- Public Presentation -

Proposed South Riel Area Structure Plan Amendment

Speaker:

Ryan Eidick,

Manager of Planning with Invistec Consulting

Taken at The Enjoy Centre, 101 Riel Drive, St. Albert, Alberta, T8N 3X4 on the 13th day of February, A.D. 2018.

1	(PRESENTATION COMMENCED AT 7:00 P.M.)
2	MR. EIDICK: Sweet, okay. Good evening
3	everyone. This is a really good turnout. I'm
4	scared that we didn't bring enough cookies for
5	everyone.
6	So, anyways, welcome to the public
7	meeting to talk about the South Riel Area
8	Structure Plan. I think we've talked to a lot
9	of you already at the boards, so hopefully,
10	this kind of supplements some of that
11	information. If not, feel free to answer or
12	ask any questions. We'll be here for a little
13	while after.
14	So My name is Ryan Eidick, I'm the
15	manager of planning with Invistec Consulting.
16	We represent the owners of the land, which is
17	Averton Homes. And they've owned the land
18	they've been invested in the land since
19	since about 2012, and so much so, that they
20	actually their office is located in the
21	Enjoy Centre here, right across the street from
22	the developments there.
23	So we also have representatives from the
24	City and other representatives from Invistec.
25	So I didn't actually put a slide in here for
26	the City people. So we have Jessi Fry with the
0.5	

City, and Kristina Peters with the City as

27

1	well. This lovely lady next to me, I can't
2	remember your name, I'm sorry.
3	COURT REPORTER: Mary.
4	MR. EIDICK: Mary. She is a court
5	reporter, she's making sure that I that she
6	documents everything that I'm saying and you're
7	saying. So, yeah. Even the Umms and the Sos.
8	So I'll just start. Quick agenda, just
9	so you know how long I'm going to be talking at
10	you for. Basically, a lot of the information
11	I'm going to be talking about is a lot of the
12	same information that we talked at the boards,
13	just maybe a little bit more in-depth.
14	So first thing, introductions. The
15	project team from Invistec, we also have Kaylyn
16	Stark; she's a planner. She would have been
17	on her name and information would have been
18	on all the letters that you would have received
19	in the mail. Petrea Chamney, she's our project
20	director, so she's managing the entire project.
21	And then Rob Dollevoet at the back, he's our
22	vice president, and he oversees all the
23	engineering aspects. So direct all your
24	planning questions to Kaylyn and I, all your
25	engineering questions to Petrea and Rob.
26	Representative from Averton, we only
27	have one here actually today, but it's David

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Chiu, he's a senior project manager standing in the back there. So anything related to their developments or other stuff, you can talk to him.

So quick housekeeping. We have refreshments there. I don't know if it's going to be enough, but please take all the cookies, 'cause otherwise I have to eat them in the car on the ride home. In terms of procedure, I think everyone saw the board. This is the third board there when you walked in. But this is -- the Area Structure Plan amendment process is an 11-step process. We're only on step 3, So we're fairly early on. What we're trying to do, or what we're trying to accomplish at this stage is trying to gather as much feedback as Then we refine our plan, refine our possible. policies, put everything in, and then submit to the City. Once submitted to the City, there'll be another couple opportunities for the public to get involved. So everything in orange on the left-hand there, those are the public engagement opportunities.

Now, these are the minimum requirements; there might also be other opportunities as well. So don't feel like this is your only opportunity to participate, because there'll be

lot's of other opportunities.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

And then feedback. So we don't only want your questions and concerns; we want your feedback. So at the front of table, or at the front of house there on the table, there's feedback forms that we'd appreciate if everyone filled out. So there's information on both the format of the open house, the presentation and that type of thing, as well as information specific to, I don't want to see this here, or I like this about the plan. So we're trying to get as much information about both the engagement as well as the plan itself, so that next time we come and engage you, it's a little bit more appropriate, if -- if we miss the mark this time.

In addition, you don't have to fill out those forms while we're standing, hovering over you and watching you write it. You can also take them. Our business cards are on the front table as well. I only have three business cards up there, so I'll put my information on here afterwards, if you want to take that down. But Kaylyn and Petrea and Rob have their cards up there as well. So you can feel free to email any of us with any burning questions if you wake up in a cold sweat in the middle of

the night. So before we get into the stuff that you're actually excited about, I want to talk a little bit about the process and the project history.

So the Municipal Development Plan for St. Albert was approved back in 2007. It's that pretty picture on the right. And we're located just on the southern portion, right there. So in the same year that the MDP was approved, this Area Structure Plan was originally approved. So the commercial and industrial lands, they're -- they've developed first, or they've started to develop first. I noticed there's people digging out, there are cranes going, but so far, nothing has really happened in this plan area.

residential lands, they changed hands a few times. And since 2007 -- like I mentioned, around 2012, Averton purchased the lands, and since then, they've gone through a few iterations. But the market really changed over that time, as well the Edmonton Metropolitan Region. The board formally known as the Capital Region Board, they -- they had a -- they updated the their growth plan, which increased the density requirements for every

1	surrounding neighbourhood in Edmonton,
2	including Edmonton itself. So it actually
3	raised the minimum density requirements to 40
4	dwelling units per net residential hectare, and
5	I think it was 30 before, Kristina? Or yeah,
6	okay. It's up from 30, yeah.
7	MS. PETER: And it did have St. Albert,
8	not just Edmonton, so
9	MR. EIDICK: Yes. Yeah, it impacts
10	every municipality in the Capital or in the
11	Edmonton Metropolitan Region, now it's called.
12	So that kind of shifted the original
13	development concept from a lesser dense product
14	to now they're required to build a little bit
15	more dense. Okay.
16	So the meet so the previous Area
17	Structure Plan contemplated about 54 hectares
18	of commercial and industrial land, and most of
19	that was around the periphery, and about ten
20	hectares of residential land, all located in
21	the 40 acres I was talking about today. In
22	total, it was approved for about 700 or I
23	shouldn't say about. It was approved for 792
24	dwelling units. And three was three main
25	categories of residential land. So there was
26	the medium density, that's everything in
27	yellowy-orange up here, The dark orange brown,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

that's your high density, and then everything in the striped orange and red, that's mixed use.

So generally, in these areas, the medium density was everything -- was semi detached townhouses and apartment buildings. duplexes, townhouses, row houses, apartment buildings. Everything in the high density, was either 4 to 6 storey apartment buildings, or really high dense -- density stacked townhouses, or those types of products, I think. And the mixed use, that contemplated everything from stand-alone residential, stand-alone commercial, or a mix, vertically. So in the same building you'd have commercial and residential. And in total, there was about three hectares of park space. So the major park here, and then there were smaller parks located here.

Now, the proposed Area Structure Plan.

We're still contemplating the same amount of commercial industrial land, so that hasn't changed. What we did change, though, was the road layout. So most of you pointed that out when we were talking at the boards. But the road layout is a lot smoother now than it was previously. And the reason for that is because

1 well, the engineers can speak a little bit more 2 about that, but it was inefficient, the 3 previous road layout. And that might have 4 contributed to the lands not getting developed. 5 So this road layout is a lot more efficient and 6 allows for a lot more flexibility in what types 7 of products can be developed. And overall, 8 it's just -- better, if you ask me. But a 9 result of that reshaping of the roadway 10 network, was that the residential lands actually increased. So it increased from about 11 12 10 hectares to about 12 hectares now, but we're 13 keeping the same number of dwelling units. 14 we're proposing a maximum of 800 dwelling 15 units. And if you remember previously, we were 16 at 792 dwelling units. So we're just rounding 17 up because it seems a little bit fairer. But 18 essentially, even though the residential -- the 19 size of the residential lands is increased, the number of units will remain same. 20 21

Now, we also reduced the number of categories of residential lands into two. So only medium density and mixed use. Now, medium density, we've expanded that -- we're proposing that definition from everything from single family houses up to, like, four storey apartment buildings. Everything in the mixed

22

23

24

25

26

27

use area would be everything --- everything that medium density has, So everything from single family up to six storey apartment buildings, and also some compatible uses, things like small-scale retail offices, restaurants, cafes, that type of thing.

So there's four road connections that go from the neighbourhood onto Riel and LeClair. Three of them are unchanged, and then one we moved a little bit further north. So we moved it further north to line it up with the exit from the Enjoy Centre. And if you have any questions about that, I'm not the guy to answer the reason why, but we can ask -- answer that afterwards.

Now the park spaces, they've also been reallocated. So rather than having one singular park space and then just the neighbourhood parks kind of dispersed throughout, the idea -- Averton's idea was to create networks of greenways that you can walk on and a dedicated path, off street, from one side of the neighbourhood to the other.

So in the north part, that's where we have -- we have it going from Levasseur all the way to the major park, and then across the street into the Enjoy Centre.

And then on the south side, the idea is to bring everyone in from this intersection.

There will be a park space on the intersection, dragging everyone into a larger more urban park space. So this could be more plaza like or heartscaped [sic], more compatible with a higher density urban type product.

Now, just to compare the two, well, I mean, it's right there. So you -- you can read through that, I -- I think I already kind of summarized that. But the idea for the medium density -- I'll just talk a little bit more about the residential component. So the medium density product, it's intended blended. So I mentioned it held everything from a single family -- or it will allow everything from single families up to four-storey walk-ups.

So this is a picture from Greisbach in Edmonton, and it actually has a blended-type zone where they have everything from single families, townhouses, and duplexes all along the same street. And that -- although that might not be exactly the way it looks, it's the idea that we're going for, is that on one block, you could have single families across from townhouses, across from semi-detached, that type of thing. Whatever ends dictating --

or whatever the market ends up dictating, that will be allowed in the -- the medium density areas. There will be a height cap though, of probably four stories. So it'll -- it won't be, like, a mix of -- or it won't be very segregated, like, your high density in one area and then your low density in another.

Now, the mixed use area, this will be a little bit more -- were talking about vertical integration. So commercial on the main floor, residential above. There'd also be allowed to have stand-alone residential, stand-alone commercial, but they'll be at higher densities, and the commercial stuff will not be your typical strip-malls and that type of thing. It'll be more to serve the daily needs of residents in the area, and hopefully yourselves as well on the east side, and not to compete with all the commercial areas surrounding it.

So just to quickly summarize. So the existing Area Structure Plan was outdated, that's why we're doing this one more time. The proposed amendments, we feel, are improving efficiency. We've increased the residential land total, however, the density has actually decreased a little bit because the same number of units are being proposed. And the density

is more spread out through the plan area.

life stages.

If you remember the old -- the old plan had the two high density nodes along the east side, that's no longer the case. So our idea is to spread the density a little bit more evenly through the neighbourhood, and concentrate it away from that -- from that rail line along the east side. And the same -- we're proposing exactly the same amount of park space, just realigning it a little bit and reallocating it. And then, finally, we believe, anyways, this all contributes to having more choice for residents at various

So for example, the idea that Averton has, is that someone can live their entire life in -- in midtown. So someone like me, I'm 30, I want to live in a little bit more of an urban product. I could purchase a property in the southern part of the lands. When my knees go, then I can buy a ground-oriented unit in the northern part. And then when my brain and my knees go, then I can move into a care -- a seniors' care facility that could be located anywhere in the neighbourhood, not just in the high density parts.

So I know that was kind of fast and SNOW'S COURT REPORTING Edmonton, Alberta

1	rough, but if there's any questions, we're
2	going to stick around as long as you need to
3	answer any questions. If you have anything
4	burning and want to yell it out, go ahead. But
5	otherwise, I'll just kind of hover around and
6	you can ask any questions you like.
7	AUDIENCE MEMBER: I've got a question.
8	What's the parking going to be like in the
9	residential and also in the two storey
10	commercial?
11	MR. EIDICK: That's a great question.
12	We're not quite there yet. So parking is a
13	zoning bylaw issue. So the City is going
14	through a new zoning bylaw amendment process.
15	So I'm not sure what that's going to look like
16	once it's approved. Now, are you talking about
17	specifically where the parking is going to be
18	located, or how it's going to be?
19	AUDIENCE MEMBER: No, will there be a
20	sufficient amount of parking for each home to
21	have a minimum of one vehicle?
22	MR. EIDICK: Oh yes, for sure. So I
23	mean, the idea is the minimum the
24	minimums are set by the zoning bylaw. And
25	currently, it depends on the unit type, but
26	there is
27	AUDIENCE MEMBER: When you go into Greisbach

1	there is no street parking.
2	MR. EIDICK: Right. Yeah. Street
3	street parking, absolutely
4	AUDIENCE MEMBER: That's the example that you
5	gave, right? There's zero street parking.
6	MR. EIDICK: Yes.
7	AUDIENCE MEMBER: And if you do happen to
8	have a vehicle that's fortunate enough to be
9	able to park on the street on one side and on
10	the other
11	MR. EIDICK: Yeah.
12	AUDIENCE MEMBER: it's super tight for an
13	ambulance to go by in the middle of winter.
14	MR. EIDICK: Right. Okay.
15	AUDIENCE MEMBER: So as long as that's
16	MR. EIDICK: Yeah. So there there
17	are engineering standards that'll dictate
18	and the engineering standards in St. Albert are
19	different than they are in Edmonton. So the
20	road right-of-ways are wider, and parking
21	requirements are a little bit different on
22	streets. So we will try I mean, the
23	engineering standards will dictate where
24	parking goes on the street. On site, the
25	zoning bylaw will dictate that. So we're a
26	little bit above where where those decisions
27	are made.

1	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	But even if you go into
2	Sterling, they must h	have had a mistake there,
3	so	
4	MR. EIDICK:	Okay.
5	AUDIENCE MEMBER: -	because Sterling is
6	really bad.	
7	MR. EIDICK:	Okay.
8	AUDIENCE MEMBER: V	What about the landscaping?
9	What should be there	for landscaping? What
10	about trees, or more	grass, like
11	MR. EIDICK:	Yeah. So again, that
12	that's a zoning bylaw	question. So the zoning
13	bylaw determines all	the requirements for
14	landscaping. I can t	ell you there will be
15	landscaping though.	
16	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	I don't know if this is a
17	bylaw question, but i	s this housing going to be
18	Home Owners Associati	on, or condo fees?
19	MR. EIDICK:	That's a good question.
20	I I actually can't	answer that at this
21	point. Until develop	ement starts and the market
22	kind of determines wh	aat exactly it will be, I
23	can't answer that que	estion. Yeah.
24	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	Sorry, where do we go to
25	does Averton have a p	olan that we can go and
26	look at?	
27	MR. EIDICK:	An overall plan for the

1	neighbourhood?
2	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Like, you know, like, what
3	they're thinking it's going to look like.
4	MR. EIDICK: I mean, we can give you
5	examples, but I we haven't designed the
6	entire neighbourhood yet, no. So this is kind
7	of step one. We get this approved, then we go
8	down to the subdivision and the detail level.
9	So right now, I mean, you can like I
10	mentioned to you before, you can go and check
11	out Averton's other projects that they've done.
12	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Right.
13	MR. EIDICK: You can get an idea of what
14	they build. But in this neighbourhood
15	specifically, I'm not I we can't. It's
16	hard to say at this point.
17	AUDIENCE MEMBER: So what are your what
18	are your timelines? What are you thinking?
19	MR. EIDICK: Well, it all well,
20	our we're planning on getting everything
21	submitted and accepted by the City, probably by
22	the end of the month for this stage. And then
23	it's about a six-month process. Zoning takes
24	another couple months, unless you line it up.
25	But, I mean, until development occurs, you're
26	probably looking at about a year.
27	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay. From this

1	MR. EIDICK:	From now, yeah. Well,
2	yeah, from the sprin	g.
3	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	You're going to start some
4	form of construction	•
5	MR. EIDICK:	Some form of construction.
6	I mean, you've yo	u guys live nearby. Some
7	form of construction	has already happened.
8	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	Yeah.
9	MR. EIDICK:	They've started dragging
10	the dirt around, and	that's step one, right?
11	So then they finish	then they do the final
12	grades, and then the	y start putting in their
13	pipes and stuff. So	it's all yeah. It I
14	mean, you're probabl	y you're probably a year
15	or two away from see	ing houses go up.
16	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	And it's how long is the
17	span of building? D	id I hear seven years?
18	MR. EIDICK:	Pardon me?
19	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	How long is this whole
20	project	
21	MR. EIDICK:	Until it's built out?
22	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	You know, from the south
23	end to Levasseur Roa	d.
24	MR. EIDICK:	Oh, I mean, that's a great
25	question too. And I	mean, I it depends.
26	Like, if oil spikes	in the next couple years
27	and people are buyin	g houses like crazy, it

1	could be done ini	t could be done in a couple
2	years. If the marke	t's slow like it has been
3	in the last couple y	ears and people aren't
4	buying homes, then i	t's a little bit more
5	difficult to say. Y	eah, but I mean yeah. I
6	can't really say how	fast it will develop. It
7	really depends on ho	w fast it absorbs.
8	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	Are you starting in the
9	south first? Or can	you tell me what your
10	intent is?	
11	MR. EIDICK:	I think it's I I
12	don't know what the	intent is, to be honest,
13	where phase one will	go, but I think the intent
14	is to start on both	sides.
15	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	Oh.
16	MR. EIDICK:	Yeah.
17	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	So commercial or housing
18	can start anytime?	
19	MR. EIDICK:	You bet. You bet.
20	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	What is their what is
21	your major commercia	l people coming in? Do you
22	have any that's a bi	g name coming in there?
23	MR. EIDICK:	I have no idea. That's
24	beyond what I know,	yeah. So we only represent
25	Averton Homes, this	parcel. I we haven't
26	made any decisions o	n what's going in here, but
27	this stuff is alread	y developing, but I don't

1	know what's going in there, so
2	AUDIENCE MEMBER: So are these level entry
3	homes [sic], or are they what kind of
4	prices?
5	MR. EIDICK: So the idea is to have
6	everything. It will have everything from entry
7	level all the way to, like, your second or
8	third home, yeah. If you remember what I said
9	previously, like, on this slide, it's the
10	idea is that you can live in the entire in
11	the neighbourhood no matter what stage of life
12	you're in.
13	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Is that building that you
14	showed as an example for a commercial, is that
15	considered a three-level?
16	MR. EIDICK: That's four storeys.
17	AUDIENCE MEMBER: That's a four-storey home?
18	MR. EIDICK: Yeah. You can't see the
19	fourth storey because it's cut off. But that's
20	a four-storey building.
21	AUDIENCE MEMBER: That's not good.
22	MR. EIDICK: That's not good. So four
23	storeys is what's currently approved there,
24	already. We're not even changing that, so
25	yeah.
26	AUDIENCE MEMBER: I thought you had said
27	earlier that you there was anywhere from two

1	to six storeys?
2	MR. EIDICK: That's right, yeah. So in
3	the mixed use area, this will be a little more
4	dense.
5	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yeah, okay.
6	MR. EIDICK: That's the intent anyways.
7	So there could be six-storey buildings in
8	there. But again, it will be market drip.
9	AUDIENCE MEMBER: When will you know that?
10	MR. EIDICK: Well, we have to get
11	through this first.
12	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can we come in and talk to
13	you about that?
14	MR. EIDICK: I mean, take my business
15	card, you can call me, like, weekly if you want
16	and I'll give you updates.
17	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Next year? Like, when will
18	you guys have a plan that's what's going
19	behind there, four-storey, six-storey, when
20	will you actually know that, roughly?
21	MR. EIDICK: Well, it depends on
22	where it depends on where the first phase
23	goes, but you're probably a year or two away
24	from knowing that too. So it depends on where
25	construction it depends on where development
26	starts. If it starts down here, you'll know
27	right away. If it starts up here, then you'll

1	know a little bit later. 'Cause you're more
2	concerned about this part, I'm guessing, right?
3	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Correct. Correct.
4	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Is it am I right to
5	assume that as each building goes up, that
6	they'll be people will be notified that are
7	affected by the building around
8	MR. EIDICK: That's a good question. So
9	at zoning time, you'll be notified.
10	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yeah.
11	MR. EIDICK: And at zoning it'll
12	it'll list out the types of uses. I'm not
13	exactly it'll it'll send you the land use
14	that's proposed. So you'll know, kind of, a
15	range of what's going in there. In terms of
16	what's actually being proposed, you'd have to
17	call the City once they approve the plan.
18	MS. PETER: So this is the first stage
19	in the process that there are quite a few
20	steps that still need to occur. This plan
21	that's being proposed by the developers, this
22	is the first time City staff have really seen
23	it. They've been working on it. They've had
24	questions for us and we provided some feedback.
25	But what will happen is, this is the initial
26	plan to say, We're looking at this type of
27	housing product, this type of commercial

product, how it all fits together. Are these
the right park parcels? We've got people from
Parks that are looking at it to see if this is
how we like the layout.

Then, it'll be up to council. It will have to go to council for approval to get this plan approved. Subsequent from that, the developer, from what I can gather, is wanting that approval from council prior to looking at zoning, and rezoning it to look at, should it be -- is this where the apartments are going? Is this where the single family houses are going? And yes, you'd be able to find again at that point.

MR. EIDICK: Yeah.

MS. PETER: Even with the zoning though, there's some times we don't know exactly what may or may not go in there because you're allowed to go, say, to the six-storey building; the developer may only choose to do a two-storey building, but they have the ability to go up to six.

So that's when we -- that happens with another stage afterwards called development, and that's when they come in with actual plans of the building. And there's a little less public input at that stage, because it's

1	already through thes	se other two processes that
2	_	-
	have to be approved	·
3	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	Right.
4	MS. PETER:	So that's how that's
5	that's the general p	process.
6	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	Yeah, and I realize that
7	it's a bit premature	e to talk this way.
8	MS. PETER:	No, it's
9	MR. EIDICK:	Well, it's good to hear the
10	ideas, if nothing el	se.
11	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	Is there is there any
12	reason to maybe shed	d some light on the process
13	that that was in	place to decide where the
14	mixed density housing	ng went as opposed to single
15	family? Like, I'm o	curious why you put mixed
16	density housing wher	re it is. What led to that?
17	MR. EIDICK:	I mean, that's a good
18	question. So I mear	n, maybe, David, if I'm
19	not sure what decisi	on was made. We were we
20	just didn't change i	t from what was done
21	originally. So the	south side was already kind
22	of the location for	most of the density.
23	I think, if	I could just speak freely
24	here, David, it's be	ecause of the location in
25	close proximity to t	the commercial. So they
26	wanted to create kir	nd of an urban feel in this
27	area that's close to	all the commercial areas.

1	So if you have your	higher density residential
2	products, they're c	loser to all the services
3	they need. So you	don't want to put all the
4	lower density stuff	there, adjacent to squawk
5	boxes at at a dr	ivethrough, that type of
6	thing. But I I	wasn't involved in making
7	those decisions, so	I'm not 100 percent sure.
8	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	Oh yeah, no. No. I'm just
9	curious.	
10	MR. EIDICK:	Sure.
11	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	And you had mentioned that
12	right along the tra	in tracks, that's probably
13	not where the	
14	MR. EIDICK:	So
15	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	the apartments and
16	MR. EIDICK:	So that that's one of
17	the things we change	ed, is that the apartment
18	sites were located	directly against the tracks.
19	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	Right.
20	MR. EIDICK:	What we're saying is, now,
21	the apartment build	ings can be located anywhere
22	in here, but what w	e've heard from everyone
23	today is that you w	ant it further west.
24	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	Yes.
25	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	Correct.
26	MR. EIDICK:	You want it away from the
27	rail lines.	

1	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ye	eah.
2	MR. EIDICK: Do	oing the plan this way
3	allows us the flexibil	lity to move it inwards,
4	because we could set p	polices that, say, can't
5	go against the rail li	ine and that type of
6	thing.	
7	AUDIENCE MEMBER: R	ight. And I think and
8	I think when, you know	w, I think a lot of people
9	are shy when in	during these processes,
10	right?	
11	MR. EIDICK: Ye	eah.
12	AUDIENCE MEMBER: A	nd a little leery. But
13	when you think, you kr	now, of the statements "we
14	can" or "we're able to	o", sometimes does not
15	translate to "we will"	".
16	MR. EIDICK: I	see.
17	AUDIENCE MEMBER: R	ight. And so I think I
18	might be speaking for	some people here when
19	we're concerned that i	if it's zoned for this,
20	but you're saying, We'	're going to try our best
21	to not do this, that o	doesn't necessarily make
22	us feel good, right?	
23	MR. EIDICK: St	ure. So what what I'm
24	saying is that we have	en't made those policy
25	decisions yet.	
26	AUDIENCE MEMBER: 0	kay.
27	MR. EIDICK: So	o this is the very first

1	stage. We're gathering all the feedback, now
2	we're going to go write the policies, and then
3	there will be another engagement event like
4	this where I'm going to stand up here one more
5	time and be like, This is specifically what
6	we're talking about. This this policy says
7	you can't build this far close this close
8	to the rail line, that type of thing.
9	Commercial areas have to be this kind of
10	architecture, that type of thing. So
11	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay.
12	MR. EIDICK: This is just gathering
13	information. So I understand everyone's a
14	little bit uncomfortable, or shy, or that type
15	of thing, but this is just gathering input.
16	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay.
17	MR. EIDICK: And then we'll make those
18	decisions as we go to the next the next
19	phase.
20	AUDIENCE MEMBER: So the next phase, is that
21	when you come up with all these ideas and
22	you
23	MR. EIDICK: Yeah, we take
24	AUDIENCE MEMBER: and you seek the public,
25	this is before you go to the City with that
26	MR. EIDICK: Well, we've actually
27	AUDIENCE MEMBER: or is that after it's

1	all happened?
2	MR. EIDICK: Yeah. So I mean, we
3	haven't officially gone to the City. Like
4	Kristina said, we made some we've had some
5	discussions. So all of their feedback will be
6	combined with all the feedback we get from you
7	guys. And then that'll then we'll start
8	writing out all our policies. And then that'll
9	get submitted to the City, they'll make more
10	comments, then we'll come back to you, you'll
11	make more comments, then we'll refine
12	everything, then we'll have a final draft that
13	hopefully everyone agrees on that we take to
14	council.
15	AUDIENCE MEMBER: So if you come up with the
16	third third meeting, you're probably pretty
17	close to where you're going to start.
18	MR. EIDICK: That's the idea, yes.
19	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can we change anything at
20	that time?
21	MR. EIDICK: Absolutely. So I'll tell
22	you a little bit about that process. So that
23	third the third meeting, that's the public
24	hearing, so that's in council chambers. And
25	council has the authority to pretty much do
26	whatever they want in there. So if there's
27	enough public opposition that comes in there

1	and doesn't like something that we're
2	proposing, council can change it right on the
3	fly, or advise administration to tell us to
4	change things. So nothing is final once it
5	gets to any stage of these public engagements.
6	That's the intent of them, anyway.
7	AUDIENCE MEMBER: What stages of this process
8	is information available to the public?
9	MR. EIDICK: So that's that was going
10	to be my concluding statement here. So
11	information becomes available in the same way
12	it was here. Once we're ready to host another
13	engagement event, we'll send out notifications.
14	Now, everyone that left an email address
15	or something, we can email you information as
16	it becomes available throughout the process so
17	you don't have to wait for the mail. So if you
18	want, you can either take our business cards
19	and send us an email or call us whenever you
20	have a burning question about where things are
21	at, and we'll tell you, or we'll just email you
22	as we get information ready to go.
23	AUDIENCE MEMBER: So there's no public
24	website where this is?
25	MR. EIDICK: Is there a project website?
26	MS. PETER: There no, because the
27	application has not been received.

1	MR. EIDICK:	Sorry.	Will	there	be	a
2	website?					
3	MS. PETER:	Normally	, we	don't	hav	e
4	project websites. B	ut we	we do	on't u	nti	1
5	it's getting ready f	or the o	pen -	- for	the	
6	public hearing, then	it's ac	tuall	y put	up (on
7	the website with all	of the	inform	mation	tha	at
8	has now which is	going to	coun	cil, b	ecai	use
9	it's been deemed	"complet	e" is	not t	he :	right
10	word, but that's kin	d of the	fina	lized	ver	sion
11	from going back and	forth wi	th the	ose do	cume	ents.
12	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	So I gue	ss my	conce	rn	is,
13	when we get to the o	pen hous	e, su	rprise	,	
14	everything has been	complete	ly cha	anged.		
15	MS. PETER:	So one c	f the	thing	s t	hat I
16	would really recomme	nd is ta	king (Jessi'	s ca	ard
17	that she's got over	there, o	r my	card,	we':	re
18	with the City. If y	ou've go	t any	quest	ion	s,
19	please contact us.	We also	do ke	ep the	li	st
20	that Ryan has talked	about s	o that	t we c	an :	send
21	out information. An	d we'll	be gi	ving m	ail [.]	-outs
22	regarding any kind o	f change	s or]	propos	als	that
23	were emailed to us.					
24	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	That's w	hat I	was g	oin	g to
25	ask. When we do the	second	meetii	ng her	e, a	a
26	piece of paper [sic]	, can yo	u put	on th	ere	what
27	you're proposing at	that cou	ncil r	meetin	g a	

1	little ahead of time, to come ask you
2	questions; is that possible?
3	MR. EIDICK: Yeah. So as you I mean,
4	so on the mail-out, we can definitely put a
5	little bit more information. So this time
6	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yeah, so it's kind of
7	yeah.
8	MR. EIDICK: we just didn't have a
9	lot to show.
10	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay.
11	MR. EIDICK: It's more gathering, and we
12	show some initial ideas. But for the next
13	phase, there'll be more information in both
14	mail-out
15	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Phase two, same thing?
16	MR. EIDICK: Yeah. You bet. Yeah.
17	AUDIENCE MEMBER: One other thing is that I'm
18	so surprised in this day and age that an
19	upscale city like the City of St. Albert is
20	seriously considering putting a residential
21	area right beside a railway track. With all
22	the history and statistics that we're able to
23	easily access, you know, train derailments and
24	so on and so forth, yadda, yadda, yadda.
25	MR. EIDICK: Yeah.
26	AUDIENCE MEMBER: That it would actually get
27	to this point.

4		
1	MR. EIDICK:	No, for sure.
2	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	Sorry.
3	MR. EIDICK:	No, that's okay. And I
4	don't really have an	opinion, but I'll just
5	point you to the mun	nicipal development plan,
6	which was from 2007.	They called it
7	residential.	
8	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	Yeah, that's ten years ago.
9	MR. EIDICK:	Yeah.
10	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	Right.
11	MR. EIDICK:	Oh yeah, no, for sure.
12	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	How many train derailments
13	do you recall having	g happening within
14	Alberta and BC?	
15	MR. EIDICK:	Yeah.
16	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	And even a huge one down in
17	Quebec.	
18	MR. EIDICK:	Yeah.
19	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	In the last five years.
20	MR. EIDICK:	No, I mean, I don't
21	disagree with you, f	for sure. It's we're
22	just following what	the plan lets us do. So,
23	yeah.	
24	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	I came to a meeting about a
25	year or two ago, and	l I asked somebody here if
26	they could raise a f	ence on your side, I don't
27	know if that's what	you're talking about, to be

1	higher than what it	is now.
2	MR. EIDICK:	Okay.
3	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	Is that possible?
4	MR. EIDICK:	I have no idea.
5	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	That's CN?
6	MR. EIDICK:	Yeah, so CN exactly.
7	We're kind of bound	by what
8	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	You got the connections
9	with them?	
10	MR. EIDICK:	We have we have a
11	connection with ther	m, but I mean
12	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	Because I don't think it's
13	high enough, to be h	nonest with you. I see
14	people, you know, ca	rossing that and animals
15	crossing it.	
16	MS. CHAMNEY:	Are you talking about the
17	actual physical heig	ght of the fence?
18	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	Yeah.
19	MS. CHAMNEY:	Okay.
20	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	Yeah. Because it's going
21	to be kids there, it	t's going to be so an
22	idea, because there	'll be a lot of visitors
23	back there, I guaran	ntee it. They'll be wanting
24	to go there, walk th	nere and stuff.
25	MS. CHAMNEY:	Again, like I said. The
26	apartments in the ne	eighbourhood was built on
27	this side, were X, a	and things change and

1	evaluate [sic]. There are new policies in
2	place. I don't know what the fence requirement
3	is. I do know that on some of the developments
4	I've done adjacent to rail lines in the last
5	few years, it's been taller than a than a
6	four-foot fence.
7	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yeah.
8	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Presumably, it's similar to
9	what's along
10	MS. CHAMNEY: Yeah, it won't be
11	necessarily, like, exactly the same, but yeah,
12	a similar idea.
13	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Maybe they could just
14	want it to be higher for every direction, no
15	matter if you put that in just to ask and see
16	if they can.
17	MS. CHAMNEY: There's things that, you
18	know, that Ryan said earlier, initial steps,
19	but they're engineering requirements that are
20	coming out from CN and from the City to to
21	make sure that the safety is being considered.
22	So like I said, could I tell you what they are
23	today? No.
24	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Another question in your
25	in the last picture there, you have the power
26	lines
27	MS. CHAMNEY: Yes.

_		
1	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	that that gray thing,
2	is that your power 1	line?
3	MR. EIDICK:	This one right here?
4	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	Yeah.
5	MR. EIDICK:	Yeah, that's the
6	Alta-Link	
7	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	So what are your plans for
8	that area, like	
9	MR. EIDICK:	So again, that's
10	Alta-Link determines	s that. They I mean, we
11	can propose things t	to them, but at the end of
12	the day, it's their	land, and its power lines
13	overhead. So they h	nave requirements or
14	regulations against	what can be built. So it
15	won't be development	t, I'll tell you that.
16	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	Yeah.
17	MR. EIDICK:	But like, walking trails is
18	kind of the norm for	r power line right-of-ways,
19	yeah. And then land	dscaping, you have to
20	like, they have so r	many regulations about what
21	you can build, so th	nat we're not really in
22	charge of that.	
23	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	Okay. Awesome.
24	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	You mentioned the pipeline
25	back there near the	railway.
26	MR. EIDICK:	Yeah.
27	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	So presumably, there has to

1	be some kind of right-of-way or access that's
2	reserved for any maintenance or activities that
3	need to be done there?
4	MR. EIDICK: I don't know. I think they
5	can access it from either end.
6	MS. CHAMNEY: I think they can access it.
7	They'll be able there'll be access points
8	from well, it looks there might be a
9	little bit more boundary. It will look
10	different until they totally exist. But
11	they'll definitely be able to access from
12	LeClair. And one of the things they require is
13	that the right-of-way stays clear so that they
14	can park, literally, at one end and look out.
15	So there will be some requirement for that.
16	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Fire hydrants, what about
17	fire hydrants?
18	MR. EIDICK: I have no idea. Yeah, I
19	have no idea.
20	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Is there is it so
21	regulation for how many people, or how many
22	construction that they have fire hydrants? Is
23	there are you involved in that?
24	MR. EIDICK: I mean, do you want to
25	handle that too?
26	AUDIENCE MEMBER: It's going to be pretty
27	busy back there.

1	MR. EIDICK: Oh yeah.
2	MS. CHAMNEY: So there are there are
3	engineering requirements for hydrant spacing
4	and where all the stuff is. And then as you
5	come in and do your buildings, there's
6	requirements for sprinklers and all sorts of
7	stuff like that. Whether or not there's
8	anything that, you know, is directly onto CN, I
9	don't know. I highly doubt it. But usually
10	our hydrant coverage covers the entire building
11	to the back. So there would be there's
12	water available to the trucks.
13	AUDIENCE MEMBER: At what point does the
14	the main roadways and service services is
15	does that go in as soon as the ASP is approved,
16	or is that once zoning is complete?
17	MS. PETER: That's after subdivision.
18	AUDIENCE MEMBER: That's after.
19	MS. PETER: So it goes Area Structure
20	Plans, this process, rezoning, and then
21	subdivision.
22	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Okay. And that's when
23	development
24	MS. PETER: And that's when subdivision
25	and development happen.
26	MR. EIDICK: Okay. And then I just put
27	my information on there because I'm pretty sure

1	I ran out of cards ı	up there. So take a picture
2	of that.	
3	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	Is your office here, in the
4	building, your offic	ce?
5	MR. EIDICK:	No Averton's office is in
6	the building. Our o	office is in downtown
7	Edmonton.	
8	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	Okay. Not in Denver?
9	MR. EIDICK:	Not in Denver, no.
10	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	Too bad.
11	MR. EIDICK:	No.
12	AUDIENCE MEMBER:	That's good?
13	MS. CHAMNEY:	Here.
14	MR. EIDICK:	In Denver, or here?
15	MS. CHAMNEY:	Here.
16	MR. EIDICK:	Yeah, you would.
17	So if there	's any other burning
18	questions, I'll v	we're sticking around for as
19	long as you guys nee	ed. If not, feel free to
20	call, email, whateve	er. Thanks for coming.
21	Thank you.	
22		
23	(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDE	D AT 7:44 P.M.)
24		
25		
26		

1	Reporter Certification
2	
3	I, Mary C. McNeely, Court Reporter,
4	hereby certify that I attended at the above
5	proceedings and took faithful shorthand notes,
6	and the foregoing typewritten sheets are a
7	complete and accurate transcript of my
8	shorthand notes to the best of my skill and
9	ability.
10	Dated at the City of Edmonton, in the
11	Province of Alberta, this 20th day of February
12	A.D. 2018.
13	
14	memeleef
15	
16	M. C. McNeely, CSR (A) Court Reporter.
17	court Reporter.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	