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MR. DIBBEN:
Okay. Thank you for coming. If you're ready, we'11 -- you can take your seats. If you don't have a seat, we'11 find one. My name is Blaydon Dibben. I'm a planner with select Engineering. We're here for the public meeting for North Ridge Area Structure Plan Amendment. we're here. select is working on this, the engineering and planning for Strata Developments, who is the owner of the lands.

So the purpose of this public meeting -- and, actually, first, I should say that we are recording this. The City requires that a court reporter be present and record all of the information and any questions and answers that are given during this formal presentation. So I ask that if you ask a question, please speak up so that she can hear and also state your name before you ask the question.

So the purpose of this meeting is to inform you guys, the public, about the plans. We want to gather your feedback, any comments, any questions. We haven't submitted an application yet. This is a requirement before we submit an application to the City. And so we're all here to
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hopefully answer your questions and give you as much information as you need.

Next slide there, please. So as you've seen on the boards, the proposal is to add another phase. So it's north of North Ridge. so it's not changing anything in the actual North Ridge Area Structure Plan or the North Ridge neighbourhood that's pretty much built out today. This is located north of Villeneuve Road. The accesses will be off of Hogan Road and villeneuve Road. So, again, nothing that actually accesses into the existing North Ridge neighbourhood.

Sturgeon County is located north of here. we've been working on this plan for quite some time. we came forward with an application back in 2015, but at that time, the roadways, the regional roadways, hadn't been determined and finalized. Now, Fowler way and Ray Gibbon Drive and villeneuve Road, those alignments have been set by the City, the functional plan for that last year with public consultation and have approved that. So now we are able to come forward with the plans on behalf of strata.

Next slide. So the existing
use of the land is agricultural. There is -- it's
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fairly flat. It drains generally to the northeast and northwest. Over to the west of the plan, there is that electrical substation that will continue to exist. That feeds the area for electricity. And then immediately west of the lands, there's another half quarter section here. That's owned by the City of St. Albert. And so they will be planning that area separately. So that will be a separate plan that comes in place once the budget is there and directed by Council.

The existing Hogan Road on the east boundary is currently grave1, so that will be upgraded once those phases of submission come in. And then villeneuve Road here would have -- there would be another access off of villeneuve Road.
so we just wanted to give you
some context. We're not changing these plans. These are already approved. But this is the context of what was put forward last year by the City. So Hogan Road continues north until it connects with Fowler way. Villeneuve Road will continue all the way along Deer Ridge through Hogan Road. There would be the access into this neighbourhood here and then would realign up to Fowler way. So that would be basically the end of
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Villeneuve at the east/west connection.
There would still likely be something to access that electrical substation, but the intention is that traffic would realign either up to Hogan or up through villeneuve, the realigned villeneuve, up to Fowler Way. And Fowler way would eventually connect over to St. Albert Road or St. Albert Trail.

So this is the plan that we're amending, North Ridge, as approved. We're adding on this area to the North Ridge plan to the north. It would be planned at a density of 40 units per hectare. That's what's required by the Edmonton Metropolitan Region Board, which is a -- have a growth plan that came into effect in October of 2017.

And here's another picture of this plan. Hopefully you can all see it. If not, there's a nice big one at the back, and we can answer any questions on that as well. So the yellow is all low- to medium-density residential. The R-1 zone -- or sorry. RSL and RF zones, they allow for a range of single-detached, semi-detached, and townhouse. As I said, the residential density would meet the minimum

[^0]Certified Court Reporters
requirements of the Edmonton Metropolitan Region Board, and that's an overall density for the neighbourhood.

The brown areas would be townhouse, and the bigger brown area would be -could either be townhouse or apartments. But they're meant to be a medium density. So it could be up to, like, a four-storey building potentially. And that would be determined later on with subdivision when that stage comes.

There are parks through the centre of the plan. Hopefully you can see this. But there is some dashed red lines that show where there could be some potential trail connections that would connect up from villeneuve through this storm pond that abuts villeneuve Road on the south. So you could go for a walk right up, cross over this local road here, and right up through the neighbourhood. So tried to have really good connections throughout and want it to be very walkable.

And then up in the northeast corner is a commercial site, which would have good visibility access from Hogan Road and Fowler way when those come on board. Access to the commercial
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site would be solely off of Hogan Road. So nothing internal for vehicles. But there would be a walkway connection through there so that if you want to go to the store, you can get there. You don't have to go out onto Hogan Road to do it.

Next. So that's basically the whole presentation. There's -- we're happy to take questions after. I'11 just tell you some of the next steps, though. As I said, this is -- the intention of this meeting is to gather your input, gather your questions and comments. We' 11 take that back, review it, discuss it with the City. See if anything -- you know, if anything needs to be changed or -- and then the intention is to submit an application as soon as possible.
so assuming that there's no real major issues, we would try and submit that application by the end of February. It would go through a circulation process with the City, which takes a couple months. It then would have to go to the Edmonton Metropolitan Region Board if Council accepts the first reading of this, and that's about a three-month process. Finally we go to a public hearing targeting fall of 2019. And then the intention is to do the first stage of construction,
get that underway in the spring of 2020. So, please, if you have any questions, I'm here. Actually I should say, it's not just me here. Leo is hear from select. He's the engineer. Jeanne Calder is here. She's our senior planning technician. And from the landowner here is Courtney Jensen from Strata. And then also some people from the City here as well if there's any questions about any of the city plans.

So, please, as I said, maybe
raise your hand if you have a question and just state your name. Yeah.

JORDAN KLUTHE:
will you be taking the design to another open house before the public hearing do you think?

MR. DIBBEN:
Sorry. Can you just say your name first?

JORDAN KLUTHE:
Jordan.
MR. DIBBEN:
Jordan, okay. will we be taking the -- another open house before public hearing? which I guess it depends on whether the plan changes or not. If this plan goes through as you see it today, then we would discuss it with the City, but there wouldn't be a requirement to bring it again. So the public hearing would be another
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        opportunity to --
    Jordan kluthe:
opportunity.
MS. PETER:
This is Kristina with the
City. If there's substantial changes to the layout and the concept, we generally do require the developer to bring it back to the public. But if it relatively stays the same, generally the same, the layouts are similar, we don't bring it back.

BILL:
MR. DIbBEN:
BILL:
I have a question.
Your name?
My name is Bill. And is this -- the municipal reserved for parkland, is there expected to be a school site?

MR. DIBBEN:
No. There's no school site planned for this area. It's -- the whole area itself is 25 hectares, so the requirement is 10 percent of that land to be park. A school site is typically about 4 hectares in size. So we've got some linear but also some good sized parks in there that you could do some programming with, but definitely not large enough for a school. I believe, like, North Ridge had parks sized for a school, but they haven't built any.
ms. Jensen:
I can add that we did discuss
with the City whether or not they wanted to see a park site because certainly the city would have the option to buy additional land, more space, to consolidate enough to do a school site, and that wasn't desired.

BILL: Just a followup. I'11 1et somebody else talk. Would the developer consider putting the MR on the west boundary and therefore the City owns the land adjacent to it providing for an opportunity for a school site if there's a need?

MR. DIBBEN:
I think it's difficult at this point to say because there is no plan for that 7and.
MS. JENSEN: We have tried planning with the City, actually, a couple of times when it was funded through the budget. But then when it got unfunded, any planning that we did along the border was -- we received the request from the city to not tie them into anything through our planning. So we actually tried to do the opposite of that where we tried to keep the park space so that it's serving the residents of this community and not anticipating a use in the adjacent land that may or may not come.
BILL:

## okay. Thank you.
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MR. DIBBEN:
JOANNA KRIPS: wondering, did it mention that your construction you are considering -- you're hoping to start 2020, was it? So this might be a question for the city. What is the timeframe for the upgrade to the roads in the area to support the additional traffic?

MR. DIBBEN:
Right. I can let the City answer that in general. But, like, Fowler way, I don't believe there's any timeframe for that right now as far as I know. And same with cutting off villeneuve Road. So the first access will likely be off Villeneuve Road to get into this neighbourhood.

JOANNA KRIPS:
So for quite some time, it will likely be any traffic from there will either be Villeneuve or Hogan. Is that the --

MS. PETER:
That would be the quickest way to get road access to the site, yes.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: As if they can even handle the traffic now. The traffic is absolutely -JORDAN KLUTHE: The intersection trying to turn off at 255.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The intersection, villeneuve, it doesn't matter where you come from. Pretty much
at any point of the day you can't move on there. MS. PETER: So one of the things that will happen with this development going through is there will be a number of upgrades that will be required, and I can maybe get Leo --

MR. LeVASSEUR: Yeah. That likely would be part of the approval process of the subdivision. we would get the conditions from the City as to what may be needed. And the TIA will probably support some of that requirement. It may not be shown, but I think there was a plan for a roundabout at Hogan and villeneuve, and it is quite likely that would all have to be partially built or fully built in order to deal with the traffic.
MS. PETER: You would see some improvements to the local conditions before this would actually get built out; however, the full redesign of villeneuve Road and Fowler way will take a little bit longer, and that we are talking some substantial costs that have to be associated. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I mean, that's what they said originally five years and ten years ago. And that still hasn't happened. And as residents in that area, it's a little disconcerning because we've got so much traffic coming through there. Now we bring
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another community in, which is great, but if we can't handle the infrastructure of vehicles, like, what are we doing?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: On Sunday afternoon I counted a hundred cars in ten minutes.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Like, I know they did calculations on so many per hour. And that's actually, I believe, got four times higher than what they said. It was done back in 2014, '15. That's five years ago. So our big concern is -and I know a lot of people --

MR. LEVASSEUR: The turning movement and everything else at Hogan and Villeneuve, or are you talking just straight down?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Straight through. Yeah. The traffic starts very heavy at about 5:30 in the morning, and it doesn't shut down until 7 at night to the point of, like, you gotta watch what's on your shelf in your house because it will fall off because of the road traffic of those trucks. So then got that much more infrastructure coming in, obviously more heavy equipment coming through there, the road can barely handle two lanes of cars. What are we going to -- now they're going to expand the road. well, that wasn't supposed to
happen, right? Right? Like -- and that's what happened for the last ten years, that road. when Deer Ridge came in, North Ridge came in, we got the, Yeah, it will happen, but nothing happened.

MR. LEVASSEUR: well, certainly we can't speak for the timing of villeneuve and the improvement of Villeneuve because it spans quite a long length, right.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's right. But now we're on the other side of it, so...

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And you're saying that roundabout will happen at the same time as --

MR. LEVASSEUR:
It will have to happen in -Hogan Road is an arterial, so I know Blaydon kind of mentioned this. There was actually two accesses, but the initial stage to come off of villeneuve would have to be improved too. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The roundabout is going to be at Hogan and Villeneuve?

MR. LEVASSEUR: Pardon?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The roundabout is going to be at Hogan and villeneuve then?

MR. LEVASSEUR:
MR. DIBBEN:
MATT BACHEWICH:

Yes.
Right here, yes.
Just following up on the -- my
name is Matt. Just following up on the theme of transportation here. We've got Ray Gibbon Drive which has an excessive amount of traffic as it is without any upgrades. And I guess the concern would be that this development is adding another nearly 2,000 residents to that area of St. Albert and, therefore, placing even more demand on Ray Gibbon Drive. So I guess my question would be, has there been consideration given to aligning the timing with this development with the timing for the Ray Gibbon Drive expansion and creating a linkage or a condition of Ray Gibbon Drive expansion being required in order to enable this site to be developed?

MR. DIbBEN:
well, there hasn't been any discussion about linking Ray Gibbon Drive to this neighbourhood. There -- yeah. So I think, you know, the City is looking for budget, as far as I know, for upgrades to Ray Gibbon, but that would be a major expense for a project this size, which would definitely impact housing costs and everything there.
MS. PETER: Do keep in mind that the Ray Gibbon Drive is also -- there's a provincial requirement as well and provincial funding that is
required for that. So for the City to take that on in advance of getting that funding or guarantees for funding from the Province is quite risky, and I don't know if it is financially feasible for the City to do and then conversely the landowner or the developer.

For that to happen, it could be 15 years. Like, we don't know at this stage. It could be three. Depending on elections and promises and stuff like that, but it's -- at this stage, the City doesn't have the -- we're not fully in control of the full development of Ray Gibbon Drive.

MATT BACHEWICH: I guess the -- I understand provincial funding requirement. Obviously St. Albert is not going to take that level of burden on to develop Ray Gibbon Drive. But I guess the point is that the City may not have control over when the funding occurs, but the City has control over when additional development occurs. And my point would be that we've got already thousands of people using Ray Gibbon Drive on a daily basis, and we have to sit, and it takes sometimes over 20 minutes to get from Giroux to Anthony Henday Drive. By adding another 2,000 people to this region, we're only
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going to exasperate that issue. So I guess my comment would be that the City does have control over the timing of development, and my point would be that until we have the roadway infrastructure that's required for that development, then we shouldn't be exasperating the problem by adding more development.

MS. PETER: That's fair. And I think the one thing, as Blaydon did mention, this is a pre-application. So the application has not actually come into the City. So one of the things that will happen when it is officially applied for is there will be circulation, and our
transportation engineers will have to take that into consideration regarding traffic counts and safety and such. If the existing road network can accommodate it, though, just as a warning, it will be very difficult to say that we would have to hold back on approval of this.
MATT BACHEWICH: Okay. Thank you.
MR. DIBBEN: And, again, I know that -- you know, because it's on this side of the city that Ray Gibbon Drive might be the most direct route, but, I mean, I happen to live in Deer Ridge. So if Ray Gibbon is backed up, I go to St. Albert Trail,
which is also a busy road, but it -- you know, you go down 170th. There are other alternatives in Campbel1 Road. And so it wouldn't -- you know, if this causes more traffic going that way, I think it disburses again to the other roadways. And, I mean, we al1 want upgrades to al1 the roadways for sure, so hopefully there's enough sort of political will to get it there eventually.

Any other questions at this time? We can answer questions, you know, offline too I guess.

BILL:
MR. DIBBEN:
BILL:
It's about the internal road network. So you've got an arterial at the top here. They used to be called arterials, Fowler way. It's like Giroux. And then at the bottom, Villeneuve is kind of a hybrid of that. And then in the middle normally there's some sort of bus routes or something. They're called collectors.

MR. DIBBEN: Right.

BILL:
So the road that goes through the middle looks like it should connect to the road west into the stuff you can't plan because the City isn't in a position to be able to plan that yet.
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MR. DIBBEN:
BILL: that way, I guess, like, from a design perspective -- maybe I'm just asking a question $I$ can't answer.

MR. DIBBEN:
So we have done a traffic impact assessment, you know, and it hasn't gone into the City yet. But, yes, you're right, this is -- well, Hogan is kind of a major collector type of -- and so there would be transit along Hogan, and transit would loop through here and then go back up or up that way to Fowler. So definitely transit is a requirement in every neighbourhood within 400 metres of all residents. This is meeting that requirement here. And nice and central to the neighbourhood. So at this point, yeah, there's nothing going through -- proposed to go through here. We have shown a walkway. If and when that gets planned so that, you know, it's not turning our backs on it completely, but focussing more on the pedestrian access.

BILL:
And I respect your input in that precarious position, so it's hard to plan 80 acres, right, due to a major neighbourhood. So the cul-de-sac south of that main road, so the one sort of -- I guess it's the square between Villeneuve
and --
MR. DIBBEN:
This here?
BILL:
Yes. It's south on Hogan Road, south of the school, there's a section of Villeneuve that's developed that has that access off of Hogan versus access off of Giroux. Would the developer consider an access off of Hogan to the east versus the access that's coming off of villeneuve to reduce the number of accesses on villeneuve?

MR. DIBBEN: You're saying an access out here?

BILL:
MS. JENSEN:

Yes.
Because they wouldn't have the proper spacing from -- you need to have a minimum amount of spacing from a11 of the other major roadways.

BILL:
It's to the top of the
cul-de-sac.
MR. DIBBEN:
We've got 400 metre spacing for collector roadways.

BILL:
Did you have 400 metres from Hogan and Villeneuve?

MR. DIBBEN:
Yes. So we've -- from Fowler way and villeneuve. And then the one in the middle
is 400 metres.

BILL:
MR. DIBBEN:

The one to the west?
Oh, this one here. Sorry.
villeneuve is considered a collector, and so off of a collector, you can have 60 metres as your maximum.

BILL: consider.

MR. DIBBEN:
MS. PETER:
Yes. Yeah, yeah.
To expand on that, the City
will look at different road networks and different road patterns. One of the things that the City is starting to look at, because of the higher density, is increased accesses. In the past we've kind of limited them, which has caused some difficulties because of traffic flow. So the more accesses you have, people have more options to get around, so then they're not as overly condensed on certain areas. That would have to be in accordance with the designs that the engineers could support.

MR. DIBBEN:
The computer just went to sleep I think. I'11 just bring this up here just in case. Were there any more questions at this time? okay. well, I'd like to thank you all for showing interest and coming out. we have comment
forms at the back. Please sign in. Sign your names. And any comments you leave here will be submitted to the City. We'll review those with them and definitely include them for council.

```
PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED 6:28 P.M.
```
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| ```19:11, 22:4 demand [1] - 15:7 density [6]-5:12, 5:21, 5:25, 6:2, 6:7, 21:13 design [2]-8:13, 19:3 designs[1]-21:20 desired [1]-10:5 detached [2] - 5:23, 5:24 determined [2] - 3:18,6:9 develop [1] - 16:17 developed [2]-15:14, 20:5 developer[4]-9:7, 10:7, 16:6, 20:7``` | ```equipment [1] - 13:22 eventually [2] - 5:7, 18:8 exasperate [1] - 17:1 exasperating [1] - 17:6 excessive [1] - 15:3 exist[1]-4:4 existing [4]-3:12, 3:24, 4:11, 17:16 expand [2]-13:25, 21:10 expansion [2] - 15:11, 15:13 expected [1] - 9:14 expense [1] - 15:20``` | ```17:23, 17:25 gibbon [1] - 16:12 Giroux[3] - 16:24, 18:17, 20:6 given [2]-2:16, 15:9 gotta[1] - 13:18 gravel [1] - 4:12 great[1] - 13:1 growth [1] - 5:15 guarantees [1] - 16:2 guess [9]-8:21, 15:4, 15:8, 16:14, 16:17, 17:1, 18:11, 19:3, 19:25``` | ```13:21, 17:4 initial [1] - 14:16 input [2]-7:10, 19:21 intention [4]-5:4, 7:10, 7:14, 7:25 interest [1] - 21:25 internal [2]-7:2, 18:14 intersection [2]-11:22, 11:24 issue [1] - 17:1 issues [1]- 7:17 itself [1] - 9:17``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| development [8] - 12:3, |  | guy |  |
| $17: 3,17: 5,17$ | ```fair [1] - 17:8 fairly [1] - 4:1 fall [2]-7:24, 13:19 far [2]-11:11, 15:18 feasible [1] - 16:4 February [2] - 7:18, 22:16 feedback [1]-2:22 feeds \([1]-4: 4\) final [1]-9:2 finalized [1] - 3:18 finally [1] - 7:23 financially [1] - 16:4 first [5]-2:12, 7:22, 7:25, 8:17, 11:12 five [2]-12:22, 13:10 flat [1]-4:1 flow [1]-21:16 focussing [1] - 19:19 following [2]-14:25, 15:1 followup [1] - 10:6 foregoing [1] - 22:11 Forestier [2] - 1:21, 22:19 formal [1] - 2:16 forms [1] - 22:1 forward \([3]-3: 15,3: 22,4: 19\) four [2]-6:8, 13:8 four-storey \([1]\) - \(6: 8\) Fowler [11] - 3:18, 4:21, 4:25, 5:6, 6:24, 11:9, 12:18, 18:16, 19:11, 20:24 full \([2]-12: 17,16: 12\) fully [2]-12:14, 16:11 functional [1]-3:20 funded [1] - 10:16 funding [5] - 15:25, 16:2, \(16: 3,16: 15,16: 19\)``` |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { January [1]-1:25 } \\ & \text { Jeanne }[1]-8: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dibben [2] - 1:20, 2:5 } \\ & \text { DIBEEN [22] - 2:2, 8:16, } \\ & \text { 8:19, } 9: 11,9: 15,10: 11, \\ & 11: 1,11: 8,14: 24,15: 15 \\ & 17: 21,18: 13,18: 21,19: 1 \\ & 19: 5,20: 2,20: 11,20: 20 \\ & 20: 24,21: 3,21: 9,21: 21 \\ & \text { different }[2]-21: 11 \end{aligned}$ |  | ```half [1] - 4:6 hand \([1]-8: 11\) handle [3]-11:20, 13:2, 13:23 happy [1] - 7:7 hard [1] - 19:22 hear [2]-2:18, 8:4 hearing \([4]-7: 24,8: 14,8: 21\),``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JENSEN [3] - } 9: 25,10: 14 \text {, } \\ & 20: 14 \\ & \text { Jensen [1] - 8:7 } \\ & \text { JOANNA [2] - 11:2, 11:15 } \\ & \text { Joanna [1] - 11:2 } \\ & \text { JORDAN [4] - 8:13, 8:18, } \\ & \text { 9:2, 11:22 } \\ & \text { Jordan [2] - 8:18, 8:19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| difficult [2] - 10:11, 17:18 difficulties [1] - 21:15 |  | heavy [2] - 13:16, 13:22 |  |
| ```direct [1] - 17:23 directed [1] - 4:10 disburses [1] - 18:5 disconceming [1] - 12:24 discuss [3] - 7:12, 8:23, 9:25 discussion [1] - 15:16 done [2] - 13:9, 19:5 down[4]-13:14, 13:17. 18:2, 22:12``` |  | ```hectare [1] - 5:13 hectares [2]-9:17, 9:19 henday [1] - 16:24 hereby [1] - 22:10 higher [2]-13:8, 21:13 Hogan [18]-3:10, 4:11, 4:20, 4:22, 5:5, 7:1, 7:5, 11:17, 12:12, 13:13, 14:14, 14:19, 14:22, 19:8, 19:9, 20:3,``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Keep }[2]-10: 21,15: 23 \\ & \text { kind }[4]-14: 14,18: 18,19: 8, \\ & 21: 14 \\ & \text { KLUTHE }[4]-8: 13,8: 18,9: 2, \\ & \quad 11: 22 \\ & \text { Krips }[4]-11: 2 \\ & \text { KRIPS }[2]-11: 2,11: 15 \\ & \text { Kristina }[1]-9: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| drains [1]-4:1 <br> drive $[9]-3: 19,15: 11,15: 16$ |  | $\text { hogan }[2]-6: 24,20: 6$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15: 24,16: 13,16: 17,16: 22 \text {, } \\ & \text { 16:24, 17:23 } \\ & \text { Drive }[3]-15: 2,15: 8,15: 12 \\ & \text { due }[1]-19: 23 \\ & \text { during }[1]-2: 16 \end{aligned}$ |  | ```hold [1] - 17:18 hopefully [4] - 3:1, 5:18, 6:12, 18:7 hoping[1] - 11:4 hour [4] - 13:7 house [3] - 8:14, 8:20, 13:19``` | ```land [6]-3:25, 9:18, 10:3, 10:9, 10:13, 10:23 landowner [2] - 8:6, 16:5 lands [2] - 2:10, 4:5 lanes [1] - 13:23 large [1] - 9:22``` |
| $E$ |  | housing [1] - 15:21 <br> hundred [1] - 13:5 | last [3]-3:21, 4:19, 14:2 <br> layout [1]-9:5 |
| ```east [2] - 4:12, 20:8 east/west [1] - 5:1 Edmonton [4]-5:13, 6:1, 7:21, 22:15 effect [1] - 5:15 either [3]-5:4, 6:6, 11:16 elections [1] - 16:9 electrical [2] - 4:3, 5:3 electricity \([1]-4: 4\) enable [1] - 15:13 end [2]-4:25, 7:18 engineer [1] - 8:5 engineering [2] \(-2: 6,2: 8\) engineers [2] - 17:14, 21:20``` |  | hybrid [1] - 18:18 | layouts [1] - 9:9 <br> leave [1] - 22:2 |
|  |  |  | length [1] - 14:7 |
|  |  | immediately [1] - 4:5 <br> impact [2]-15:21, 19:6 <br> improved [1] - 14:17 <br> improvement [1] - 14:6 <br> improvements [1] - 12:16 <br> include [1] - 22:4 <br> increased [1] - 21:14 <br> inform [1] - 2:21 <br> information [2] - 2:15, 3:2 <br> infrastructure $[3]$ - 13:2, <br> Services Inc. | Leo [2]-8:4, 12:5 <br> LEVASSEUR [6] - 12:6, <br> 13:12, 14:5, 14:13, 14:20, |
|  | G |  | 14:23 |
|  | gather $[3]$ - 2:22, 7:10, 7:11 <br> general [1] - 11:9 <br> generally [3] - 4:1, 9:6, 9:8 <br> Gibbon [12] - 3:19, 15:2, <br> 15:8, 15:11, 15:12, 15:16, <br> 15:19, 15:24, 16:17, 16:22, <br> A.C.E. Report |  |  |


| linking [4] - 15:16 | N | P.M ${ }_{\text {[1] - 22:6 }}$ | proposal [1] - 3:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| local $[2]-6: 18,12$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { name }[7]-2: 4,2: 18,8: 12, \\ & 8: 17,9: 11,9: 12,15: 1 \\ & \text { names }[1]-22: 2 \\ & \text { nearly }[1]-15: 6 \\ & \text { need }[3]-3: 2,10: 10,20: 15 \\ & \text { needed }[1]-12: 9 \\ & \text { needs }[1]-7: 13 \\ & \text { neighbourhood }[10]-3: 8 \text {, } \\ & 3: 12,4: 24,6: 3,6: 19, \\ & 11: 14,15: 17,19: 12,19: 15, \\ & 19: 23 \end{aligned}$ |  | proposed [1] - 19:16 |
| located [2] - 3:9, 3:13 |  | park [3] -9:18, 10:2, 10:21 | Province [1]-22:15 |
| look [2]-21:11, 21:13 |  | parkland [1] - 9:13 | province [1] - 16:3 |
| looking [1] - 15:18 |  | parks [3] -6:11, 9:20, 9:23 | provincial [3] - 15:24, 15:25, |
| looks [1] - 18:23 |  | part [1] - 12:7 | 16:1 |
| loop [1] - 19:10 |  | partially [1] - 12:1 | LIC [1] - 1:10 |
| low [1] - 5:21 |  | past [1]-21:14 | public [9]-2:6, 2:11, 2:21, |
|  |  | patterns [1]-21:12 | 3:21, 7:23, 8:14, 8:20, |
|  |  | pedestrian [1] - 19:20 | 8:25, 9:7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { main }[1]-19: 24 \\ & \text { major }[5]-7: 17,15: 20,19: 8 \text {, } \\ & 19: 23,20: 16 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { people }[5]-8: 8,13: 1 \\ 16: 21,16: 25,21: 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { purpose }[2]-2: 11,2: 20 \\ & \text { put [1] }-4: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | network [2]-17:16, 18:15 networks [1] - 21:11 | $\operatorname{per}[2]-5: 12,13:$ | putting [1] - 10:8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MATT [3]- 14:25, 16:14, } \\ & 17: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { next }[4]-3: 3,3: 24,7: 6,7: 9 \\ & \text { nice }[2]-5: 19,19: 14 \end{aligned}$ | percent [1]-9:18 perspective [1] - 19:3 |  |
| matt [1] - 15:1 <br> matter [1]-11:25 <br> maximum [1] - 21:6 <br> mean [3]-12:21, 17:24, 18:6 | night [1] - 13:17 | $2: 2$ | quarter [1] - 4:6 |
|  | normally [1] - 18:19 |  | questions [11]-2:15, 2:23, |
|  | $4: 20,5: 11$ | phases [1]-4: | $3: 1,5: 20,7: 8,7: 11,8: 3$ |
|  |  | picture [1] - 5:1 |  |
| medium [2]-5:21, | North [9]-2:7, 3:5, 3:6, 3:7, | place [1] - 4:9 | $\text { quite }[5]-3: 15,11: 15,12: 12$ |
| medium-density [1]-5:2 | $3: 12,5: 10,5: 11,9: 23,14: 3$ | placing [1]-15:7 | $14: 7,16: 3$ |
| meet [1] - $5: 25$ | northeast [2]-4:1, 6:22 | plan [18] - 2:7, 3:7, 3:14, |  |
| MEETING [1] - 1:10 | northwest [1]-4:2 | $3: 20,4: 2,4: 9,5: 9,5: 1$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { meeting }[5]-2: 6,2: 12,2: 20, \\ & 7: 10,19: 13 \end{aligned}$ | nothing [4] - 3:11, 7:1, 14:4, | 15, 5:18, 6:12, 8:22, | R-1 [1]-5:22 |
| $\text { mention }[2]-11: 3,17: 9$ |  | 0:12, 12:11, 18:24, 18:25, | raise [1] - 8:11 |
| mentioned [1] - 14:15 | number [2] - 12:4, 20:9 | 19:22 planned [3]-5:12, 9:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { range }[1]-5: 23 \\ & \text { ray }[12]-3: 18,15 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { metres }[4]-19: 13,20: 22 \text {, } \\ & 21: 1,21: 5 \\ & \text { metropolitan }[3]-5: 14,6: 1 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ |  | 19:18 | $15: 11,15: 12,15: 16,15: 19$ |
|  |  | planner [1]-2 | $15: 23,16: 12,16: 17,16: 22$ |
|  | obviously [2] - 13:22, 16:15 | $\begin{gathered} \text { planning }[6]-2: 9,4: 7,8: 6, \\ 10: 14,10: 17,10: 19 \end{gathered}$ | 17:23 |
| $7: 21$ | occurs [2] - 16:19, 16:20 | plans [4] - 2:21, 3:23, 4:17, | reading [1] - 7:22 |
| middle [3] - 18:19, 18:23, | October [1] - 5:15 | $8: 9$ | $\text { ready }[1]-2: 3$ |
| might [2] - 11:5, 17:23mind [1]-15:23 | officially [1] - 17:12 | point [7] - 10:12, 12:1, 13:18, | real [1] - 7:17 |
|  |  | 16:17, 16:20, 17:3, 19:15 | realign [2]-4:24, 5:4 |
| mind [1]-15:23 <br> minimum [2]-5:25, 20:15 | once [2]-4:9, 4:13 | political [1]-18 <br> pond [1] - 6:16 | realigned [1] - 5:5 <br> really [1] - 6:19 |
| minutes [2]-13:5, 16:23 | one [11] - 2:4, 5:19, 12:2, | position [2] - 18:25, 19:22 | really [1] - 6:19 <br> received [1] - 10:18 |
| month [1]-7:23 | $17: 9,17: 11,18: 12,19: 24$ | possible [1] - 7:15 | record [1] - 2:14 |
| months [1] - 7:20 morning [1] - 13:17 | $20: 25,21: 2,21: 3,21: 12$ | potential [1] - 6:14 | recording [1] - 2:13 |
| morning [1] - 13:17 most $[1]-17: 23$ | opportunity [3] - 9:1, 9:3, | potentially [1] - 6:8 | red [1]-6:13 |
| most [1]-17:23move [1]-12:1 | 10:10 | pre[1]-17:10 | redesign [1] - 12:18 |
|  | opposite [1] - 10:20 | pre-application [1] - 17:10 | reduce [1] - 20:9 |
| movement [1]-13:12 | option [1] - 10:3 | precarious [1] - 19:22 | regarding [1] - 17:15 |
| MR [29]-2:2, 8:16, 8:19, | options [1] - 21:17 | esent [1] - 2:14 | region [4]-5:14, 6:1, 7:21, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 9: 11,9: 15,10: 8,10: 11 \\ & 11: 1,11: 8,12: 6,13: 12 \end{aligned}$ | order [2] - 12:14, 15:13originally | esentation [2]-2:16, 7 | 16:2 |
| $14: 5,14: 13,14: 20,14: 23,$ |  | - 1:20 | regional [1]-3:17 |
|  | originally [1] - 12:22 | tty [2] - 3:8, 11:2 | relatively [ ${ }^{1}$ ] - 9:8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 18: 21,19: 1,19: 5,20: 2, \\ & 20: 11,20: 20,20: 24,21: 3, \\ & 21: 9,21: 21 \end{aligned}$ | overly [1]-21.18 | -17 | Reporter [2]-1:21, 22:20 |
|  | owned [1] - 4:6 | NGS [1] - 22: | reporter [1] - 2:14 |
|  | owner [1] - 2:10 | oceedings [2] - 2:1, 22:12 | request [1] - 10:18 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { MS [10] - } 9: 4,9: 25,10: 14, \\ 11: 18,12: 2,12: 15,15: 23, \end{gathered}$ | owns [1] - 10:9 | process [3] - 7:19, 7:23, 12:7 | require [1] - 9:6 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 17: 8,20: 14,21: 10 \\ \text { municipal [1] - } 9: 13 \end{array}$ | P | oject [1] - 15:2 | 15:13, 16:1, 17:5 |
|  | p.m [1]- | oper [1] - 20:15 | $9: 17,12: 10,15: 25,16: 15$ |
|  | A.C.E. Report | Services Inc. |  |
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