City of St. Albert West Area Structure Plan Public Discussion

> (By Video Connection) Edmonton, Alberta, Canada May 26, 2022

1	<u>SPEAKERS</u>
2	City of St. Albert:
3	Tracy Tsui Project Manager
4	Lyndsay Francis Senior Long Range Planner
5	
6	Stantec:
7	Scott Cole Project Manager
8	Michele Perret Engagement Lead
9	
10	ALSO PRESENT:
11	Christian Benson St. Albert Manager of
12	Investment Attraction &
13	Growth
14	Patricia Nicol St. Albert Economic
15	Development Officer
16	Meghan Chisholm Stantec Environmental Lead
17	Zheng Luo Stantec Transportation
18	Engineer
19	Elise Shillington Stantec Planning Lead
20	Keith Shillington Stantec Civil Engineering
21	Lead
22	Lindsay Haskins Stantec Transportation Lead
23	
24	Dyana Pewarchuk Court Reporter
25	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
26	
27	

1		(PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED AT 6 P.M.)
2	MS.	TSUI: We respectfully
3		acknowledge that we are on Treaty 6 territory,
4		traditional lands of First Nations and Metis
5		people. As Treaty people, Indigenous, and
6		non-Indigenous, we share the responsibility for
7		stewardship of this beautiful land.
8	MS.	PERRET: Thank you, Tracy. And we
9		are all Treaty people, and I think sometimes we
LO		forget that. I know we're on the line. I'm just
L1		going to pause for a moment in case there's
L2		somebody from who has dialed in from a
L3		traditional territory other than Treaty 6 that
L4		they'd like to acknowledge. Okay. Thanks,
L5		Tracy. Do you want to go on to the FOIP
L6		acnowledgement?
L7	MS.	TSUI: Okay. The FOIP statement
L8		that I'll be reading is longer than what we see
L9		on the screen, and it goes (quoted as read):
20		"Personal information provided in
21		submissions relating to planning and
22		development matters is collected under
23		the authority of Section 230 and 636 of
24		the Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000
25		c M-26 and/or Section 33 (c) of the
26		Freedom of Information and Protection of
7		Privacy Act. RSA 2000 CF-25 for the

1	purpose of receiving public
2	participation in planning and
3	development decision making.
4	Information collected will be treated in
5	accordance with the privacy protection
6	provisions of Part 2 of the <i>Freedom of</i>
7	Information and Protection of Privacy
8	Act. Please note that public meetings
9	are sometimes video recorded and/or may
10	be transcribed. The meetings may be
11	made available for viewing on the City's
12	public website or forums either using
13	the recording or the transcription. The
14	recordings could include a full visual
15	and audio recording of all presenters
16	and any presentations. If you have
17	questions regarding the collection and
18	use of your personal information, please
19	contact the City's FOIP coordinator at
20	foip@stalbert.ca or 780.418.6663."
21	MS. PERRET: Thanks, Tracy. And I
22	assume we'll be able to put that information in
23	the chat with respect to the where people can
24	get more information. It's a real mouthful. I
25	can't believe you don't have it memorized yet,
26	Tracy.
27	We'll introduce everybody

in a minute where we'll start off with a safety moment, move onto introductions, and then Scott Cole is going to talk about the project, followed by Q and A session. So welcome, everyone.

Meetings with a safety moment to raise awareness of safety and environmental issues, and tonight we're talking about bike safety. And we all know that bike safety starts with wearing a helmet. It also includes making sure that you make eye contact with drivers when you're crossing an intersection. Don't assume just because you have the right of way that they're going to stop for you. And use your bell when passing pedestrians. And I know that St. Albert has beautiful trails to bike in, and I hope everyone uses them with safety in mind. Thank you.

So let's move on to

introductions. And, Tracy, do you want to start with introducing the St. Albert team?

MS. TSUI: Sure. So myself, I am the project manager for the St. Albert West Area Structure Plan. And we also have Kristina Peter, who is our planning branch manager, and she's unable to join us tonight. Lenore Mitchell, who is also unable to join us tonight, is our senior

1		planner. We have Lyndsay Francis, senior long
2		range planner. And Christian Benson and
3		Patricia Nicol are our staff from economic
4		development.
5	MS.	PERRET: Thanks, Tracy. And I'm
6		going to get my boss, Scott Cole, to introduce
7		the Stantec team. You have to introduce me,
8		Scott. I haven't introduced myself yet.
9	MR.	COLE: Thanks, Michele. On
LO		behalf of my colleague, Michele Perret, on the
L1		line here, she will be facilitating tonight's
L2		open house. My name is Scott Cole; I'm the
L3		Stantec project manager working alongside Tracy.
L4		This evening we have Meghan Chisholm, our
L5		environmental lead. She is on the call as well.
L6		We also have Zheng Luo from our transportation
L7		team. In addition, we have both
L8		Elise Shillington as our planning lead and
L9		Keith Shillington as our civil engineering lead,
20		as well as Lindsay Haskins from our
21		transportation team. And just dually noted, we
22		also have a court reporter, Dyana, who is here
23		today that is taking the notes as we go through
24		our first open house for St. Albert West.
25	MS.	PERRET: Thank you, Scott. So as
26		he said, my name is Michele, and I'm very happy
27		and thankful to be able to facilitate your

meeting this evening. We really want to make sure that we have a productive meeting and a respectful meeting. And I'm just going to go over a few rules of engagement, which I'm sure many of you are well familiar as we navigate through this crazy COVID time.

Because this meeting is online, we ask that people keep their microphones on mute unless they're asking a question. If you want to ask a question, please raise your hand by raising that yellow hand. I will call at your name, and then you can unmute your microphone. And also it's nice if you have the bandwidth to be able to put yourself on camera so that we can see your smiling face.

please hold all your questions until the end of the presentation. And we ask that -- we recognize that some of the items that we're going to be talking about this evening may be near and dear to people's hearts, and we want to make sure that we are being hard on issues, but easy on people. The people that are working on this project, they're just doing their job, and they are interested in hearing your feedback and comments to help them produce a better product. However, we want to make sure that we are respectful in that, and some ways to

be respectful is to listen generously. Sometimes listening to the perspective of somebody else can be enlightening. Commit yourself to the conversation. Turn all your other devices off. Try to remove any distractions. We love cats, dogs, kids coming in and out of the screen. That's about one of the only positive things I think of the COVID thing.

And finally, provide an opportunity for everyone to speak. I realize that you may have lots of questions for this evening's feedback session, and we appreciate that, but we want to make sure that we provide room for others to speak. So you might find me stopping you after one question to just pause for a moment to see if others have any questions.

Are there any other rules of engagement that people want to add or questions on that? Are we good to proceed with that? If so, then, Scott, I'll pass it back to you.

MR. COLE: Perfect. Thanks, Michele.

Good evening, everyone. We're going to do a
quick overview of what is an Area Structure Plan
and then get into a little more of the specifics
related to the St. Albert West ASP project. As
already mentioned, this is our first open house.

It's the very beginning of the overall project, and there will be many -- there will be other opportunities throughout the time frame of this project to have and provide feedback.

So this particular slide in front of you is, really, what is an Area Structure Plan? So an Area Structure Plan is a statutory document that provides a framework for future development of undeveloped areas, such as the St. Albert West area. The ASP will identify an overall vision for the area and describe the objectives and goals for the intended future development. It also outlines existing conditions and land uses and provides a high-level anticipated timeline for development.

An Area Structure Plan is guided by the policies and principles within the City's Municipal Development Plan, which is known as "Flourish." Once an ASP is created and approved by council, neighbourhood plans can then be created based on the land uses and policies within the ASP. The neighbourhood plans will then guide the redistricting and future subdivisions within the area.

So the chart here really outlines all of the legislative documentation related to planning within the province of

Alberta. So you can see we go from the *Municipal Government Act*, which is the provincial document, to the Edmonton Metropolitan Regional Board, and we have the MDP, which is St. Albert's Flourish. We are at the blue, the ASP, and we will eventually get as part of this project into the darker purple, the neighbourhood plan. There is an existing land use bylaw, and which falls out of that are subsequent redistrictings and subdivisions, as well as the end goal, the development permit for construction to occur.

So what is involved really in the ASP development? In order to create an ASP, many different components need to be Engagement is a critical piece of the project to really gather this perspective from stakeholders and the public. And in addition to not only the planning document, the Area Structure Plan, many supporting technical studies and reports are also required to support and inform the development of the ASP. That includes an environmental overview, the land use planning, transportation impact assessments, storm water management plans, an agricultural impact assessment, noise and vibration mitigation, the fiscal piece, the fiscal impact assessment, as well as improve and design study.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

\_

So with respect to the

St. Albert West Area Structure Plan, the development is of strategic importance to the City of St. Albert. This ASP will implement the City's MDP, otherwise known as "Flourish," as this is a priority area for outward growth. It identifies the land uses for future development and it will support corporate goals for strategic and sustainable economic growth. It will also enhance St. Albert's competitiveness and attractiveness not only to investors, but future employers, as well as current and future residents within the region.

So the figure in front of you here outlines the entirety of the St. Albert West ASP, so everything outlined in red here. And the project's primary focus is to prepare the new Area Structure Plan, as well as potentially an amendment to the existing North Ridge ASP and two new neighbourhood plans, which would be the Lakeview Business District neighbourhood plan and the Badger Lands neighbourhood plan.

In addition, this project will implement a new planning governance framework for the City regarding ASPs with the introduction of neighbourhood plans. So we've already mentioned the word "neighbourhood plan."

This is something new that the City is moving forward with. This will allow the City to more efficiently conform with the region, and it provides the City with a two-tier ASP policy framework. Tier 1 being the larger picture, which you see in front of us, St. Albert West ASP; and Tier 2, which is the NP levels or neighbourhood plan levels.

St. Albert is introducing neighbourhood plans as a new tool in its policy framework. Neighbourhood plans must be located within the overall ASP of St. Albert West and must address policies within the governing ASP while providing additional implementation tools. For example, neighbourhood plans must provide a direct line of sight to St. Albert's land use bylaw and inform future redistricting decisions.

So as you note on the previous figure, we had outlined -- and I hope you can see my cursor here -- but the entirety of the St. Albert West ASP. The neighbourhood plans that we're referring to, the Lakeview Business District is outlined in blue of which the neighbourhood plan for City-owned lands is the purple hatching, and then the Badger Lands is up in the northern portion in this hatching as well.

So while this is our first

engagement event or open house for this project, a significant amount of planning and due diligence work has previously occurred for the lands within the ASP, as well as the North Ridge ASP and the future NP areas. And the St. Albert West ASP will be the combination of all of the City's work to date. This project will take the findings of the various engagement event findings, technical studies, and strategic and servicing plans built upon them and translate them into the overall statutory policy, guidelines, and standards that will set the policy and technical framework for more detailed planning and implementation to follow.

According to Flourish, the area is envisioned to contain a mix of employment, residential, and mixed use nodes, and it's founded on the east by the expanded Ray Gibbon Drive and on the west by Carrot Creek. The planned area will also be bisected by the existing CN rail and contain a major open space comprising approximately a quarter of the land and including portions of the Lois Hole Centennial Provincial Park. While there are some unique challenges, our collective team is excited about the opportunities presented by this project as the planned areas combined features will

establish it as a premier destination in the Edmonton metro region to work, play, and live.

In addition to the exciting opportunities and challenges presented with this ASP, the City-owned parcels in the Lakeview Business District and Badger Lands present additional exciting opportunities. The Lakeview Business District is really the culmination of years of visioning and planning by the City for a premier employment node in the capital region and attract additional non-residential opportunities within St. Albert.

So with respect to the Badger Lands, the intent will be a demonstration of the City's commitment to sustainability and provide a unique brown field redevelopment opportunity with a planned 15 megawatt solar However, it's important to note that the farm. North Ridge Area Structure Plan, which is outlined in this orange colour, that amendment is still subject to council's approval of the solar farm on the Badger Lands and is separate from the overall St. Albert West ASP project that we're having today. The next update for the solar farm will be on July 13th, 2022. So at that time, this project team will have more direction on that note.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

This is a copy of the

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

existing Municipal Development Plan, Map 3, urban structure and general land use. And so the area that we're talking about tonight is either shaded in the green for Carrot Creek and in or around Lois Hole -- or pardon me -- toward Lois Hole Centennial Provincial Park in and around That is identified within the Big Lake. Municipal Development Plan as major open space. The purple in three different locations is designated employment area, and those circular areas within the purple are mixed use employment areas. The flesh or wheat colour is

neighbourhood land use designation for

for a mixed use node.

So regarding the ASP approval process and schedule as mentioned, here we are tonight for an Engagement Event Number 1. And, really, we're in the spring right now. after we receive feedback from the open house we will be collecting all the information and starting to work on some draft concepts with the intent that we will have some options available by summer of this year. And then we're planning to come out again and have -- virtually come out again -- maybe it will be in person by that time,

residential development, and the pink circle is

but not sure -- for Engagement Activity Number 2, and that would be in early fall.

We would then take more feedback from everybody and really revise the draft concepts, work with the City, and hopefully have that resolved to move forward by the middle to end of fall with the intent of first reading at council in late fall and then a referral to the Edmonton Metropolitan Region Board in early winter, and hopefully a St. Albert public hearing by the end of 2022 or early 2023, and then with the final production of the overall Area Structure Plan by spring of 2023.

Michele, that's a lot of information, but I'll pass it back to you.

MS. PERRET: Thanks, Scott. I have to say that there were some -- you used some planning terms in there that I'm not sure everybody is able to follow. So I'm just going to get you to explain or maybe, Tracy, you want to explain. You used the term -- well, first, you used the term "Lakeview Business District." Did everybody see on the map where the Lakeview Business District is? Do you want to just point that out? So it's kind of the -- it's outlined in blue on that map, and then there's that hatched area. And what does employment area

1 mean? MS. TSUI: 2 Employment area means -so employment areas are the areas that are 3 purple. And under our NDP currently it is 4 dedicated locations for a variety of industrial 5 6 and office spaced businesses that benefit from convenient access to the regional transportation 7 network. And I just wanted to also point out 8 9 there's a little purple circle in the northeast portion of the Lakeview Business District, and 10 that's our mixed use employment area node, and 11 12 those locations are for the clustering of related light industrial office and retail businesses. 13 So retail businesses being secondary uses to 14 15 support the rest of the employment areas and their industrial uses. 16 MS. PERRET: 17 Okay. So would that be like Campbell Industrial Park? 18 MS. TSUI: 19 It could, yeah, but specifically to that area. So employment areas 20 on -- where the Lakeview Business District is on 21 22 the west. MS. PERRET: 23 Okay. MS. TSUI: Yeah. 24 MS. PERRET: 25 I was just thinking 26 there's a brew pub in Campbell Industrial Park. I didn't know if that qualified for mixed use or 27

So for those of you who don't know me, I'm 1 I ask a lot of dumb questions. I 2 not a planner. 3 hope that my dumb questions help you feel comfortable asking any question, and I hope that 4 it also helps you understand just in case you 5 6 didn't know what these things were as well. The Badger Land I think 7 vou identified. Is the Badger Land only that 8 9 area up there? Is that the only --MS. TSUI: Badger Lands sort of 10 spreads out around that hatched area, but the 11 12 hatched area is specifically the portion that the 13 City owns. MS. PERRET: Okav. Thanks, Tracy. 14 So 15 I'm going to stop asking questions. And I'm just turning it over to the rest of you, who may have 16 a question for the St. Albert team or the Stantec 17 Do you need some clarification? Questions 18 19 or comments? Do you have some feedback that would be helpful for Scott and his team as they 20 21 start to draw out this area, the ASP area? Alfred? 22 MR. L'HIRONDELLE: 23 Hi, my name is Alfred L'Hirondelle. I'm the president for the 24 25 St. Albert Metis Local. And so actually I was 26 just kind of curious because I know that with our history and that, like, there was a lot of camps 27

1		and stuff like that around the Big Lakes and all
2		around that area that actually our ancestors used
3		to live. And so I was wondering, like, have you
4		guys is that going to be part of your plan,
5		too, to kind of take a look at what I guess
6		the environmental assessment or whatever to kind
7		of take a look and see what were some of the
8		activities and stuff happening in those areas?
9		Because, like, I know I've
10		already made one trip out with the guys there
11		with the solar farm to take a look at that area
12		and kind of roughly get an idea on what's going
13		there, and then I'm supposed to be going back to
14		it and take a more in-depth look with some of our
15		elders like that to kind of get their input on
16		the areas within there. So are you guys going to
17		be doing the same within this area, too,
18		especially, like, for the local or with some
19		other First Nation communities?
20	MS.	PERRET: Thank you, Alfred. That's
21		an excellent question. And I'm sure that our
22		ancestors saw the Sturgeon River from a
23		completely different perspective than what we see
24		it currently. Tracy, did you want to talk about
25		the Indigenous engagement?
26	MS.	TSUI: Sure. Yeah. Our City, we
27		recently formed a new T quess group of staff

that will look after Indigenous relations, so we are going to work with them and have engagement sessions with the Indigenous communities. It will be happening sometime soon. I'll be similar to this. But we agree that it's important that we meet with those Indigenous communities early on in this project to point out any things that we need to be aware of as we prepare the ASP.

MS. PERRET: I think there's a great opportunity here, Alfred, to educate and inform the team. So thank you for your question. Any other questions or comments?

So what we've talked about in previous meetings -- so this is our fourth meeting. We had a meeting with internal staff at the City of St. Albert, we had a meeting with land owners, and we also had a meeting with stakeholders that included other adjacent municipalities and those with an interest in the area. And some of the things we talked about was the Carrot Creek and the 50-metre setback on the Carrot Creek. We talked about the future of Ray Gibbon Drive and what does it mean to this ASP. We learned that Ray Gibbon Drive has plans way into the future to become a freeway as well as widening it in the shorter term. We've had stakeholders join our meeting, talking about

neighbourhood development that they are looking 1 at to the north of the Lakeview Business 2 3 District. 4 There also were questions about what was going to happen with land just to 5 6 the south of Meadowview Drive. There's a little bit of land there that is currently zoned as 7 employment area. And, Lyndsay, do you want to 8 9 take over from this one because I always screw it But council made a motion . . . 10 MS. FRANCIS: 11 Yeah. If you want to go 12 back to the MDP map just so we can point out the area a little bit better . . . Council's made a 13 motion to bring back an MDP amendment for that 14 15 purple area just south of Meadowview Drive in between Ray Gibbon and the City boundary there. 16 So that will be going to council for a first 17 18 reading on June 20th. MS. PERRET: 19 Thanks, Lyndsay. While 20 Tracy practices memorizing the FOIP slide, I'll 21 practice memorizing that motion. Thank you. 22 MR. COLE: Michele, if I can just add 23 that --MS. PERRET: Please. 24 MR. COLE: 25 -- what Lyndsay was 26 speaking to is that depending on the outcome of council's decision, we may have to make 27

adjustments through the process. 1 MS. PERRET: 2 Right. Thank you. There 3 also were questions and discussions about -- on this map you can see at the bottom, so just right 4 by 137th Avenue, you can see that there's a 5 6 little bit of purple which is identified as industrial, light industrial. And there was talk 7 that some of that land is currently owned by the 8 9 Government of Alberta, and some of it is private, and the future of that land, I understand, has 10 been discussed. We've talked about drainage a 11 12 I guess mainly because of Carrot Creek and normal development. 13 MR. COLE: Connectivity. 14 15 MS. PERRET: Connectivity, yeah. had a lot of -- this morning we talked a lot 16 about connectivity, the rail line. 17 MR. COLE: Mm - hmm18 MS. PERRET: We talked about -- we had 19 an interesting question from a land owner last 20 21 night asking, How do underground abandoned pipe lines -- how are they incorporated into an ASP? 22 23 And we were advised that those show up on the contraints map, so the ASP will identify them as 24 a constraint. So that's -- those are the things 25 26 that I've learned while facilitating these

meetings.

1		Please r	aise your hand or
2		put a comment into the chat if	you have any
3		questions you would like to pos	e or any comments.
4		And as I mentioned, Scott's my	boss. So if you
5		ask him questions, it always ma	kes me happy if I
6		get to boss him around.	
7		Maybe I	could ask you what
8		information you were looking fo	r when you decided
9		to come to tonight's meeting.	What were you
10		hoping to learn? Anybody want	to comment on
11		that? Can I pick on people?	
12	MR.	. HENRY: I'll put	up my hand.
13	MS.	PERRET: Yes, Ala	n. Please.
14	MR.	. HENRY: I'm Alan	Henry; I'm
15		president of BLESS, Big Lake En	vironmental.
16	MS.	. PERRET: Oh, welc	ome.
17	MR.	. HENRY: We had -	- thank you. We
18		had put forward the request for	the change from
19		the employment lands designatio	n on the southern
20		portion of Meadowview Drive.	
21	MS.	. PERRET: Okay. T	hank you, Alan.
22	MR.	. HENRY: And that	's I'm just
23		sitting back seeing where the -	- your June 20th
24		information for final voting is	of interest to
25		us, and we also have the portio	n of land that the
26		City of St. Albert has designat	ed as employment
27		lands, but is actually part of	Lois Hole

1		Provincial Park, and I	was curious how that would
2		come about.	
3	MS.	PERRET:	And which piece of land is
4		that that you own?	
5	MR.	HENRY:	That's 80 acres south of
6		137th Avenue	
7	MS.	PERRET:	Okay.
8	MR.	HENRY:	and directly west of
9		Ray Gibbon Drive.	
10	MS.	PERRET:	Oh, okay. So would that
11		be within the City of	Edmonton jurisdiction?
12	MR.	HENRY:	It's actually Lois Hole.
13		It was originally part	of St. Albert, and that
14		land was purchased and	has been moved as
15		deginated to Lois Hole	Provincial Park.
16	MS.	PERRET:	Okay. Thank you. Thanks,
17		Alan. I appreciate tha	at.
18	MR.	HENRY:	You're welcome.
19	MS.	PERRET:	Does anybody else have any
20		questions or comments?	I don't know if you're
21		making my job really ea	asy or really tough
22		tonight. Don't be shy	. We're an easy group to
23		work with.	
24	MR.	HENRY:	Yeah, I'll throw my
25		comments in, again.	
26	MS.	PERRET:	Sure.
27	MR.	HENRY:	We are actually supposed

to have Tony Druett, who is the gentleman who 1 formulated the request to the City Council. He 2 3 is a planner and retired in his part of BLESS, but I do not see him on the list, so it's 4 unfortunate. 5 He's here. 6 MS. PERRET: 7 MR. HENRY: Oh. there he is. MR. DRUETT: I'm here. Alan, I was 8 9 leaving it to you to be the spokesperson. think I talked quite a bit yesterday, and I 10 thought they probably were fed up with hearing 11 12 from me, frankly, and wanted to hear from somebody else. 13 MR. HENRY: Well, I thought they would 14 15 want to talk to a person that knew the facts and figures as opposed to the guy who calls himself 16 "president." So but the other question that we 17 had, of course, was the fencing and the 18 designation of the -- of Ray Gibbon and also the 19 ability of having wildlife corridors underneath 20 the new portion of the bridge. BLESS has a whole 21 bunch of -- a plethora of requests. 22 23 A little one that we would like to start with is simply the designation of 24 that area south of Meadowview Drive. The City 25 26 has already suggested that the employment land designation be changed, and I will -- just like I 27

```
say, will be happy if we get just little bit.
 1
 2
           Something like that's a good way to start.
 3
       MS. PERRET:
                                   Thank you, Alan.
 4
           appreciate that.
       MR. HENRY:
                                   You're welcome.
 5
 6
       MR. COLE:
                                   And I did hear loud and
           clear from Mr. Druett yesterday about, you know,
 7
           Ray Gibbon Drive, the --
 8
9
       MS. PERRET:
                                   The speed limit on
           Ray Gibbon Drive.
10
       MR. COLE:
                                   The speed limit.
11
12
       MS. PERRET:
                                   Yeah.
       MR. COLE:
                                   The fact of, you know, the
13
           intent is to be a freeway. Ultimate is eight
14
15
           lanes right now. And that feedback has been
           provided, and we've definitely taken that into --
16
           definitely taken that back. Yeah.
17
       MS. PERRET:
                                   So when council -- oh,
18
19
           sorry. Go ahead, Tony.
       MR. DRUETT:
20
                                   I just wanted -- I tuned
21
           in today just to see whether there was any other
           information than we had yesterday, and who --
22
23
           most of the people here seem to be people that
           were in yesterday's stakeholder meeting.
24
           there that hasn't been in a previous meeting?
25
26
           Rachel Narvey is one of the people from the
           Gazette, so I'm pleased that she's tuning in to
27
```

	what's going on. Who was	invited to this one as
	opposed to the stakeholder	s yesterday and so
	forth?	
MS.	PERRET: So	this one is public.
MR.	COLE: Tra	cy?
MS.	TSUI: Sor	ry. I didn't mean to
	interrupt, but, yes, this	meeting is for general
	public. We've advertised	for people to attend
	this meeting through the G	azette Citylights
	advertisements, through so	cial media. We've also
	advertised to people withi	n a 100-metre buffer
	around our project area.	So that includes some
	people from Sturgeon Count	y and some from
	North Ridge neighbourhood,	Riverside. But the
	meetings yesterday, those	were more targeted
	groups that we wanted to m	eet with, so BLESS,
	utility companies, school	boards, those sort of
	groups.	
MR.	COLE: And	actual, like, land
	owners within the ASP.	
MS.	TSUI: Oh,	sorry.
MR.	COLE: Yea	h. So, Tony, to your
	point, this is open to the	public. When I see
	the list of names, I'm goi	ng to say there's only
	a handful of people that a	re attending that
	are outside of the stakeho	lders that we had the
	meetings in the last two d	ays.
	MR. MS.	opposed to the stakeholder forth?  MS. PERRET: So MR. COLE: Tra  MS. TSUI: Sor interrupt, but, yes, this public. We've advertised this meeting through the Gadvertisements, through so advertised to people withi around our project area. people from Sturgeon Count North Ridge neighbourhood, meetings yesterday, those groups that we wanted to mutility companies, school groups.  MR. COLE: And owners within the ASP.  MS. TSUI: Oh, MR. COLE: Yea point, this is open to the the list of names, I'm goi a handful of people that a are outside of the stakeho

1	MR.	DRUETT: Yeah, I'm not used to a
2		Zoom being the vehicle for a public open house.
3		Usually it's a display that's put on somewhere
4		and people are invited to sort of come in and
5		walk around and come in and out and so forth.
6		Will you be going to that sort of in-person
7		meetings because this is quite limiting, really,
8		in terms of, you know, exchange of information
9		and so forth compared with a normal public
10		meeting.
11	MS.	PERRET: You're absolutely right,
12		Tony. Maybe by the time we get to the fall and
13		the second round of engagement where we'll be
14		able to critique or probably more appropriately
15		provide comments to the draft concepts, maybe
16		we'll be able to meet in person at that point.
17		But you can thank COVID and the pandemic for us
18		all believing that we're all two-dimensional and
19		stuck on Zoom. As a facilitator, it is Zoom
20		is as painful, I imagine, as being a participant.
21		The only thing is, I get the power to mute
22		people.
23	MR.	COLE: And we have been doing
24		engagement events on planning matters over the
25		last two plus years all virtually. Right now, as
26		I understand it and, Tracy or Lyndsay, correct
27		me if I'm wrong, but it is protocol right now

1		that everything is still virtual from an open
2		house perspective. But here's hoping, fingers
3		crossed, Tony, that we can actually see each
4		other in person in the fall.
5	MS.	PERRET: Absolutely. Thank you.
6		Alfred?
7	MR.	L'HIRONDELLE: Yeah, I actually just
8		wanted to check and get maybe some clarification
9		from Alan when he was referring to that we'd
10		rather here from Tony than myself. I was just
11		kind of confused what he meant by that. Like,
12		was he consfused on what a meeting this is that
13		we're having, or is it just that he figures that
14		public talk is not as important as the technical
15		aspects of development? I just want
16		clarification. Thanks.
17	MS.	PERRET: Let's hope everybody is
18		listening generously.
19	MR.	HENRY: Oh, that was to myself,
20		not to you.
21	MS.	PERRET: And sorry to interrupt
22		you, Alan, but we want to make sure that
23		everybody is listening generously and hopefully
24		listening to other perspectives. We come to
25		these open houses to hear from other people.
26		Oftentimes we come with an agenda in mind, we
27		want to make sure that we say our bit, and

somebody says something that makes us go, Hmm, 1 never thought of that, and we go away smarter 2 3 than we came. And that's what we hope to achieve tonight as well. So, thank you, Alfred. So I 4 don't think any ill intent was meant. 5 6 MR. HENRY: Yeah. Alfred, I do Tony is the person with the technical 7 apologize. background that sits on BLESS. The reference to 8 9 president was to via title, not -- and my title is BLESS as opposed to Metis. 10 MR. DRUETT: Yeah. Alan and I are very 11 12 much on the same wave, I think, on all of this. So normally when he gets to technical matters, Al 13 lets me be the speech person, and when it comes 14 to overall policy, Alan will jump in and correct 15 me sometimes. But that's the way we work as a 16 partnership here. 17 MR. L'HIRONDELLE: 18 No, I appreciate that. 19 Sorry, Alan. I was just -- like I said, I was just trying to get clarification just because, 20 21 like, I was kind of confused, too, whether -- I thought maybe I was at the wrong meeting and this 22 23 was more kind of like the technical part to it, and then I thought that maybe -- that's all I 24 I'm sorry. I didn't mean to offend you 25 meant. 26 or anything. I was just -- like I said, I was

27

just getting some clarity for myself too.

Thanks, Alan. 1 Thanks, Alfred. 2 MR. HENRY: Thank you, all. 3 MS. PERRET: And I'm here as the person who's not technical, not a 4 5 planner; and I'm here trying to, I guess, lower 6 the bar of conversation so that we can all understand each other. So thank you. 7 Is there anybody else that wants to join this conversation 8 9 with a comment? So, Alan, if council -- so 10 as Lyndsay explained, council has a motion with 11 12 respect to those lands south of Meadowview Drive. What happens if they -- if that doesn't pass, if 13 it stays for whatever reason? If it stays, what 14 15 happens then? I really -- you have to MR. HENRY: 16 17 understand, BLESS is just a small non-profit. We're stewards of Big Lake. And the effect on 18 19 that particular section of Big Lake, that happens 20 to be the primary breeding area for the migratory 21 birds. What will happen? I honestly don't know. I would think it would affect Lois Hole, and it 22 23 would affect the status of how Big Lake is as an IBA, important bird area. And exactly what would 24 25 happen if development took place right to river's 26 edge -- or the water's edge, I honestly don't 27 know.

MS. PERRET: 1 Okay. 2 MR. DRUETT: Yeah. We're certainly 3 hoping that -- you know, we were very pleased that council, they voted unanimously to make this 4 change and to authorize the administration to go 5 6 ahead with it, which is now happening. had -- at the time, we hadn't thought that there 7 would be any reason why it wouldn't go ahead. 8 9 think it's the right solution. So we'll be -- if it does 10 appear that there's objections to it, we will be 11 12 speaking in favour of that event happening. we feel that Meadowview Drive should be along the 13 periphery of the neighbourhoods like all the 14 15 other roads running east, west, you know, similar to McKenney that it connects to. It's a road 16 that is peripheral to the adjacent neighbourhoods 17 rather than having lots of (indiscernible) run 18 onto it. And so we're very much in favour of 19 20 what council passed unanimously actually a year 21 It was at the end of May last year that 22 they voted to make this change. MS. PERRET: 23 Thanks, Tony. Alfred? MR. L'HIRONDELLE: Yeah, I was just going to 24 25 say, I was kind of curious about that myself too 26 because actually that's -- some of the stuff that

27

we look at too is areas of birds and aquatic

animals and stuff like that. And I'd have to agree with Tony and Alan. To me that makes a lot of sense because with all the kind of development that's going to be happening around there that's going to be a big affect on all the wildlife and stuff that's in those areas.

So I would have to strongly agree that that was a good decision on their part to request that from City council to look at the property that way because, yeah, like I say, that's stuff that as a Metis person or an Aboriginal, and even people that aren't, we have to look at these sensitive areas and ensure that they're being respected and we're giving animals the room they need to continue on kind of living their own life and that on there so we can all enjoy them. Like, I think that's a good idea to be making sure that the areas are big enough and that for these animals just to kind of continue on with as minimal disturbance as possible.

MS. PERRET: Thank you, Alfred. Tony, when you were at our meeting yesterday you asked what the setback was on Carrot Creek, and we said -- or we learned that it was 50 metres, which you seemed surprised and happy with. And at today's meeting we were -- it was explained to us that the reason it was 50 metres -- and,

1		Meghan, you might understand this better but
2		it had something to do with actually the I
3		guess the soil or the
4	MR.	COLE: Erosion.
5	MS.	PERRET: the erosion, yeah, or
6		potential erosion
7	MR.	COLE: Yes.
8	MS.	PERRET: of the riparian area.
9		And that's why it is set at 50 metres.
10	MR.	COLE: And it is a policy within
11		the Municipal Development Plan as well.
12	MR.	DRUETT: Would that be
13		environmental reserve then for that 50 metre
14		setback?
15	MS.	FRANCIS: So the part that would be
16		set back would be wherever the floodplain is
17		would be sorry that would be environmental
18		reserve where the floodplain is. So that might
19		have to be municipal reserve as well.
20	MS.	PERRET: So there is a master
21		drainage plan that is coming out that will inform
22		the ASP. See, if I facilitate these meetings
23		long enough, I start to learn something.
24	MR.	DRUETT: So you're saying that a
25		setback from top of bank might be municipal
26		reserve? Again, that somewhat surprises me. But
27		I would have thought if it was a setback from

```
it would be for environmental reasons or because
 1
           an unstable side of the bank that it would
 2
 3
           probably be environmental reserve. But, again,
           I'm . . .
 4
       MS. FRANCIS:
                                   So whatever is outlined
 5
           within the MGA, there's some pretty specific
 6
           things that can be required for a municipal
 7
                     So whatever is enabled within that is
 8
           reserve.
9
           what would be taken as environmental reserve.
       MS. PERRET:
                                   So it may be municipal
10
           reserve or it might be environmental reserve
11
12
           depending on what the MGA specifies?
           that . . . ?
13
       MS. FRANCIS:
                                   It might be a combination.
14
15
       MS. PERRET:
                                   Okay. Okay. Great.
           Well, if there aren't any other questions --
16
17
           maybe, Scott, you could put up the slide that
18
           shows the project website, and those of you --
       MR. COLE:
19
                                   Sorry.
                                           I'm just trying to
20
           get a suntan right now --
       MS. PERRET:
21
                                   Yeah, I know.
       MR. COLE:
                                   -- during the meeting.
22
23
           Yeah.
       MS. PERRET:
                                   It looks really nice out
24
           there I guess. You're not in the same
25
26
           jurisdiction as I am. So there's a QR code.
27
           you put your phone camera up to the screen a menu
```

1		at a restaurant will not come up, however, what
2		will come up is the St. Albert website for this
3		project, and it is it provides an opportunity
4		for you to provide additional comments. So if
5		you come up with a question or an idea or
6		something that'll be really important for Scott
7		and his team to know as they draft the concepts,
8		you can find that information or put that
9		information in the website there.
10		Tracy, do you have any
11		final comments before we sign off?
12	MS.	TSUI: Just to add to that, the
13		comments there, you can put them in the survey,
14		and that survey closes on June 9th. So that's
15		two weeks' time from today.
16	MS.	PERRET: And that says, website to
17		watch for future meetings. Hey? So for the
18		meeting in the fall it will
19	MS.	TSUI: The meeting in the fall,
20		yeah. Mm-hmm. Any updates with the project, it
21		will be posted on the website.
22	MS.	PERRET: And it will advise whether
23		it's in person or another Zoom call.
24	MR.	COLE: And, you know, because
25		this is an open forum, an open house, please let
26		others that you know, whether they're
27		residents, whether they're members of the public,

1		please let them know al	bout the project, direct
2		them to the website be	cause we would appreciate
3		feedback. It's fundame	ental especially when
4		you're starting off a	project to get all types of
5		information from variou	us sources. So we would
6		really appreciate that	going forward.
7	MS.	PERRET:	Right. Thank you.
8	MR.	COLE:	Thank you for sorry,
9		Michele.	
10	MS.	PERRET:	No. No. Thank you.
11	MR.	COLE:	And Go Oilers.
12	MS.	PERRET:	Oh, yeah.
13	MR.	COLE:	You know what? Is that
14		live now?	
15	MS.	PERRET:	Just thought of that.
16	MR.	COLE:	No, the game's not on till
17		later. It's not on till later.	
18	MS.	PERRET:	6:30.
19	MR.	COLE:	No. Is it? Oh, okay.
20	MS.	PERRET:	I think so.
21	MR.	COLE:	In any event, we really
22		appreciate everyone ta	king the time out this
23		evening. I look forwa	rd to collaborating
24		throughout the project process. And, yeah, have	
25		a wonderful evening, a	nd thank you.
26		(PROCEEDINGS ADJOU	JRNED AT 6:52 P.M.)
27		* * * * * * *	* * * * * * * *

1	<u> Certificate of Transcript - Remote Questioning</u>
2	
3	I, Dyana Pewarchuk, hereby
4	certify that the foregoing pages are a true and
5	faithful transcription of the proceedings and are
6	a complete and accurate transcript of the remote
7	video connection discussion taken down by me in
8	shorthand and transcribed by means of a
9	computer-aided transcription system to the best
10	of my skill and ability, pursuant to Alberta
11	Rules of Court r.6.20(4)(c), and conducted in
12	accordance with those portions of the Alberta
13	Protocol for Remote Questionings under my
14	control.
15	
16	Dated at the city of
17	Edmonton, Province of Alberta, this 6th day of
18	June, A.D., 2022.
19	
20	
21	
22	Original Copy Signed
23	
24	Dyana Pewarchuk
25	Court Reporter
26	
27	