ADDITIONAL PUBLIC INPUT

Betty Gaskarth

From:

Steven Grant <

Sent:

March-13-17 6:03 PM

To:

Hearings

Subject:

Bylaw 10/2017

I am writing to give my view of the proposal to rezone the area from R1 to R2 and would like to ask you to not redistrict the land. My wife and I purchased a lot on red fox way with the understanding we would not be close to duplexes and all the problems they create (traffic, noise and devaluing property)

St Albert already has enough duplexes, especially in the Riverside area and enough is enough. I would come down in person on March 20th but unfortunately work that day so this email will have to suffice. I will be very upset if this rezone passes and devalues my home.

Steven Grant

Betty Gaskarth

From:

Jen Grant

Sent:

March-13-17 6:13 PM

To:

Hearings

Subject:

Bylaw 10/2017

To whom it may concern,

I am writing regarding the proposed bylaw 10/2017.

I am against this proposal to amend the lands from R1 to R2. Riverside already has adequate duplexes scheduled for development. Also this will bring in too much traffic into this area and devalue the single family homes here already being built.

Thanks for your time,

J. Grant

- -

Jen Grant

Betty Gaskarth

From:

Amy Kraus <--

Sent:

March-13-17 7:31 PM

To:

Hearings

Subject:

BYLAW 10/2017

To whom it may concern,

As a new resident to the Riverside Community, I cannot help but already notice the substantial amount of semi-detached housing already built into the area. We purchased a lot with the intention to be further situated from preexisting and future plans for semi-detached housing. The redistrict plans bring semi-detached housing closer to our current lot and the problems associated which include increased traffic, noise, increased degree of rental units, and an ultimate devaluing of our property. We have put significant time, value, and effort into deciding on locale and are displeased with knowing we will be steps away from semi-detached housing flanking many sides of our property. Many of the single-family homes are being sold, whereas semi-detached houses are not. We would be happy to at least see an amendment that proposes the development of semi-detached housing on a portion of Rankin Drive rather than the full 2.07 ha highlighted.

Thank you,

Amy