parameters that	20	I think for the in-fill, Kristina, if you
21	our development officers must follow when they are	21	could maybe just jump in on the timelines of those?
22	issuing any permits.	22	MS. PETER: Hi. Sorry. I hadn't been I had been
23	JONATHAN MARTIN: So I would leave this as, you know,	23	kicked out before. So I can add some thoughts.
24	I'm I accept that development needs to go in	24	Those studies are currently being undertaken, but
25	that area, and the developer has the right to	25	they will the bulk of the work will not be done
			·

10/	0/2020		22 (02 - 03)
1	Page 8 probably in time to inform this application.	1	Page 84 floors and then 30 feet later you've got
2	Because what first needs to be done is the	2	single-family homes, I see a concern with that.
3	completion and adoption of the municipal	3	I would like to see, you know, 300 Orchard
4	development plan before we can go forward with the	4	Court remain as it is currently zoned. I
5	rest of those documents. So timing-wise this may	5	understand why you guys want to change it to DCMU,
6	occur I would suspect that this application will	6	but there are many reasons to leave it as it is
7	go forward before those other subsequent guidelines	7	currently zoned. As Jerry just spoke, you know,
8	are complete.	8	other things are lost with those bylaw amendments
	JERRY HUSAR: So will the in-fill development guidelines	9	in regards to in-fill and restrictions.
10	that are in place right now be apply as a proxy	10	So I'd just, you know, like to hear your
11	in the meantime?	11	comment on that. And I just would also like to
	MS. MITCHELL: No, because those are for single-family	12	mention as Sandy mentioned previously that, Dave
	and semidetached. They're for low density.		and Rob, when we were working with you with the
13		13	survey, we worked for hours and then three hours
	JERRY HUSAR: They're in-fill development guidelines.	14	
	MS. MITCHELL: They're very specific on the purpose of	15	with you guys to go through that survey. And at
16	them.	16	the very end after that three-hour meeting, it was
	JERRY HUSAR: What about the variance in Part 3, Section	17	when it was clear the survey was still biased,
18	3.14?	18	you decided to go ahead with it. And it was after
	MS. MITCHELL: I'm just trying to look that up because I	19	that that we decided to leave the table because of
20	don't have my Land Use Bylaw sitting here, so I	20	the lack of transparency. It wasn't before that.
21	would rather get back on that because I don't have	21	Yeah, that's all I have to say.
22	the sections in front of me to be able to answer		MR. HAUT: Okay. All right. Thanks, James. So you had
23	this.	23	a couple comments there. One, I'm just summarizing
	MS. BENNETT: I think I know what he's talking about. I	24	correct me if I'm wrong you would be more
25	keep trying to talk while I'm still muted. You're	25	comfortable if there was a third further height
1	Page 8 asking if the development officers will give a	1	Page 85 table in there restricting the very corner right
2	variance to a height schedule?	2	closest to the river? If there has to be a height
3	JERRY HUSAR: Yes, basically.	3	table, you want more details is really what you're
4	MS. BENNETT: From what we're advised on the last on	4	asking? Is that correct?
5	previous applications is that if there's a specific	5	JAMES DEAN: Well, you've got it at five storeys in one
6	height schedule, it's similar to what is downtown.	6	area, but then you're asking for eight storeys
7	I believe we've already got a schedule in that Land	7	there, and that's the closest area to current
8	Use Bylaw. Because those heights are approved by	8	established, you know, single-family homes that we
9	Council, our developments officers do not vary from	9	have. And to jump from a two-storey family home
10	the approval given by Council.	10	and in that property there where the height limit
11	JERRY HUSAR: Okay.	11	is currently 11 metres maximum and you want to go
12	MS. BENNETT: So the short answer is no.	12	to eight storeys right in that area, that's
13	JERRY HUSAR: Those are my questions. Thank you.	13	that's a big concern. That's not a I don't
14	MR. BROSSEAU: All right. Thank you. We're getting	14	think a suitable enough transition.
15	close to our 8:30. So I'm going to go to one more	15	MR. HAUT: Okay. I think that's a fair enough comment.
16	person here. We'll go to James, and then we'll	16	We'll see what we can do to kind of address that,
17	close this off. Thank you.	17	so thanks for that.
18	JAMES DEAN: Thanks, Robert and Dave, for taking	18	And then sort of the general comment that
19	questions and this meeting. I've got a concern.	19	you'd like to leave Orchard Court as is and that
20	Sandy brought this up about the height of the	20	- there's benefits for doing that. And, yeah, I
21	smallest portion of the large building being, you	21	mean, I think that's one of those things if you
22	stated, at five floors but being up to eight	22	prefer single-family and townhomes, then there's a
23	floors. And that's the closest portion of your	23	benefit there. And if you prefer, you know,
24	development to the current residents in the 200	24	mixed-use communities and open river valley, there
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	
25	block of Orchard Court. And to go from eight full	25	isn't. And, you know, I guess we just approach it

1	Page 86 differently than that. So, you know, this is kind
2	of the process to go through. Try and give each
3	other as much certainty as we can. We've given a
4	lot. And, you know, I think the process has been
5	beneficial for everyone, so I hope you see it that
6	way too.
7	MR. BROSSEAU: Excellent. All right. Well, we're just
8	a little over 8:30. So I think this will be the
9	conclusion of the question and answer and of the
10	public meeting. Thank you, everybody, for
11	attending and for all the good questions and the
12	feedback. I appreciate it very much.
13	MR. HAUT: Yes. Thank you, all. Thanks.
14	
15	PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED 8:31 P.M.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	Page 87 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT
2	CENTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT
	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the
3	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the
3 4	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate transcript
3 4 5	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in shorthand and
3 4 5 6	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes to the best of my
3 4 5 6	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in shorthand and
3 4 5 6 7 8	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes to the best of my skill and ability.
3 4 5 6 7 8	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes to the best of my skill and ability. Dated at the City of St. Albert, Province of
3 4 5 7 8 9	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes to the best of my skill and ability. Dated at the City of St. Albert, Province of
3 4 5 7 8 9 10	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes to the best of my skill and ability. Dated at the City of St. Albert, Province of
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes to the best of my skill and ability. Dated at the City of St. Albert, Province of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes to the best of my skill and ability. Dated at the City of St. Albert, Province of Alberta, this 14th day of October, 2020.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes to the best of my skill and ability. Dated at the City of St. Albert, Province of Alberta, this 14th day of October, 2020. Amanda Forestier, CSR(A)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes to the best of my skill and ability. Dated at the City of St. Albert, Province of Alberta, this 14th day of October, 2020. Amanda Forestier, CSR(A)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes to the best of my skill and ability. Dated at the City of St. Albert, Province of Alberta, this 14th day of October, 2020. Amanda Forestier, CSR(A)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes to the best of my skill and ability. Dated at the City of St. Albert, Province of Alberta, this 14th day of October, 2020. Amanda Forestier, CSR(A)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes to the best of my skill and ability. Dated at the City of St. Albert, Province of Alberta, this 14th day of October, 2020. Amanda Forestier, CSR(A)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes to the best of my skill and ability. Dated at the City of St. Albert, Province of Alberta, this 14th day of October, 2020. Amanda Forestier, CSR(A)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes to the best of my skill and ability. Dated at the City of St. Albert, Province of Alberta, this 14th day of October, 2020. Amanda Forestier, CSR(A)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes to the best of my skill and ability. Dated at the City of St. Albert, Province of Alberta, this 14th day of October, 2020. Amanda Forestier, CSR(A)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes to the best of my skill and ability. Dated at the City of St. Albert, Province of Alberta, this 14th day of October, 2020. Amanda Forestier, CSR(A)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes to the best of my skill and ability. Dated at the City of St. Albert, Province of Alberta, this 14th day of October, 2020. Amanda Forestier, CSR(A)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	foregoing pages are a complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in shorthand and transcribed from my shorthand notes to the best of my skill and ability. Dated at the City of St. Albert, Province of Alberta, this 14th day of October, 2020. Amanda Forestier, CSR(A)

	21 16:5 19	7 16.6 90.10	additional 25.7	Alberto 1:24
WORD INDEX	21 46: <i>5</i> , <i>18</i> 21.8 21: <i>4</i>	7 46:6 80:10 75 29:21, 22	additional 25:7 26:24	Alberta 1:24 87:9
<1>	21.0 21.4 21st 25:18	15 29.21, 22	address 6:14	Albertans 24:24
1 17: <i>13</i> , <i>14</i>	22 13:16 20:14	< 8 >	7:2 29:7 36:6	alleviate 13:23
21:23 80:10	22nd 4:21 6:9	8:30 83:15 86:8	43:17 85:16	71:6
1.1 30:9	81:11, 12	8:31 86:15	addressed 57:24	allow 3:13 29:4
1.10 80: <i>10</i>	230 3:10 4:7	80 29:21, 22	addressing	72:11
1.4 30:11	9:19 10:17, 21	80-metre 23:12	81: <i>18</i>	allowable 23:9
1.9 23:8 74:23	24:18	84 9:25 11:4	adds 11:18	allowed 10:20
77:23, 24	25 23:12 35:25	14:5	adjacent 8:6, 12,	23:6 25:2 36:15
10,000 23:3, 5	80: <i>18</i>		13, 16 66:16	allowing 35:15
10.1 81:7	250 3:11 9:19	< 9 >	ADJOURNED	allows 4:5 9:25
100 32: <i>11</i>	10:17 24:18	93,000 21:5	86:15	10:3 17: <i>1</i>
46:16, 20 77:15	_	_	adjustments	18:17 21:2
10-acre 6:6	< 3 >	< A >	59:7	Amanda 1:22
11 7:22 10: <i>1</i>	3 18:22 19:6	a.m 26:19 27:9	administration	87:13
16: <i>4</i> , <i>8</i> , <i>10</i>	23:18 80:15	ability 6:16	49:6 74:12	amendment
18:20 21:24	82:17	48:16 60:1 87:7	80:8 81:16	2:25 3:7, 22
22:2 23:19 25:21 35:10, 24	3.14 80: <i>16</i> 82: <i>18</i>	absolute 36:9 absolutely	adoption 82:3 advance 5:13	21: <i>17</i> 23:2 <i>1</i> 24: <i>14</i> 80: <i>1</i> 2
36:8, 12, 13	3.2 74:23	16:21 37:17	48: <i>19</i> 58:22	amendments
49:2, 18, 22, 23	3.21 22:20 23:4	38:1 47:22	advertising 7:5	3: <i>17</i> , 23 14: <i>14</i> ,
50:21, 22, 23	30 54:10, 12, 14	absorbing 29:21	advised 83:4	15 22:18 25:16
68:20 85:11	84:1	accept 8:18	aerial 16:1	77:13, 22 84:8
11-floor 50:3	300 3:11 9:18	44:10 79:24	affect 66:15	amenities 11:7
12 26:15, 21	10:25 24:15	acceptable	72:24	14:8 15:5 19:9
27:10	84:3	63:14	affordability 9:2	amenity 7:23
127th 61:11, 24	30-day 45:14	acceptance	after 2:3 4:2	18:24
70:8	321,000 23:5	74:16	12:8 39: <i>18</i>	amount 72:24
13 4: <i>19</i> 19:6	33 22:4 23:17	accepted 4:19	45:18 84:16, 18	analysis 40:6
14 16:5 21: <i>1</i>	35 12:24	29:15 74:3	afternoon 28:1	analyze 45:16
23:25 24:1	36 20: <i>15</i>	access 7:17, 24	agencies 45:11	ancillary 54:10
25:20	360 20: <i>8</i> , <i>11</i>	8:18 11:8, 18	agree 61:23	Andy 53:25
14th 87:9	29:22 69:14	12:10 18:9	64:12 65:8	54:1, 2, 3, 18
15 10:7 12:23	73:13	20:17 31:10, 19	77:21, 22	55:15 56:6
18:13 22:6	390 20:8	32:4, 9 41:23	agreeing 64:22	64:11
35:10, 24 49:2	3-D 16: <i>1</i>	76:7, 9	agreement	annexation
52:4 61:13 156,000 21:6	< 4 >	accesses 8:20 accessibility	60: <i>17</i> 76: <i>6</i> agreements	40: <i>16</i> 60: <i>14</i> , <i>18</i> 61:20
1st 45:23	4 19: <i>1</i> 7	69: <i>15</i>	32:8, 9 43:21	announce 13:11
131 40.20	40 22:2 23:9,	accessible 15:9	ahead 2:7 5:4	answers 4:15
< 2 >	17 56:24	17:8 21:8	29:11 30:23	38:23
2 17:25 18: <i>11</i> ,	11 00.21	accommodated	38:4 41:2, 17	Anthony 61:20
23 23:16	< 5 >	70:5	47:5 50:12	anticipate 29:16
2,880 69:10	5 20:1	accurate 87:4	54:1 59:14	43:24
2.6 30:9	50 12:22 22:6	achieve 14:9	70:5, 7 71:5	anticipated
20 12:23, 24	23:16	act 27:23 28:11	72:18 74:6	29:14
21:1 22:8		active 17:11	80:6 84: <i>18</i>	anymore 62:25
23:20 35:25	< 6 >	activity 9:14	Albert 1:19, 20,	anyways 55:14
45:25 54:12	6 1:25	actual 64:16, 25	21, 24 4:7 5:5	61:2
200 83:24	6:35 2:1	add 15:4 19:24	7:1 9:12 11:17	apartments
2020 1:25 87:9	60 56:25	46:7 81:23	13:21 27:24	68:21
20-day 46:1		addition 49:4	37:11 61:10	apologies 29:24
20-year 54:7	< 7 >	54:21 69:11	65:18 72:1 87:8	apparent 67:8
	I	I		

1

appealing 8:16	architecture	available 26:15	benefit 42:23	blue 26:8
50:2	12:1 17:4	27:6 67:16 81:4	85:23	28:22 29:11
Appearances	area 3:8, 21	avenues 6:13	benefits 85:20	34:16
1:13	7:22 9:17	aware 40:11	benefitting	boards 4:22
appears 26:9	14:18 22:1, 13	43:1	27:21	45:12
74:10	24:13, 17 25:2	Awesome 64:2	Bennett 1:20	boiler 51:10
appease 48:10	37:13 38:14		44:1,9 45:20,	borne 59:13
applicant 4:8,	40:8 41:20	< B >	24 65:15 67:14	Botanica 14:21
10 5:20 65:25	42:2, 3, 10, 19,	back 4:21, 24	68: <i>10, 15</i> 75: <i>4</i> ,	35:5, 9, 12, 17,
66:17 67:18	23 56:23 57:4	14: <i>10</i> 17:3 18: <i>19</i> 21:2, <i>16</i>	12 76:9, 13	21 36:5 48:11
75:18 80:11	60:18 65:2	22:5 23:15	79: <i>10</i> 81:6 82:24 83:4, 12	51:3, 22, 25
81: <i>8</i> , <i>13</i>	70: <i>14, 16 </i> 73: <i>14</i> 79:25 85:6, 7, <i>1</i> 2	25:4, 8 29:2	best 5:17 6:1	52:1, 2, 24 53:2 55:19, 25 57:17
application 3:7 4:16 5:4, 6, 9	areas 8:24 9:7,	23.4, 8 29.2 33:1, 13 34:20,	14:13 16:4	64:7 65:8
12:22 13:25	8, 14 11:10, 11	22, 23 38:11	17:22 21:17	70:12 72:9
20:18 26:3	15:1, 7, 11, 17	47:1, 2 48:10	27:20 28:13	73:3 78:9
44:10, 15, 16	18:6 21:6, 20	50:6, 12 60:11	29:7 56:15	bottleneck 55:4
45:8 46:10, 16	27:19 54:11	62:2 72:1	59:19 63:13	61: <i>19</i>
53:5 58:23	area's 6:1	73:21 76:16	87:6	bottom 17:13
66:2 74:7	arrow 71:20	77:17 82:21	bet 36:22	28:16 47:17
76:21 81:4, 14,	arterial 56:24	backed 57:22	43:13 64:1	Boudreau 1:14,
15 82:1, 6	57:1	backyards 11:9	better 5:22	16 2:10, 12, 22
applications	articles 7:3	bad 63:20	6:25 24:10	3:1 4:6, 8 6:7
83:5	articulated	bakery 19:20	25:23 48:15, 23	14:21 20:22
applied 11:20	18:12	balance 22:7	49:7 51:18	24:3 27:25
apply 75:15	articulation	23:20	biased 84:17	28:4 57:14, 15,
80:20 81:8	15:15	balanced 68:3	big 17:19	20 58:4 69:12
82:10	aside 13:19	bantered 43:21	22:21 23:1	71:18, 19 72:6,
appreciate	37:13	barely 26:21	35:8, 25 36:14	8 73:8
49:21 50:13	asked 8:14	barn 37:1, 5	56:8 75:7	Boudreau/Bellero
52:5 53:1	55:18	bars 10:3	76:18,25 85:13	se 40: <i>13</i>
56:13 67:5	asking 20:17	base 30:8	bigger 24:1	boundary 26:8
73:20 86:12	40:18 83:1	based 33:4	BILL 43:12, 14	box 28:20
approach 16:13	85: <i>4</i> , <i>6</i>	68:22, 25	44: <i>4</i> , 7 45:6, 22	Boyd 1:18 2:13
21:17 85:25	ASP 3:8 46:1	basically 15:3	46: <i>12, 14, 16, 22,</i>	6:2
appropriate	assess 39:12	25:13 40:18	<i>24</i> 47:3 60: <i>11</i> ,	break 19:5
31: <i>14</i>	assessment	57:14 66:1	13 61:23 63:16	52:22 53:9
appropriately	59:3	69: <i>1</i> , 25 77:17	bind 78:7	breathe 12:7
70:6	assisted 37:19	83:3	binding 75:9	bridge 41:20
approval 83:10	associated	beautify 42:22	76:8	55:23
approved 23:13	13: <i>14</i>	begins 5:7	bistros 8:12	briefing 50:15
78:1 83:8	Association	behalf 32:14	bit 2:11 7:15	brilliant 16:21
approves 77:6	12:11 62:19	believe 8:6	11:2 22:9	bring 17:5 56:5
approving 74:13	assume 36:13	11:23 27:13, 19	28:25 41:12	78:20
approximation	45:14	42:22 43:7	48:4, 8, 21, 23	brings 43:8
22:15	assuming 31:3	50:17 54:10	51:19 56:2, 3	broken 36:3
Arc 1:18 6:1	assumption	62:5, <i>11</i> 68: <i>1</i>	59:24 73:1	Brosseau 1:16
16:20 53:13	10: <i>19</i>	83:7 Bollorooo 2:11	77:9 78:12	2:7, 11 28:10,
architect 2:13	assurance	Bellerose 3:11	79:14	11 30:23 33:4
6:3	21:12 48:16	4:7 6:8 9:19	bits 27:6	34:21, 25 36:20
architectural	77:5	57:15 58:4	blanche 65: <i>19</i> blend 24: <i>10</i>	38:3 41:9, <i>1</i> 2,
14: <i>19</i> 15: <i>17</i>	assurances 77:7	60:20 69:12 70:8 71:12 17		16 43:10 46:14,
17:2 66:2, <i>11</i>	attending 86:11	70:8 71:13, 17, 19 72:13 73:8	block 18: <i>16</i> 83:25	24 47:4 50:11 51:13 53:25
architecturally 8:16	autumn 26:5, 14, 18	beneficial 86:5	83:25 blog 6:20	54:14 56:16
0.70	10	bellelicial 00.0	biog 0.20	JH. 14 JU. 10

2

58:11 60:10	button 4:4	changing 76:23	69:1,8	comment/questio
63:23 67:22	6:23 28:23	78:4	clauses 75:24	n 49:20
69:20, 22 70:23	29:11	charrettes	clear 41:13	comments
71:8 72:17	buy 50:2	62:17, 20	73:22 84:17	12:20 29:7
73:19 76:17	buys 75:10	chat 4:17	clearly 35:7	39:24 56:14
80:5 83:14 86:7	Bylaw 3:23	check 6:20	click 4:3 28:15,	61:3 62:13
brought 64:5	67:15 78:4	chefs 17:22	22	67:18 68:11
81: <i>18</i> 83:20		chillers 51:9	close 20:23	71:7 84:23
buffer 16: <i>1</i> 3	80:10, 15 81:7		21:10 22:23	
	82:20 83:8 84:8	choose 37:20		commercial 3:9,
19: <i>14</i> 44:23	- 0 >	chooses 5:12	28:13 46:12	16 9:9, 19, 24
buffers 20:21	< C >	chopped 77:17	67:10 83:15, 17	10:3, 7, 19, 20,
build 22:24, 25	C2 9:23 10:2, 7	choppy 41:12	closer 20:22, 25	23 12:14, 24
23:4 25:2 28:3	call 7:20 24:16	chose 66:18	22:3	16:16 19:18
42:24 47:24	66: <i>9</i>	chosen 31:2	closest 83:23	22:7, 11, 12
48:17 71:5	Cameron 59:16	Christmas 44:24	85:2, 7	24:5, 7, 9, 11, 19
77:10 78:7, 9	campaign 7:5	chunk 34:12	coffee 19:20	33:20 34:1, 5, 6,
buildable 11:13	cap 34:10, 13	61: <i>18</i>	cold 39:9	10, 17 65:2
building 13:2, 3	capacity 40:9	circulated 45:5	72:23 74:17	66: <i>19</i> , 24 68:21
15: <i>16</i> 16:2, <i>5</i> ,	caps 18:20	circulation	colourings	commit 65:7
15, 19, 25 17:13,	capture 60:23	44:22 45:2	48: <i>12</i>	commitment
<i>14</i> , 25 18:1, 11,	care 42:22	46:2, 8	combined 10:18	16:6 27:13
12, 22, 23, 24	carrying 40:5	circulations	come 8:25	commitments
19: <i>6</i> , <i>15</i> , <i>17</i>	carte 65:19	44:11 45:10	13:2 <i>1</i> 15:22	55:10
20:1, 2, 3 22:22	cast 26:10	46:1	17:9 20:16	committed
23:4, 9, 16, 18	casual 8:10	cities 12:12	26:22 28:21	25:22
25:20 33:8, 12,	cater 15:24	citizens 36:24	29:2 37:15	common 8:24
13, 23 34:2, 9,	caught 31:1	54:2 <i>4</i>	41:18 42:1	9:3, 5, 8, 10
10, 17 35:19	caveat 32:9, 19	City 1:19, 20, 21	44:15 58:7	Commons 59:17
36:9 37:24	76:5, 8, 10, 12	2:14 4:16 5:5,	61:12 72:14	communicate
47:14, 16, 19	caveats 76:14	7, 11, 12, 20	74:3 75:14	63:8
48:2 50:3, 6, 10,	ceiling 22:11, 12	6:10 15:9	comes 21:10	Communities
17, 18, 20 51:5,	centre 10:6	21:12 25:13	22:3 49:9	2:22 3:1 4:6, 8
7, 10, 18, 20, 25	12:16 16:16	26:3 30:11, 17	50:18 60:7	14:20 38:24
52:1, 8, 15, 17,	19: <i>16</i>	31:13, 17, 19	61:14 73:20	85:24
18, 21 53:3, 8,	CEO 2:10 4:11	32:5, 7, 10, 14,	81:15	community
14, 19 56:10	certain 54:15	15 33:18 36:8,	comfortable	3:14 5:3 6:7
58:10 65:2	59:6	15 38:24 40:11,	84:25	7:14, 16, 21
66:3 69:6 83:21	certainly 36:2	16, 23 41:22	comfortably	11:16 12:9, 10,
buildings 7:23	52:7 53:3 56:8	42:13 43:1, 2	10:23	16, 19 14:22, 25
15:14 20:15	certainty 86:3	53:5 54:22	coming 12:4	16:23 18:25
35:16 55:19	CERTIFICATE	55:5 56:18, 22	16:9 18:4 22:5	21:13 26:24
build-out 29:14,	87:1	57:13 58:23	30:16 40:6	27:20 74:5 80:2
17	certify 87:3	60:1, 14, 19	41:11 44:24	company 70:25
built 15:9	chain 17:19	63:15 67:16	54:16 60:23	comparative
21:14 41:22	chances 74:1	68:5 76:6, 25	62:14 72:23	22:16
48:13 65:20	change 6:12	78:23 79:13	73:15	compare 35:18
built-in 15:16	24: <i>4</i> , 20 25:14	80:23, 25 87:8	commenced 2:1	compared 9:22
	-	-		35:17
16: <i>12</i> , <i>17</i>	76:22 77:6, 13 84:5	City's 40:21 43:20	comment 36:6 38:12 40:4	
bulk 81:25				comparing 35: <i>11</i>
bunch 4:23	changed 24:17	clarify 50:15	42:11 43:16	
6:13 9:3 65:9	27:17 32:11	67:25	50:4 51:15	comparison
66:22, 23	62:15 63:4	CLARK 47:6, 8	53:1 56:6	11:1
business 39:19	changes 8:18	48:24 49:15, 20	67:23 68:3	complementing
	25:10 26:1 57:4	67:23 68:13	69:17 84:11	14:3
	1	1	85:15, 18	'

			1 0 10	70.04
complete 26:3	connectivity	Council 5: <i>11</i> ,	current 8:18	76:24
45:9 46:18	31: <i>11</i> , <i>15</i>	12, 14, 21 25:13	9:16 10:10	dedicate 43:18
57:9 82:8 87:4	consent 32:12	44:6 45:17, 20	14:20 23:17	dedicated 71:19
completed 63:18	consideration	52:11 53:5	25:17 29:16	deem 46:17
completion	60:2 <i>1</i>	56:22 63:15	55: <i>19</i> , 25 56:2 <i>1</i>	deemed 45:9
46:1 82:3	considered	65:19 66:1	83:24 85:7	delay 28:25
compliant 5:9	81: <i>16</i>	74:7, 12 76:23	currently 55:21,	demand 17:17
complicated	construction	77:3, 6, 22 78:1,	22 56:18 81:24	demographics
65: <i>16</i> 67: <i>4</i>	11: <i>1</i> 3 71:2	2, 24 79:15	84: <i>4</i> , 7 85:11	39:18
component	consultant	80:13 81:10, 11,	cutback 23:7	dense 13:10
37:17	56:19	17 83:9, 10	cutting 16:22	density 3:15
comprehensive	consultants 6:4	Council's 5:15	cutting-edge	9:2 14:7 20:8,
3:14 60:25	consulting 5:25	count 12:23	15:17	10 56:12 82:13
compromise	71:1	68:24	Cynthia 69:20,	department
27:15	contact 7:4	County 60:17,	21, 23 70:18, 21	44:4 76:20, 24
computer 43:15	contained 62:11	24 61:18		77:1, 8, 19, 24
concept 3:21	contests 17:21	couple 7:10	< D >	78:14, 16, 25
14:17 52:14	continue 9:3	26:7 29:8 31: <i>1</i> ,	darn 55:3	depend 46:9
74:20 75:17, 21	continued 13: <i>9</i> ,	9 32:25 34:19	date 27:16	dependent 5:15
concepts 48:18	10	37:9 38:25	29:14 Deter de 07:0	depending
conceptual	contributes	53:8 59:11	Dated 87:8	11:15 41:3
47:18	15:24 20:5	70:2 71:11	dates 46:4	46: <i>19</i> 66: <i>14</i>
concern 8:24	contributor 61:9	77:13 84:23	Dave 1:14 2:7,	depends 68:17
33:22 52:20	control 3:12, 22	course 39:9	9 4:11 28:10	design 11:12
53:20 69:13	14:11, 14 22:17	46:6 56:15 71:2	31:13 32:18	12:1 14:19
70:3, 11 71:6	25:11, 12, 14, 15	Court 1:22	38:9 45:22	15:12 16:19
75:7 78:3	50:16 64:19, 20	3:11 4:13 7:17	47:6 50:17	27:4 62:16, 19
83:19 84:2	65: <i>4</i> , <i>5</i> , <i>17</i> , <i>23</i> ,	9:18 10:17, 25	62:9 67:23	77:10 78:6, 7, 9
85: <i>13</i>	24 66:7 73:23	18:9, 23 24:15,	71:11 73:20	79:20
concerned 21:19 51:19	74:9, 13 77:7	19 41:24 83:25 84:4 85:19	83: <i>18</i> 84: <i>12</i>	designated 14: <i>18</i>
52:7 74:9	78:23 79:2, 13, 15	87:14	daveceo@bo- co.ca 4:9 6:15	
concerns 8:24	controlled 79:14	coverage 20:12	day 69:4, 11	designed 18:7 65:21 67:3
9:3 70:2, 15	convenient 10:4	21:4	87:9	designs 12:2
72:20 81:18	conversation	covered 20:14	days 15:20	14: <i>1</i> 16:2 <i>1</i>
conclude 63:16	4:14 32:7 38:8	39:4	38:15 45:25	de-stressing
conclusion 86:9	conversations	crazy 35:10, 11	46:5, 6, 18 48:11	15: <i>19</i>
condition 9:16	5:19 15:18	cream 15:7	DC 9:20, 21	detailed 53:4, 6
10: <i>10</i>	conversion 76:4	19: <i>1</i>	75:14 77:14	details 3:20
conditions	cook 17:9	create 14:22	DCMU 3:12, 17,	66: <i>4</i> , <i>11</i> 85:3
25:17 29:17	coordination	57:5	22 22:17, 19	determine
condo 31:24	54:22	creative 16:20	23:6, 12, 23	22:23 24:12
32:10 37:24	core 15: <i>11</i>	crop 9:3	77:14, 21 84:5	56:14
condominium	corner 17:14	crosswalks 57:6	deal 39:1	determines
19: <i>15</i>	18:15 20:7	crossways 57:6	dealing 10:15	22:21
confused 73:1	34:5 49:22	CRUTCHFIELD	Dean 68:16	detriment 50:8
congesting	52:2 85:1	29:12, 14, 25	83:18 85:5	develop 11:23
69: <i>12</i>	correct 31:6	30:4, 7, 21	dear 55:12	65:24 80:1
connect 42:14	49:5, 19 50:22	50:13 51:2, 12	December 81:12	developed
54:17	75:7, 11 84:24	73:20 75:11, 25	decided 14:4,	54:25 65:2
connected	85:4	76:11, 15	12 31:25 63:3,	developer 32:8,
31:23, 25 42:25	correctly 45:13	CSR(A 1:22	5 84:18, 19	13 57:13 59:13
43:5	corridors 17:3	87:13	decision 43:4	76:7, 19 79:25
connection 41:3	costs 59:12, 25	curious 58:21	decisions 40:23	developers
	,	59: <i>10</i>		73:25 78:15

		57.0.04.47		
developing	disagree 63:1	57:8 61:17	engagement	exactly 36:3
12:12, 13 81:1	discern 63:13	71:25 72:5	66:5	41:6 58:10
development	discrepancy	drop 56:10	engineer 68:18	example 68:18
3:13, 20, 21 7:7	47:21	dropping 13:13	71:1	79:12
14:17 17:20	discretion 80:17	20:8	engineering 6:4	exceed 21:21
26:12, 13 29:15	discretionary	drops 18:13	enhance 12:9	36:17
30:8, 10, 13, 15	10: <i>5</i> , <i>21</i> 66: <i>12</i>	duplex 9:25	enjoy 19:22	Excellent 28:10
31: <i>17</i> , <i>24</i> 40: <i>10</i> ,	79:18	duplexes 66:23	enjoying 28:2	33:24 43:10
15, 20 41:2, 5	discussed 64:18	dwell 64:4	enjoyment 32:2	50:11 56:16
43:8 48:20	discussing 67:9	dwelling 10:6,	ensure 77:8	59:1 67:22 86:7
51:22 54:20	discussion	19, 22 14:6	enter 60:19	exist 69:16
55:7, 16, 24	32:20 64:3	68: <i>8</i> , <i>13</i> 69: <i>4</i> , <i>9</i> ,	entered 76:6	existing 11:24
56:4 57:11, 17	discussions	14	entertainers	14:3 22:19
59:7, 8, 12, 22	21: <i>11</i> 81: <i>12</i>	_	15:22	expect 3:19, 20
61: <i>4</i> , <i>5</i> , <i>9</i> , 25	distances 25:5	< E >	entire 20:19	expectation 56:1
62:7, 8 63:7	distill 8:22	earlier 6:18	28:7 34:11	expected 69:2
65:20 66:1	distilled 39:15	55:5 66:21	43:8 67:15	experts 6:1
71:24 73:16	distinction	79:17	environment	expires 79:3
74:15, 18 76:19	76:11	early 5:16 48:1,	15:14 70:19, 24	explained 54:23
78:25 79:14, 21,	district 3:10, 13	11	environmental	explanation
24 80:17, 20, 23	14:11 22:18	easement 76:12	25: <i>1</i> , <i>4</i> , 8 70:25	73:22 80:9, 14
81: <i>1</i> , <i>2</i> , <i>9</i> 82: <i>4</i> ,	25:10 65:24	easements 76:7,	environments	explore 32: <i>12</i> ,
9, 14 83:1, 24	79:17	9	12:12	22
Developments	ditch 57:7	easier 11:2	envision 17:14	expressing
1:15, 17 2:10,	diverse 9:11	26:6 61:25	19: <i>1</i> , <i>7</i> , <i>24</i>	69:13
12 59:16 79:19	diversity 19:25	east 60:18	envisioned 20:6	extended 46:3
83:9	doctors 20:4	easy 11:13	21: <i>11</i>	extending 76:2
diagram 66:20	document 25:12	44:17 65:6	equinox 26:5,	extension 71: <i>16</i> ,
difference 73:22	documents	75:5 78:22	15, 18	21
differences	44:16 82:5	echo 50:14	Erin 8:7 18:9	extensive 20:18
7:11 8:1 13:8	doing 4:22	64:4 70:2, 15	19:15 57:2, 7	extensively
different 14:10	16:21 28:14	echoed 64:11	especially 65:16	79:13
21:15 46:9	36:23 65:7, 11	echoing 72:20	essentially 35:5	extent 74:18
68:22 76:13	69:24 72:9	edge 16:22	established	exterior 16:18
81:15, 17	75:23 80:3	22:23 25:6	80:24 85:8	externally 44:11
differently 86:1	85:20	edges 13:4	estate 15:3	extra 11: <i>18</i>
DIKIWICH 72:19	dollars 39:21	edit 40:2	esthetically	
73: <i>12</i>	Donna 43: <i>11</i> ,	Edmonton 6:1	16:22	< F >
dining 8:11	13 60:11	59:17 79:11, 12	esthetics 43:9	face 51:3 53:16
direct 3:12, 22	Donna's 43:14	Eldorado 57:8	estimated 68:8,	FaceBook 6:16
14:11, 14 22:17	doors 14:23	elevation 36:18	13 avaluated 69:7	7:9
25:11, 14, 15	double 58:3	email 4:5, 7	evaluated 68:7	faces 17:18
64: <i>19, 20</i> 65: <i>4,</i> 5, 17, 23, 24	71:15 72:9 73:2 downside 36:10	6: <i>14</i> , 23 7:2 63: <i>11</i> 67: <i>19</i>	event 3:4 15:22 events 15:21	facilitate 15:20 facilitator 62:18
66:7 73:23 74:9, 13 77:6	downtown 83:6 drafted 10: <i>10</i>	emails 39:14 embrace 9:9	eventual 29: <i>17</i> Evergreen 57:2,	facing 33:9, 10 fact 24:6 25:7
-			•	
78:23 79:2, 13, 15	drastically 72:24 drawing 4:21	emphasize 10: <i>12</i>	19 72:5, 7, 12 73:11, 12	49:21 63:17 factor 22:10
directing 75:18	10: <i>9</i> , <i>11</i> 16: <i>9</i>	encompasses	Evergreens 73:5	61:5
direction 68:1	52: <i>14</i>	54: <i>10</i>	everybody 86:10	factors 68:22
directions 53:17	drawings 35:15	encourage 6:19	everybody 80.70 evolved 27:18	fail 59:16
directly 4:5, 8	48: <i>1</i> , <i>17</i> , <i>19</i> 66:3	15: <i>5</i> , <i>18</i> 19:3	37:15	failing 59:24
6:23 40: <i>1</i>	Drive 3:11 4:7	39:8	exact 22:14	Fair 46:22 68:2
disable 34:21	6:8 14:5, 25	endorse 77:12	39:16	85: <i>15</i>
	0.0 17.0, 20	ends 28:5	00.10	00.10
		CIUS 20.0		

5

fall 3:1	floor-area 22:19,	found 8:3 75:20	giving 77:15	guys 45: <i>16</i>
familiar 7:7	20, 21, 25 23:3,	foundation 49:9	glass 16:24	63:25 84:5, 15
family 20:23	8 77:23	free 77:18	good 5:3 8:1	
37:2 69:5, 6	floors 10:2, 8	Freedom 3:5	14: <i>1</i> 16:20	< H >
85: <i>9</i>	13: <i>14</i> 16: <i>4</i> , 6, 8,	friendly 9:7	21:2 27:8 28:3	half 38:17 39:2
fantastic 31:11	10 18:13, 15, 21	11:11 27:19	29:18 30:14	68: <i>11</i>
52:6 53:13	20:2, 9 21:25	front 33:12	32:6, 20 34:11	halfway 62:15
fast 11:13 50:7	22:2, 4, 6, 8, 9,	82:22	37:9 39:11	hand 28:16
74:24	14 25:21 35:10,	fronting 51:21	41:14 42:12	34:22 47:1
favour 77:1	24 36:8, 13, 14	fruition 21:10	46:23 50:4, 14	60:8 64:12
fear 70:6	47:18 49:2, 17,	frustrated 78:18	53:22 54:18	handle 66:14
feasible 75:19	18, 22, 23 51:1,	full 13:23	55:15 56:6	handled 32:8
feature 17:20	25 52:3, 4, 5	25:20 27:3	77:11 86:11	40:19 71:12
39:5	83:22, 23 84:1	44:12 55:2	good-looking	hands 29:8
features 17:10	flow 61:10	67:3 83:25	53: <i>19</i>	33:4 43:20
19:3 39:4 53:8	flows 34:7, 8	fully 57:18	grade 36:7	happen 43:6
feedback 3:2,	flu 72:23	63:19 64:22	Grandin 23:13	61:24 72:23
25 4:18, 24 5:1,	focus 62:22	67:11	grassy 55:23	happens 41:15
<i>4</i> , <i>18</i> , <i>22</i> , 16:7	67:24, 25	function 4:17	gray 26:9	happy 17:6
26:1 27:15	focussed 40:14	funneling 73:4	great 75:20	70:4
33:22, 25 51:16	folks 70:2	future 13: <i>19</i>	79: <i>1</i>	hard 74:24
69:25 86:12	follow 79:21	40:14 62:8	greater 11:17	Haut 1:14 2:2,
feeds 18:3 72:8	followed 41:25	72:21	greatly 42:5	8, 9 4:11 29:13,
feel 14: <i>13</i>	following 7:6	12.21	green 9:2, 7	18 30:1, 6, 14,
21:16 24:9	74:17	< G >	11:9 15: <i>15</i>	22 31:6 32:6,
50:7 54:21, 25	follow-up 59:2	gamble 73:25	16: <i>12, 16, 18</i>	23 33:2 34:5,
55:5 70:14	60: <i>6</i>	gap 42:16	18: <i>3</i> , <i>18</i> 19: <i>10</i>	<i>18</i> 35:3 36: <i>1</i> ,
73:13 80:1	food 15:21, 23	garbage 42:21	20:13, 16, 20, 25	22 37:9 38:5, 8,
feeling 42:8	foot 18:9 41:20	gardening 19:11	21:2, 6 27:18	25 40:17 41:10,
feet 21:5, 6, 7	55:23	gas 10:3 45:11	52:6	14 42:12 43:13
23:3, 5 74:17	footage 74:22	gather 5:1	ground 36:18	47:7, 22 49:5,
84:1	forbid 74:16	12:15 25:25	49: <i>9</i> , <i>14</i> , <i>16</i>	19 50:4, 23
fell 46:4	force 70:7	31:2 39:6 63:13	50: <i>19</i>	51:6 52:3 53:1
felt 54:23 63:18	forecasted	gathered 39:13	group 6:24	54:2, 8 55:8
fenced 32:2	54: <i>11</i> , <i>16</i> 61:6	gathering 8:21	62:22 67:24, 25	56:5 58:1, 12,
figured 30:9	foregoing 87:4	17:8 27:23 63:6	groups 39:14	14, 16, 19, 25
figures 30:12	Forestier 1:22	gazebo 15:7	growth 54:11,	59:11 60:9, 22
finalize 13:24	87:13	19:2 39:4	<i>16</i> 61:6	62:13 63:22
find 17:22 36:9	forgive 64:17	gazebos 18:6	guarantee	64:1, 15 65:6,
67: <i>18</i>	form 14:13	Gazette 7:3	42: <i>14</i> 43:7	13 70:18, 22, 24
findings 8:3	37:8 58:23	general 8:4, 9,	guarantees	71:10, 14 72:2,
fine 62:23	formal 5:4, 7	<i>19</i> 9:23 10:2, 3	31: <i>18</i> 32: <i>4</i>	4 73:6, 18 75:2,
fire 17:10 39:5	28:5 57:6 74:7	48:4 85:18	guess 5:17	7 77:11 78:17
fit 10:22 60:3	format 4:17	generally 59:13	30:24 31:9, 12	84:22 85:15
79:6	forth 14:10	Gibbons 61:16		86:13
fitting 16:23	21:16	give 16:18	32:3 33:17,21, 24 35:17 48:9	head 54:13
-		U		
flashing 57:10 flat 35:9, 22	forum 28: <i>12</i> 31: <i>14</i>	21: <i>12 22:14</i> 46: <i>16, 20 48:7,</i>	51: <i>14</i> 52: <i>1</i> , <i>19</i> 53: <i>10</i> 62: <i>9</i>	heading 41:9 health 10:4
	forward 5:3	40.70, 20 40.7, 15, 16 77:9, 16,	64:25 65:3	45: <i>12</i>
flexibility 74:11	7: <i>1</i> 2 13:7	24 83:1 86:2	66:25 69:12	hear 13:10
flip 10:24 33:2 floor 10: <i>18</i>	36:25 37:15	given 29:16	73:12 74:8	29: <i>12</i> 35:2
18:13 19:17		52:4 69:15	85:25	36:21 38:6
	43:25 48:5			43:12 53:20
33:14, 15 34:2,	56:15,22 78:11,	77:3 83:10 86:3	guidelines	
9 49: <i>15, 17</i> 74:22	20 82:4, 7	gives 27:8	80:23 82:7, 9, 14	58:13, 14 63:25
14.22			guitar 17:9	70:4 84:10

acereporting.ca 1.866.497.4223

heard 44:2	49:11 50:25	ideas 13:22	indicating 48:25	invitation 6:13
53:21	highlighted 22:1	21:15 63:9	62: <i>4</i>	invite 15:4
hearing 45:19	highly 12:8	71:12	indication 22:9	inviting 17:11
81: <i>10</i>	high-rise 3:15	identified 8:23	in-fill 80:22	involved 55:6
hearings 44:13	hill 35:5, 6, 12,	II 52:2, 24 53:2	81:1, 20 82:9,	62:17
heart 55:12	25 36:6, 11, 12,	57:17 70:12	14 84:9	issue 13:21
heaven 74:16	13, 14, 15 57:2	78:9	info 54:4	40:7 45:1
height 8:25	hire 70:25	immediate 27:21	inform 5:19, 21	50:16 71:12
10: <i>1</i> , 7 11: <i>14</i> ,	historic 37:4	impact 26:11	82:1	76:18, 25
15 12:22 13:12,	history 6:21	40:5 41:8 42:5	information	issues 61:15
13, 14 16:8	hold 76:15	43:2 52:23	2:22 3:5 6:18	69: <i>16</i> 70: <i>9</i>
21:19, 20, 21, 23	Hole 37:2	59:3 61:7	8:21 27:6	issuing 79:22
21:19, 20, 21, 23	Hole's 37:10	70:12, 16 71:3	39:13, 16, 19	135ung 75.22
25:22 47:14, 19	holidays 45:1	implications	46:20 62:4, 7	< J >
48:2 50:17	home 85:9	67:12	63:5, 6, 12	James 83:16,
51:5, 7, 10	homes 42:16	important 3:4	innovative 12:1	18 84:22 85:5
55:19, 24 64:3,	84:2 85:8	12:3, 5 15:20	16:19	Jerry 38:3, 4, 5,
6 75:22 77:1 <i>4</i> ,	honour 37:11	24:23, 24 42:9,	in-person 28:14	7, 9, 25 40:3, 17
17 80:18, 20	honours 27:13	11 55:20 61:3	input 45:16	54:5 80:5, 6, 7
83:2, 6, 20	hope 67:4 86:5	improved 10:12	inside 9:21	82:9, 14, 17
84:25 85:2, 10	hopefully 71:5	improvements	79:6	83:3, 11, 13 84:7
heights 21:21	horizontal 7:20	13:20 40:12, 25	insight 74:6	job 53:14
22:11, 13 23:11,	host 28:21	41:1 59:3, 9, 13,	integrated 18:7	join 2:4
16 27:16 31:7	hotdogs 17:10	22 60:2 61:8	integrating 19:2	Joining 2:10, 21
48:25 55:15	hotel 10:6	71:22 73:15	intelligence	Jonathan 76:17,
66:10 83:8	hours 84:14	Inaudible 58:16	39:23	18 77:11 78:2
Hello 71:9	house 51:9	72:3 79:10	intelligent 72:5	79:23
76:18	household	include 12:2	intended 33:15	jotting 68:10
help 5:19	68:20	36:5 49:13, 14	51:16	Julie 72:17, 18,
12:25 17:23	housekeeping	included 12:17	intending 51:17	19 73:6, 12
39:5 48:10	37:22	51:4, 6, 10	interactions	jump 81:21
52:7 53:9	housing 9:11,	includes 3:7	37:12	85:9
56:14 61:21	12 13:17 19:25	49:5 66:12	interest 14:20	June 4:21 6:9
helped 12:20	37:7	including 3:15	17:2 18:17	81:11
helpful 60:5	How's 69:22	40:15 50:25	interested 8:10	justification
helping 8:21	hub 12:13	incorporate	19:13 54:4, 20,	81:14
helps 5:21 71:5	huge 35:25	35:23 47:10	24	
Henday 61:20	36:25 40:7	49:3	interesting 24:6	< K >
Heron 54:23	42:2 56:20	incorporated	56:12	kayak 70:10
hey 78:8, 20	Hugh 41:9, 17,	15:11 31:19	interests 59:19	keeping 14:19
Hi 35:2 36:21	18 42:13	49:17	interject 46:15	62:10
38:9 43:12	HUSAR 38:7, 9	incorporation	internal 18:5	Ken 29:10, 12,
47:6, 7 56:17	40:3 80:7 82:9,	37:4	internally 10:14	14, 23, 25 30:4,
58:12 60:13	14, 17 83:3, 11,	increase 56:25	44:11	7, 21 50:12, 13
63:24 69:21, 22	13	57:1, 12	internet 41:11	51:2, 12 73:19,
71:10 72:19	husband 43:14	increased 13:1	intersection	20 75:2, 7, 11,
81:22		14:7 20:20	40: <i>9</i> , <i>1</i> 3 41: <i>4</i>	25 76:11, 15
high 22:11, 12,	< >	27:18	71:13, 18, 23	kept 78:9
25 35:11, 16	ice 15:6 19: <i>1</i>	increasing 13:5,	73:15	key 8:3, 23
52:17 69:3, 7,	idea 5:3 8:1,	18	intricate 66:4	kicked 81:23
14 73:14	20 12:13 25:25	independent	introduction	kids 42:20
higher 7:15	27:8 30:15	37:20	40: <i>10</i>	kind 20:2 24:5
53:15 56:2, 8	42:20 48:2	indicate 25:9	introductions	26:20 31:25
highest 36:17	58: <i>18</i>	indicated 47:17,	2:5	33:19, 20 42:7
		20 49:1 62:6		
·				

7

44:23 55:25	leave 46:13	living 8:13	made 10:19	measures 36:9,
77:5 85:16 86:1	79:23 80:3	37:19	19:21 32:21	16
knew 54:23	84:6, 19 85:19	local 17:22	35:21 43:1, 3	mechanical
knowing 10: <i>14</i>	leaves 63:20	location 6:5	55: <i>10</i> 57: <i>4</i> , 5	50:25 51:2, 6
known 73: <i>13</i>	leaving 30:11	locked 47:12	59:7, 10 67:23	medical 20:3
knows 42:19	left 10: <i>18</i>	locking 75:20	76:4	meet 59:5, 8
47:11	33:21 42:18	loft 19:24	magic 58:18	66: <i>10</i>
KOROTASH	57:20 63:1	lofts 37:25	mail 4:6	MEETING 1:8
30:24 31:9	71:16, 21 72:9	logged 43:15	mailing 44: <i>13</i> ,	2:22 4:1, 25
32:24 33:7	73:9 79:5	51:16	14 46:6	5:1,2 28:14
34: <i>13, 19, 24</i>	left-hand 17:13	Lois 37:12	main 10: <i>18</i>	45:17 55:8
51: <i>14</i> 52: <i>4</i>	20:7 71:16	long 31:14, 18	19:17 33:13	63:11 78:3
53:24	left-turn 58:3	32:4 66:9	34:2, 9	83:19 84:16
Kristina 1:21	73:2	longer 31:25	major 13:20	86:10
2:16 81:20	legacy 27:14	long-term 61:22	61:9 70:15	meetings 4:23
	37:2, 10	looked 53:2	majority 14:5	5:14 6:24
<l></l>	legal 43:21	looking 20:24	76:23	meets 5:9 16:3
labelled 7:9	legally 76:11	45:4 46:5	making 14:1	member 26:24
21:23	Leigh 63:23, 24	55:17 75:18	16:6 44:17	members 44:18
lack 69:15	64:2, 16 65:12	lookout 15:7	mandate 48:3	mention 38:16
84:20	67:5, 21	looks 31:11	map 21:23	84:12
lake 32:1	length 34:11	35:22 49:22	34:16	mentioned 6:17
lakefront 31:22	52:8	52:6, 9 56:2	mark 29:6	16:17 25:16
Land 3:23	Lenore 1:19	60:16	market 24:12	38:20 40:4
13:19 25:10	2:15 32:18, 23	lost 84:8	29:17 39:16	44:25 46:2
27:21 42:13	44:21 46:23	lot 15:4, 14, 15	marketing 62:7	47:8 50:17
67:15 80:10, 15	65:13 68:18	16:11, 12, 25	63:5	64:11, 19 79:16
82:20 83:7	74:25	17:1, 2, 16 18:4,	MARTIN 76:18	84:12
LANDING 1:8	letter 77:9	17 21:11 23:2	78:2 79:23	Mentioning
2:23 6:5 11:21	level 20:9 35:8	35:22 39:19	mass 27:17	41:18
12:18, 21 14:18	59:6	42:21 44:14	53:9, 21 56:9	mentions 37:3
19:8 23:14, 25	life 15:25 17:11	46:10 51:22, 23	massed 16:5	message 28:20
24:2 40:11	lifestyle 19:8	53:15 55:5	25:20	messages 6:17
landmark 17:21	light 57:19	61:25 64:3	massing 10:9	method 32:12
landowners	lighting 72:5	66:4, 5 68:21	16:8 51:18 52:8	methods 4:23
66:16	lights 57:21, 25	69:13 70:9	massive 51:25	14:10
landscape 21:4	72:6, 12	75:4 76:1, 7	59:15	metre 23:17
landscaped 21:8	liked 6:11 8:15,	79:12, 20 86:4	massiveness	metres 7:22
landscaping	19 13:8 51:18	lots 6:14 9:6	52:20, 21	10:2, 7 20:22
21:5 50:5, 9	78:6, 17	14:10 28:1	matter 50:23	21:1, 24 22:2, 4,
lane 57:3, 21,	limit 85:10	lounge 17:17	maximize 39:3	6, 8, 13 23:12,
24 58:3 71:16,	limits 74:14, 21	love 31:11	maximizing 29:5	19, 20, 24 24:2
17, 19, 22	link 28:7 67:20	low 11:14 35:5	maximum 10:1,	77:15 85:11
lanes 57:14, 16,	listed 45:24	82:13	7 23:11 85:11	mic 29:6
22	77:21	low-density	maybes 66:13	micro 19:24
large 19: <i>11</i>	listen 13:9	9:18, 23 11:1	Mayor 54:22	69:10
33:12 38:24	listened 16:7	lower 56:9	55:8	microphone
79:18 83:21	listening 36:24	69:2 74:14	meal 37:21	28:22
larger 9:12	43:23	lowest 36:9, 16	means 64:23	mid 3:15
18:1 25:20	literal 52:20	49:9 50:21, 24	meant 45:21	middle 13:5
37:24 47:16	livable 38:13	L-shaped 16:2	67:2	45:2
lastly 55:15	live 14:22, 23	LUB 45:25	measured 49:10	million 21:7
layout 8:15	15:13 20:5	lunch 19:22	measurement	30:9, 11
	27:22 42:4, 10		22:14	mind 46:25
	67:10	< M >		60:7
L	51.70	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		50.7

acereporting.ca 1.866.497.4223

Minimum 23:23,	65: <i>4</i>	nesting 42:3	officer 79:1	outlined 3:3
24 24:5, 7, 8, 11	multifamily 13:6	net 30:11	80:17	26:8
minute 49:8	multiplier 54:15	new 16:8 26:10	officers 79:21	outside 12:6
minutes 2:3	municipal 43:19	27:23 72:14	83: <i>1, 9</i>	48:1
29:5 31: <i>1</i>	76:5 82:3	74:4 75:12	offices 3:16	overall 8:15
38:11 40:3	mute 54:2	81: <i>14</i>	old 54:9 71:23	13:1 14:6 61:6
missed 30:25	58:12, 16	nice 32:1 64:13	72:13	76:18
missing 11:12	muted 29:6	nicest 38:15	one-page 25:12	overlay 21:19
Mitchell 1:19	38:5 81:6 82:25	nine-metre	ones 10:17	23:19
32:18 46:15	mutual 32:9	20:24	65:17	overpass 59:18
68:17 69:5, 17		noise 9:2	online 7:5	61: <i>19</i>
75:22 82:12, 15,	< N >	noon 26:5	61: <i>12</i>	owned 31:16
19	nailed 79:11	north 6:8	open 6:13 15:2	owner 74:4, 19
mix 9:9 12:17	naming 65:16	18: <i>19</i> 19:6	77:9 85:24	owners 41:21
mixed 58:5	narrows 57:3	25:21 40:15	opened 6:25	74:2 75:13
mixed-use 3:9,	Natalie 58:11,	41:19 43:5	open-floor 28:12	ownership 75:6
13, 14 7:13, 21	12, 13, 15, 18, 20	54:19 61:16	operate 77:25	
12:9, 12, 19	59:1 60:5, 10	71:20 76:3	operates 78:24	< P >
14:14, 15, 18	natural 45: <i>11</i>	note 3:4 59:1	opinion 52:25	p.m 2:1 25:18
21:17 22:17	70:14, 16, 19	80:22	opinions 5:23	26:5, 15, 17, 21,
24:18, 20 25:15	nature 5:22	notes 87:6	opportunities	23 27:1, 10, 11
24.18,20 25.15 27:20 64:20	12:2, 7, 10	notice 16:4	3:24 33:21	86:15
65:5 66:7 67:2	15:11, 19, 25	20:6 38:14	opportunity	package 58:24
73:23 74:10, 13	17:4, 11 19:22	noticed 79:9	52: <i>13</i>	pages 87:4
77:7 79:17	27:18 48:4	notified 44:15	optics 62:10	paid 39:23
85:24	near 55:11	notwithstanding	63:17	panel 2:9, 17
model 16:2	nearby 5:23	75:24	Option 7:10, 13,	panelists 6:2
25:24	necessarily 59:4	November 45:23	19 8:5, 14 31:2	parameters
models 68:22	needed 6:12	number 3:24	46:4 66:6	9:21 77:20
Moderator 1:16	37:19	4:23 6:3, 10	options 5:23	79:20
2:11 28:11	needs 24:11	10:5 11:3	7:8, 11, 25 8:2,	parcel 25:3, 7
modern 16:24	57:24 79:24	13: <i>15</i> 21:23	8 13:7 66:17	parcels 24:14
moment 14:16	80:1 82:2	40:24 68:19	75:19	25:11 74:2
25:24 60:7	negatively	69:7 73:17	orange 24:15, 18	park 19:22
money 36:4	66: <i>15</i> 71:3	numbers 47:11	Orchard 3:11	23:13 31:20
65:9 74: <i>1</i>	negotiations	68:23 69:2	7:17 9:18	52:10 55:23
month 44:25	74:11	72:22	10: <i>17</i> , 25 18: <i>9</i> ,	parking 15: <i>10</i> ,
45:17 46:8	neighbourhood		23 24:15, 18	21 20:17, 19
months 44:20	8:12 43:8	< 0 >	41:2 <i>4</i> 55:23	35:22 49: <i>1</i> , <i>3</i> ,
45:5 47:12	neighbourhoods	Oakmont 3:8	83:25 84:3	11, 13, 15 51:22
60:16 80:12	8:6, 13, 17	6:7 7:16, 21	85: <i>19</i>	53: <i>15</i>
81:9	12: <i>13</i> 14:3, 24	8:7 11: <i>18</i> 14:5	order 21:9	part 12:3 28:5
mouth 63:21	80:24	16: <i>14</i> 19: <i>15</i>	26:6 29:3 43:16	32:10 37:8, 23,
move 2:4 5:2	neighbouring	24:13 35:6	original 12:21	24 38:12 39:15
21:25 29:1	9:1 38:23	42:17 57:2, 8	13: <i>15</i> 17: <i>1</i> 6	42:8 51: <i>4</i>
46:25 78:11	neighbours	62:1 71:13, 25	41:2 <i>1</i>	56:24 58:23
moved 13:2, 3, 4	27:22	objectives 16:3	originally 62:16	61: <i>16</i> 66:2 <i>1</i>
moves 50:7	Neil 30:23, 24	obligated 74:19	outdoor 9:14	75:5 80:10, 15
moving 18: <i>11</i> ,	31:9 32: <i>16</i> , <i>21</i> ,	occupied 57:18	11: <i>10</i> , <i>11</i> 15: <i>18</i> ,	81:13 82:17
22 19:6, 16	24 33:5, 7	occur 60:15	21 17:8, 17	partially 66:18,
20:1 27:14	34:13, 19, 24	82:6	19: <i>12</i>	19
30:23 34:25	38:9 51:13, 14	October 1:25	outdoors 19:23	participate
36:20 43:10	52:4 53:2, 24	4: <i>19</i> , 24 87:9	outdoorsy 54:18	14:25 63:11
56:16 64:19	Neil's 43:17	offers 19:9 20:4	outline 43:23	participated
				62:3

particular 65:17	perspective	poked 49:13, 16	previously	71:23 74:5
69: <i>15</i>	42:15	poor 41:3	25:16 84:12	77:2, 4, 12
particularly	pertaining 73:24	popular 11:8	pre-zoning	78:18, 20
20: <i>12</i>	Pet 9:7 11:11	portion 21:22	48: <i>13</i>	proposals 80:3
parts 13:3	19: <i>11</i> 27: <i>19</i>	28:9 56:7, 8	principal 2:13	proposed 3:12
pass 55:9	Peter 1:21	83:21, 23	6:3	13:12 29:16
passionate 55:9	81:22	possible 14:2	principles 11:22	54:21 55:16
path 70:11	pharmacies 20:4	27:20 49:10, 11	Privacy 3:6	80: <i>12</i>
paths 15:19	phase 80:4	Possibly 68:5	11:25 42:5, 9	proposing
patio 8:11 9:7	photos 15:8	post-COVID	private 6:17	21:24 22:2, 4, 6,
17: <i>17, 18</i> 33: <i>10</i> ,	place 42:4	12:5 47:10	11: <i>9, 10</i> 15: <i>3</i>	8, 18 23:7, 14
19	47:23 71:4	posts 6:16	31:24 32:2	24:3, 8, 16, 19
patios 18:18	82:10	potential 67:12	problem 48:18	34:3 35:14
28:2 33:9	places 6:15	potentially 76:5	79:3	49:25 72:4, 6, 9
paved 41:25	12: <i>15</i> 66: <i>8</i>	power 19:2	procedure 32:24	proprietary
pay 41:3 59:22	plan 2:24 3:8,	practical 16:22	proceed 55:1	39:19 62:5
pays 74:1	14, 21 9:17	pre 47:10	proceeding 31:3	Protection 3:6
penthouse 51:1,	10:12 11:5, 14	pre-application	Proceedings	8:25 24:23
9	13:24 17:6, 12	4:25	2:1 86:15 87:5	70:24
people 2:4 8:2	21:9 24:13, 17	precise 45:7	process 2:14	proud 20:12
9:5, 8 12:15	47:18 55:2, 7,	precision 47:25	4:20 5:8 43:23	provide 3:25
13:8 15:5 17:9	13 57:12 75:17,	prefer 8:5, 14	44:8 46:21	5:18 11:7, 12
19: <i>3</i> , <i>7</i> , <i>12</i>	21 81:1, 2 82:4	85:22, 23	48:21 56:18	19:14 30:10
27:22 28:2	planned 65:21	preference	68:7 80:25	53:4 74:10
29:4, 19 37:11	planners 44:3	33:24	86:2, <i>4</i>	provided 38:22
39:5, 18 42:1,	74:11, 25	preferential	producing 81:1	73:21
10 44:14 50:2,	planning 17:5	52:25	professional	provides 17:1, 2
8 57:23 59:15	21:12 44:4	preferred 8:8, 14	9:13 20:3	18:16 74:14
60:4 63:8, 11	55:6 76:20, 24,	preliminary	project 2:14	providing 18:9
69:13	25 77:8, 16, 19,	48:17	79:4, 5	62:6
people's 14:23	23 78:13, 16, 25	prelude 62:5	projected 30:8	Province 87:8
percent 12:22,	plans 4:22	63: <i>20</i>	projection 54:6,	proxy 82:10
24, 25 13:16	33:11 37:14	prepared 39:22	7	PUBLIC 1:8
20: <i>14</i> , <i>1</i> 5 21:4	66: <i>4</i>	present 3:25	projects 2:12	2:22 4:23, 25
23:10 24:9	planters 15:16	7:25 57:17	promised 41:22	8: <i>4</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>19</i> 11: <i>9</i> ,
32:11 46:16, 21	16: <i>12, 18</i> 17: <i>1</i>	presentation	promises 43:1, 3	<i>11</i> 15: <i>4</i> , <i>10</i>
80:19	53:18	2:20 4:2, 14, 18	proper 42:22	20:15 21:5
percentage	plate 78:11	25:25 28:6	properties 3:10	38:2 <i>0</i> , 23 44:1 <i>3</i> ,
54:15	platforms 18:6	31:4 36:23	9:1 41:19, 22,	18 45:15, 18
Perfect 38:2	play 14:22	37:3 38:13	23 42:6 65:23	63:19 78:3
perimeter 20:20	15:13 17:9 20:5	66:22 69:24	property 22:23	81: <i>4</i> , <i>10</i> , <i>19</i>
period 45:2	pleasing 16:22	presented 7:8	25:6 26:8, 23	86: <i>10</i>
permit 48:20	plus 46:5	8:8 52:12 62:24	31:18, 23 32:10	publicly 21:8
66:1, 11 75:18	point 5:11	Presenter 1:14	37:1, 5 42:16	purchasing
permits 79: <i>15</i> ,	11: <i>18</i> 24:2 <i>1</i>	4:10	67:3 72:8	19: <i>13</i>
22	27:23 32:19, 21	preserve 11:24	74:19 75:9	purpose 82:15
permitted 66:8	36:10, 11, 16, 17	pretty 45:24	81:12 85:10	purposes 22:16
79:18	46:9 49:10, 11	48:14 65:19	proposal 3:3,	62:7, 8
person 4:1	50:19, 22 63:4	67:8 73:22	20 5:21 6:11	push 29:11
29:1 33:6	66:6 69:1 70:1	previous 6:11	13:16 17:16	push-button
37:13 54:18	72:20 76:4	20:7 23:15	23:24 24:7	57:9
55:18 75:9	pointed 74:22	58:3 64:18	27:4 40:12, 21	put 7:1, 12
83:16	points 7:24	71:14 74:2	51:17, 20 54:9	10:18 11:17
person's 29:5	poke 49: <i>12</i>	77:1 78:18 83:5	56:1 58:1, 3	13:7 22:22
	1		64:6, 18 70:7	28:16 30:18

acereporting.ca 1.866.497.4223

				00.04
34:21, 22, 23	raise 28:15	21 58:6, 7 71:2,	related 2:15	83:24
37:13 47:1,23	60:8 78:3	15 72:15	59:12	resolved 61:15
55:2 57:9	ran 11:21	recommended	relates 58:20	respect 14:4
59:18 68:22	rating 41:4	40:25 71:15, 22	76:20	response 29:1
71:1 72:4	ratio 22: <i>19</i> , <i>20</i> ,	77:16	relating 64:6	rest 19:4 31:1,
puts 81: <i>11</i>	21, 25 23:3, 8	record 36:3	relation 64:8	4 82:5
putting 64:12	77:23	67:25 68: <i>4</i>	relationship	restaurant
69:24 74:5	Ray 61: <i>16</i>	recorded 3:4	50:16 78:15	17:15, 18, 19, 24,
	reach 26:21	28:6	relax 12:7	25 33:9, 10, 23
< Q >	reached 6:9	recreation 12:14	17: <i>10</i> 80: <i>17</i>	34:7
qualified 40:22	8:4 40:9	recreational	release 39:22	restaurants
quality 9:11, 13	reaches 52:18	11: <i>10</i>	released 30:20	8:11 12:15
14:19 43:7	read 63:19	rectangular	rely 76:24	restricted 59:9
quarter 21:7	reading 5:12, 13	28:20	remain 84:4	restricting 85:1
question 4:2	44:20 45:18, 21	red 16:5 18:8	remember	restrictions 84:9
28:9, 15 29:18	ready 45:5	31:10, 15, 20	45:13 61:3	result 27:12
30:5, 25 31:12	real 16: <i>18</i>	37:1, 4 52:10	rendering 35:7	61:8
32:6, 16 33:7	17:21 30:7	70:11 76:2	53:6 55:18	results 11:15
36:1 37:6	51:1 76:1	redistricting	65:7 78:21	38:21, 22
38:19, 21 42:12	realize 80:23	2:25 3:10	renderings 16:9	retail 3:16 9:13
43:16, 17, 22, 25	really 5:15	reduce 16:8, 10	17:15 35:20	10:3
48:24 49:7	6:19,21 8:19	52:23 53:20	36:5 38:12, 16	retain 17:7
51:15 58:20	11:12 12:5	56:9	reoccurring 12:4	review 5:8
59:2 60:14	15:17 17:11	reduced 11:14	repeat 68:11	9:16 28:8 46:8
62:9 64:16, 25	19:7, 10 22:21	12:22, 23, 24	replaced 11:8	56:19 67:17
65:6 68:6, 12	26:11 30:14	14:7 20:9	replaces 78:24	79:20
73:24 74:25			-	
	32:19 35:16	27:16 31:6	report 45:5	reviews 5:8
76:1 77:11 86:9	37:12, 19, 20	49:21 56:23	Reporter 1:22	revising 4:22
questions 2:15	39:21 40:22	reducing 13:1,	4:13 87:14	rezoning 3:22
4:12, 14 29:8	46:8 50:5	11, 14 23:8	reports 30:16	4:20 64:22, 23
31:10 32:25	51:21 57:24	25:22	request 14:4	67:10 75:15
36:25 37:9	60:24 61:7, 14	reduction 24:8	26:24 40:12	Ridge 8:7
38:10, 25 39:11	63:7 64:13	reductions	51:15 73:21	18: <i>10</i> 19: <i>15</i>
56:4 62:11	65:4 67:7	12:25 20:18	requested 11:7	57:3, 7
70:1 78:14	69:25 70:3	reference 47:15	requests 3:6	ridiculous 73:17
80:7, 9 81:5	72:22 75:16	refine 48:22	9:5, 12	right-hand
83:13, 19 86:11	77:2, 19 79:10,	refined 27:5	required 3:17	10:24 21:22
queue 28:17	11 85:3	refuge 42:17	59:4	right-turn 71:19
33:1 34:20, 23	Realtors 12:11	refusal 81:9	requirements	rimmed 53:18
38:11	reapplication	refused 80:13	59:6	RITCHIE 63:24
queueing 71: <i>17</i> ,	2:21, 25	regard 56:17	reserve 25:1, 5,	64:2, 16 65:12
21 72:11	reason 28:25	57:11 74:15	8 43:19 76:5	67:5, 21
quick 9:15	30: <i>19</i> 63: <i>9</i>	75:25	residences 11:4	River 6:9 9:1
10:9 30:3, 7	reasons 7:12	regarding 78:14	12:16 32:3	11:8 15:2, 6
43:15 80:8	84:6	80:9	residential 3:9,	16: <i>11</i> 17: <i>3</i> , <i>18</i>
quickly 29:3	receive 5:2	regardless	15 9:10, 18, 23	18: <i>3, 14</i> 19: <i>4</i>
76:1	45:8	36:17	11: <i>1</i> 13: <i>1</i> 7	20:25 22:3
quiet 79:8	received 5:22	regards 64:5	22:13 24:10, 17	24:1,23 25:1
quite 50:6	27:16 39:14	84:9	33: <i>16</i> 34: <i>4</i> , <i>9</i> ,	33:10 36:10
51:24 55:20	recollection	region 61:7	10 49:17 56:23	41:20, 24 42:6
56:3	45:7	registered 76:9	66:19 68:19	51:19, 21, 23, 24
	recommendation	regulation 22:20	residents 5:24	52:9, 17, 21, 24
< R >	58:8	reinforce 11:25	7:1 14:5 18:25	53:11, 12, 16
R2 9:22, 25	recommendation	re-integrating	44:12 77:3, 7	54:19 55:22
11:1	s 41:8 56: <i>19</i> ,	17:3		

70.40 40 70.0	07.00 00 00.40	aat 10:10	alamificant 00.7	
70:10, 13 76:3	67:22, 23 68: <i>10</i> ,	set 13:19	significant 36:7	SOKOLIK 43:12,
81:2 85:2, 24	13 69:1, 8, 20	17:23 72:12	61:5	<i>14</i> 44:7 45:6,
RIVERBANK	83:20 84:12	setback 7:16	significantly 8:5,	22 46:12, 22
1:8 2:23 6:5	Sandy's 72:20	20:24 66:15	9, 17	47:3 60:13
11:2 <i>1</i> 12: <i>18</i> , 2 <i>1</i>	Saturday 28:1	setbacks 13:2	signing 64:24	61:23 63: <i>16</i>
14: <i>17</i> 19:8	sbennett@stalbe	22:22 23:23, 24	silly 60:3	solstice 26:19
23:7, 14, 25	rt.ca 67: <i>19</i>	24: <i>4</i> 25:5, 9	similar 17: <i>15</i>	27:10, 11
24:2 40:11	scale 10:11	66: <i>10</i> 80: <i>18</i>	44:22 66:20	solution 61:22
riverbanklanding.	scares 77:2	sets 25:4	81:9 83:6	75:20
com 4: <i>4</i>	scenario 63:2	shade 50:7	simply 23:2	somebody
river-facing	schedule 5:16	shaded 50:3	single 20:23	45:19 68:5
33:14, 19, 20	21:20, 21 23:13	shadow 14:2	69: <i>5</i> , 6	somewhat 31:7
34: <i>1, 14</i>	47:14, 19, 23	25:17, 19 26:6,	single-family	soon 56:22
riverside 14:8	48:2 75:21, 22	9, 10, 14, 15	10:1 13:6, 19	Sorry 38:5, 7
52:10	77:15, 17 80:21	27:10, 11	68:19 82:12	44:1, 5 54:2
river-view 29:20	83:2, 6, 7	shadows 9:1	84:2 85:8, 22	58:13, 20 68:10
Road 7:17	scheduled 55:16	25:23 26:4, 12,	sit 17: <i>10</i> 19: <i>4</i> ,	73:6, 7 81:6, 22
26:21 57:3	schedules	17, 19, 22, 23	21	sort 5:17 9:16
59:5 67:13	22:24 55:17	27:2, 8, 9	site 6:5, 6 7:1,	27:12 42:18
72:7, 12, 25	Schick 68:16	shape 12:20	2, 18, 24 9:6, 16	45:12 52:23
73:11	school 45:11	share 59:25	10:12, 22 11:5,	62:15 66:24
roads 54:17	screen 28:16,	62:12 71:11	<i>19</i> 12: <i>1</i> 13: <i>4</i> , <i>5</i> ,	78:12 85:18
56:24 58:5	17, 20	shared 59:24	24 14:3 15:3	sorts 21:14
roadway 57:5	season 72:23	sharing 63:17	17:12 19:16, 25	42:17
Rob 2:5, 11	seasonal 15:6	shells 79:7	20:6, 12, 13, 14,	sound 35:10, 11
28:10 33:2	19: <i>1</i>	shoot 67:19	15, 19 21:25	36:2
38:9 41:8, 10	seating 15:10	shop 15:7 19:2,	22:7 23:20	south 6:7
44:25 47:6	secondly 47:14	20	26:9, 10 27:3,	52:16 57:15
49:7 54:12	section 6:20	shopping 10:6	13 32:5 35:19	59:17
84: <i>13</i>	80: <i>9</i> , <i>16</i> 81:7	Shops 6:6 9:24	36:8 66:14, 18	southeast 52:2
	82:17	14:21 20:21	67:2 69:15	
Robert 1:16				space 9:2, 7, 13
80:7 83:18	sections 49:23	22:5 24:3	site-specific	11:9 15:15
Robyn 35:1, 2,	82:22	27:25 28:2, 4	9:20 23:21	16:13, 16 17:8
4 36:1, 19 64:10	security 42:6, 9	39:7 72:8	75:23	19: <i>10</i> 20: <i>13</i> , <i>16</i> ,
Roger 71:8, 9,	43:9	short 25:12	sits 15:3	21, 25 21:2, 6, 8
10, 11, 24 72:3,	selective 19:19	83:12	sitting 20:10	22:11, 12 27:18
16	sell 60:4 75:9	shorthand 87:5,	82:20	65:2 67:10
role 2:11	semidetached	6	situated 6:6	spaces 11:11
roofs 52:6	82:13	shot 61:1	size 22:15 23:9	speak 2:14
room 12:7	send 4:5 45:15	show 38:23	51:20	28:23 29:4
rough 22:9	67:17,20	68:24	sizes 69:10	40:23 47:20
roughly 10:8	sends 63:10	showed 38:16	skill 87:7	58:1 79:8
Ruby 36:20, 21,	seniors 37:7, 17,	66:22	skyline 70:13	speaking 32:13
22, 23 37:9	20 38:1	showing 31:15	slate 77:18	special 14:11
38:2 56:16, 17	sense 55:12	38: <i>13</i>	slide 23:15, 18	37:13
rule 51:8	sentiments 64:5	shows 59:3	24:22	specific 59:12,
run 17:21 28:13	September	69: <i>18</i>	slip 55:17	22 82:15 83:5
	25:18	side 10:24	small 6:24	specifically
< S >	service 8:11	33:14 34:14	7:23 18:22	81: <i>17</i>
safety 40:14	10: <i>4</i> 59:6	41: <i>1</i> 9 43:5	39: <i>14</i> 51: <i>11</i>	speed 57:1
42:9 43:9	services 8:10	51:22 52: <i>16</i>	smaller 7:14, 22	61:2 <i>1</i>
sandwich 19:21	12:10 20:4	53:15 54:19	smallest 83:21	speeds 56:23
Sandy 47:4, 5, 6,	30: <i>10</i>	66:23 76:3	social 17:8	spelled 11:3
7, 8, 22 48:24	servicing 66:3	sign 7:2	society 27:14	spend 36:4
49:15, 20 50:11	session 28:6, 7	signals 57:10	-	65: <i>9</i>
,	, -	v		

	-4-4-4 45-40	-1 ff 10-10	74.05 75.0 70.0	77.40.70.40
spent 39:20	statutory 45:10	stuff 42:18	74:25 75:2 79:8	77:12 78:12
51:23	stays 14:2	45:12 51:6	switch 75:6	80:18
split 66:18	step 22:3	64:2 <i>1</i>	synchronizing	things 6:21 9:5
splits 66:24	36:25 49:24	Sturgeon 6:8	57:25	11:22 15:6
spoke 33:8	Stephen 1:18	60:17,23 61:18	system 9:6	16:20 19:1
54:5 84:7	2:13 6:2 53:13	subject 3:5	18:8 31:16, 20	21:18 22:10
spot 17:8	step-in 13:18	submission	42:24 43:17	26:7 37:18
spring 26:4, 14,	stepped 18:12	30:17	52:11	50:25 51:8
17 29:19	23:16 33:13	submitted 3:1	(T)	56:6, 13 59:11,
square 3:17	67:25	4:15 13:24	<t></t>	24 60:25 61:25
17:6, 7 18:2, 4	stepping 16: <i>11</i> ,	58:24 81:5	table 84:19	77:21 84:8
21:5, 6, 7 23:3,	25 18:14 53:17	Subsection	85:1, 3	85:21
5 27:23 34:8	67:24	80:10	takes 56:3 74:1	thinking 31:21
squares 78:1	steps 44:7	subsequent	talk 28:18 37:7	32:4 76:13
St 1: <i>19</i> , <i>20</i> , <i>21</i> ,	48:3 53:10, 11,	53:16 74:12, 19	82:25	third 5:14 84:25
24 4:7 5:5 7:1	14	82:7	talked 18:18	thirdly 80:22
9:12 11:17	stick 47:24	subsequently	75:17	thought 8:15
13:21 24:24	74:20	74:17	talking 21:13	11:6 45:20
27:24 37:11	stores 10:4	substance 31:8	25:6 34:22	53:2 55:4
61: <i>10</i> 65: <i>18</i>	12:15	substantial 51:7	50:21 55:13	thoughts 81:23
72:1 87:8	storeys 50:21,	successful 8:21	60:12 62:25	thousands
stab 65:14	22, 24 56:11	14:20 17:24	82:24	39:2 <i>0</i> , 21
staff 43:22 45:6	85:5, 6, 12	suitable 85:14	talks 72:6	three-hour 84:16
stage 15:23	straight 53:12	suite 12:23	80:11, 16	throw 32:17
34:6	57:16, 22 72:10	summarizing	tall 13:10 35:21	tied 54:25
staggered 23:15	straight-through	84:23	taller 35:13	time 2:3 5:6, 7
staggering 52:5	71:20 73:10	summary 40:1	tallest 13:3	28:3, 18, 24
stance 40:21	straight-	Summer 26:19	35:19	29:5 37:6
stand 64:9	throughs 73:4,	31:22 39:3	taste 63:20	39:25 40:24
standard 15:9,	7, 8, 9	51:2 <i>4</i>	tax 30:8	41:4 44:23
10 23:6, 11, 23	strange 48:21	summertime	team 5:25	51:23 57:17
24:2 26: <i>4</i> 79:7	strategic 7:11	38: <i>14</i>	16:2 <i>0</i>	58:6, 8 69:1
standards 5:10	street 15:25	Sun 25:17, 19	technical 5:8	80:12 82:1
standing 35:21	17:11 61:11, 24	sunny 28:1	technicality	timeframe 54:6
standpoint 67:6	70:8	support 51:17	76:16	timeline 44:22
starkness 52:23	strengths 14:12	supported 78:17	tenant 19:19	timelines 44:5
start 10:14	strictly 66:25	supports 19:23	ten-year 54:6	45:25 81:2 <i>1</i>
17: <i>12</i> 21:22	strong 37:19	surrounding	term 31:14, 18	Timeline-wise
29:18 36:11	strongly 80:1	11:16 14:24	32:4	44:19
65: <i>15</i> 75: <i>5</i>	structure 3:8,	80:2	text 3:23	times 5:14
79:5 81:6	21 9:17 24:13,	survey 6:25	Thanks 28:10	16:17 23:5
started 2:4, 20	17 51:8	7:9 11:16	30:24 31:9	26:4 27:17
28:4 29:9	studies 26:2	38:2 <i>0</i> , 21 39:11,	32:24 36:1, 19	38:8 57:18
starting 61:15	27:5 43:2 81:24	12, 16, 20, 22	42:12 46:12, 24	timing 43:24
startling 51:24	Studio 1:18	47:25 62:3, 6,	50:11 51:14	45:23
starts 18:12	Studios 6:1	21, 23, 24, 25	60: <i>10</i> 61:23	timing-wise 82:5
22:19	study 13:23	63:2, <i>4</i> , <i>5</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>1</i> 8	83:18 84:22	title 76:10
state 81:7	25:17, 19 26:6,	68:2 84: <i>14</i> , <i>15</i> ,	85:17 86:13	today 3:2
stated 23:2	15, 16 40:20, 25	17	theme 9:10	13:11 43:24
83:22	41:7 47:9, 10	surveys 39:14	12:4	tomorrow 55:8
Station 40:1	54:5, 7, 8 56:20	suspect 82:6	thing 24:21	ton 6:18
62:2, 17	58:2, 9, 21, 22	Suzanne 1:20	35:18 48:9	Tonight 2:8
statistics 21:3	59:21 60:2, 21,	2:15 44:3	53:3 56:10	3:18, 19, 25
status 81:3	23 61:4 68:23	65:13 73:21	62:15 66:16	4:10, 12 5:18
	69:18 72:14, 21	· · · · · · · · ·		-,

13

6:3 16:7 51:17	49:24 50: <i>4</i> , 9	understanding	view 17:3	weaknesses
tool 39:12	85: <i>14</i>	49:8 56:22	18: <i>17</i> 50: <i>8</i>	14: <i>12</i>
top 20:7 36: <i>13</i> ,	transparency	64:22 67:11	52:13 67:16	webinar 4:17
14 50:19, 20	84:20	undertaken	81:4	website 4:3
51:3 54: <i>13</i>	travel 27:2	81:2 <i>4</i>	viewing 15:10	6:17, 18, 22 7:8
Toronto 31:22	trees 11:24	unease 48:8, 10	18:6	26:16 27:3, 7
towers 7:14, 15	triangle 20:2	unique 53:8	village 3:16	28:8 30:18
51:4	trips 68:8, 13,	79:6	17:6, 7 18:2, 4	44:17 52:14
town 27:23	20 69:4, 9, 11	unit 45:12 68:8,	23:13 34:8	67:16
townhome 50:2	trucks 15:21, 23	14 69:4, 9	virtual 4:1	wedding 15:8
townhomes	trust 76:19	units 9:13, 25	virtually 28:14	weeks 5:6 7:1
7:23 16:14	78:12	10:1, 6, 20, 22	visible 70:12	40:6
19:7, 14 23:19	trying 44:1	11:3 13:15, 17	vision 12:17	wetlands 71:4
49:25 50:5	56:9 79:6	14:6 20:11	15:13 37:23	widening 70:8
69:9 85:22	82:19, 25	29:20, 21, 22	47:24 48:5 74:4	71: <i>18</i>
	-	69:14	visit 6:19, 20	wild 42:19
track 27:9 29:2	turn 45:14		· · · ·	
traditional 7:20	57:20, 21, 23	unmute 28:21,	visual 64:9, 14	wildlife 42:3, 8
16: <i>13</i>	71:16, 24	22	visualize 55:21	43:2
Traditionally	turned 4:17	unmuted 79:9	vogue 63:7	Willow 18:8
29:20	turning 57:14,	unstable 41:11	voiced 70:3	31:10, 16, 20
traffic 8:25	24	upper 74:14	voted 77:1, 3	52:10 70:11
11: <i>17</i> 13: <i>1</i> , <i>20</i> ,	tweaked 31:7	urban 79: <i>19</i>	votes 76:23	76:2
23 40:5, 6, 8, 18,	two-floor 17:14	uses 3:9, 16	VP 2: <i>12</i>	wind 72:7
20 41:7 47:9,	two-minute 29:6	10:5 12: <i>14</i>	V-shaped 47:16	wing 25:21
10, 11 54:5, 8	two-storey	39:17 66:8, 12,		winter 27:9, 10,
56: <i>17</i> , 20 57: <i>12</i> ,	16: <i>15</i> 85: <i>9</i>	25 77:20 79:12,	< W >	<i>11</i> 39: <i>1</i> , <i>4</i> , 9
25 58:2, 21, 22	type 50:15	18	wading 56:13	wintertime 38:17
59:2, 2 <i>0</i> , 21	56:3 66:16		wait 57:20	wish 57:19
60:2, <i>21</i> , <i>22</i> , <i>23</i>	68:25 80: <i>18</i>	< V >	59:20 80:11	wonder 71:11
61: <i>4</i> , <i>10</i> , <i>15</i>	types 21:15	valley 9:1 15:2,	waiting 29:19	Wonderful
68: <i>17</i> , 23 69: <i>16</i> ,	39:16 42:3	6 19:4 41:24	walk 20:16	65:12 67:21
18 70:3, 5, 9, 15	76:13	52:24 81:2	54:19 55:22	wondering 35:4,
71:12 72:21, 24	typically 32:8	85:24	70:10	14 68:6
73:4, 14	73:25	valuable 63:10	walkable 12:8	won't 38:10
trail 9:6 11:8		64:7	38:13	55:13 60:3
18:8 31:10, 16,	< U >	value 37:4	walking 15:18	Woodlands 8:7
20, 24 41:18	Ultimately	variance 80:15	57:7 75:16	WOODMAN
42:4, 14, 24	63:14 68:2	82:17 83:2	walkway 32:1	69:21, 23 70:21
43:5, 17 52:10,	ultra 16:23	varies 21:1	41:23, 25	work 14:22
16 54:19, 20, 24	umbrellas 15:21	various 8:3	wall 35:8 53:12	15:13 20:5
55:10, 22, 23	unbiased 68:3	42:3 44:7	walls 16:24	28:12 45:3
56:3 57:5 76:2	undergoing	vary 83:9	wander 18:5	67:3 77:19
trail-facing	60: <i>14</i>	vehicle 8:18, 20	wanted 6:10	80:2 81:25
34: <i>14</i>	underground	68: <i>8</i> , <i>13</i> 69: <i>3</i> , <i>8</i> ,	7:25 13:8 44:4	worked 62:22
trails 15:8 17:4	20:19 49:1, 3, 12	10	64:4 70:1, 14	84: <i>14</i>
18:5 41:21	undersigned	vehicles 71:24	72:19 75:13	working 20:20
transcribed 87:6	87:3	versions 20:7	80:19	28:13 57:13
				84:13
transcribing 4:13	understand	versus 8:12, 19	wanting 57:23 wants 59:18	works 59:20
	5:23 26:7 34:3	38:24 69:9, 10		
	40:8 48:7	vertical 7:13	water 19:2 48: <i>12</i>	worries 64:23 67:9
87:1,4	64:21 76:21	viable 59:4		
transients 42:20	80:25 84:5	vibrant 12:9	waterfowl 42:2	worry 43:20
transition 7:21	understandable	15:25	ways 5:19 6:10	64:20
13:5 33:6	67:14	video 27:3, 7	7:4 8:4 50:6	worse 59:25
	1	1	63:7 78:5	1

r		
wraps 62:10		
wrestle 56:7		
wrong 75:8		
84:24		
-		
< Y >		
yard 19:12		
yards 42:1		
yeah 16:1 30:1,		
22, 24 31:6		
32:6 34:15, 18,		
21, 24 39:1		
41:18 45:22		
46:22 47:22		
50: <i>4</i> , 9 53: <i>1</i>		
54:8 56:5		
59:11 63:16		
64:15 65:3		
67:14 72:19		
75:22 76:15		
79:10 84:21		
85:2 <i>0</i>		
year 5:16		
29:21 38:15, 18		
39:2 46:3		
54:15, 16 60:16		
79: <i>3</i> , <i>4</i>		
years 29:25		
30: <i>1</i> , 2 54: <i>10</i> ,		
12 55:2 61:13		
yellow 24:15, 16		
26:11, 20		
< Z >		
zero 77:3		
zone 65:17, 18		
66:7, 18		
zoned 25:11		
84: <i>4</i> , 7		
zones 67:15		
zoning 9:20, 21,		
24, 25 11:3		
14:9 21:15		
24:6 25:12, 14		
48:19 65:13, 22		
73:21 74:3 75:8, 12 76:22,		
23 77:20 79:16		
zonings 65:15		
20111193 00.10		