

Stakeholder Feedback for the Proposed Smoking Bylaw Amendments

Committee/Organization	Feedback
Youth Advisory Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overall, the committee is supportive of, what they see as symbolic move. • Mixed opinions on a difference between vaping and smoking among members of the committee. Some agree that vaping should be included in the bylaw and some feel that vaping is very different from smoking. • Concerns regarding the effectiveness of the bylaw amendments and if they will change anything in terms of smoking. The committee felt that people who smoke will continue to smoke. • Concerns regarding ashtray removal and if people will litter more if ashtrays are not available. • Concern regarding people smoking further from buildings. Specifically, standing in the middle of a parking lot would not be safe.
Environmental Advisory Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposed 5-10m distance is supported by the committee. With bigger radius, committee thought that there could be cigarette butts dropped in a bigger area. • Concerns related to environmental impact of cigarette butts in the environment, especially if ashtrays are not provided, was the committee's main concern. • Concerns related to public safety if people are pushed further from the building (jaywalking). • Opinion that the Smoking Bylaw could be aligned with Cannabis Bylaw, so both are treated the same. • Suggestion to have designated smoking areas with appropriate infrastructure. • Suggestion to consider a fine specifically for smoking in non-designated/allowed areas.
Senior's Advisory Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Most committee members are supportive of the 5m distance. • Some committee members support further restriction. • Positive view on no smoking in parks. • Concerns for seniors that have mobility issues or generally walking further away to have a cigarette, where would they go to smoke. • General concerns with increasing restrictions and where people would go to smoke if they are out. • Concerns with how people define trails vs parks and if that would cause confusion when trying to enforce new bylaw. • Concerns with how and if the bylaw is enforceable. Some see it already impossible to enforce.
Community Services Advisory Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opinion that to avoid confusion, consistent rules should be applied 10m for everything.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerns about clubs/pubs and what they can do to allow they patrons to smoke. Perhaps there should be a little bit of flexibility in this case because it is centralized to a pub/establishment.
Homeland Housing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do not have problems with the proposed 5 to 10m setback.
Chamber of Commerce	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No feedback provided.
School Board	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Feedback provided directly to a Council member, supporting the proposed amendments.